VAN LANG UNIVERSITY UNIT: FACULTY OF COMMERCE

EXAM/RUBRIC AND GRADING CRITERIA END OF MODULE EXAMINATION Third Semester, Academic Year 2023-2024

I. General Information

Module Name:	Innovative Startups					
Module Code:	72ENTR40033		Credit Units:	03		
Class Group Code:	233_72ENTR40033_01					
Exam Format: Presentation/Essay		Exam Time:		18g30 17/05/2024	Minutes / Day	
Individual			⊠ Group			
File Naming Convention:S		Student ID_Full Name				

Lecturers submit exam papers and answers including both **First** and **Second** rounds **before July 15, 2024**.

1. Exam Format

- Font: Times New Roman
- Size: 13
- File naming convention for exam paper:
 - Module Code_Module Name_Class Group Code_TIEUL_De 1

2. Exam Submission

After reviewing the exam and answers/rubric, the Department **Head/Subject Leader** sends the exam and answers/rubric to the Examination Center via email:

<u>khaothivanlang@gmail.com</u> including Word and PDF files (**compressed and passwordprotected**) and texts the sender's name to phone number **0918.01.03.09** (Phan Nhat Linh).

II. Exam Requirements to Meet CLO

(This section must be coordinated with information from the detailed module outline)

CLO Notatio n	CLO Content	Evaluatio n Format	CLO Weight in Assessmen t Componen t (%)	Exam Questio n Number	Maximu m Score	Data to Measure PLO/PI Achievemen t
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
CLO2	Present the	Essay	20%			PI 3.1

	factors affecting business opportunities and formulating business			
	ideas			
CLO3	Effectively manage a project using agile project management methods	20%		PI 3.1
CLO6	Comply with legal regulations and business ethics in e- commerce activities; demonstrate responsibilit y; ability to work under pressure; honesty; discipline in study and work environment; lifelong learning	60%		PI 10.1

Column Notes:

- (1) List only CLOs assessed by the end-of-module exam (as described in the detailed module outline). Do not include CLOs not assessed by the end-of-module exam (some CLOs are assessed by mid-term tests, project evaluations, or other continuous assessment forms, not by the end-of-module exam). If a CLO is assessed both continuously and at the end-of-module, include it in column (1).
- (2) State the content of the corresponding CLO.
- (3) The assessment format can be: multiple-choice, essay, project, oral, computer practice, lab practice, report, presentation, etc., appropriate to the CLO content and described in the detailed module outline.
- (4) The relative weight of each CLO in the end-of-module exam is determined by the lecturer based on the importance of each CLO. This helps allocate the % of maximum score for

exam questions assessing the corresponding CLOs, ensuring more important CLOs are assessed with higher maximum scores. Column (4) supports column (6).

- (5) List the exam question numbers (e.g., question number... or from question number... to question number...) used to assess the corresponding CLOs.
- (6) Record the maximum score for each question or exam part.
- (7) For core modules using CLO assessment results to measure PLO/PI achievement, list the relevant PLO/PI notation. If no CLOs of the module are used to measure PLO/PI, leave this column blank.

III. Exam Content

1. Assignment

Group essay or presentation with accompanying documents and presentation file.

Task:

Students use learned knowledge about Business Pitches to identify ideas and topics, apply business and entrepreneurial knowledge to design and present a product for a startup.

2. Presentation Format Guidance

Guidance for students (Essay or Presentation)

1. General Rules:

(1.1) Essay Presentation

- Cover page: University, Faculty, Course, Mid-term Essay, Group, Student Names, Class Code, Supervisor.
- Page 2: Group members list (serial number, full name, student ID, task, group score, signature, and name of group leader).
- Page 3: Lecturer's comments and score.
- Page 4: Table of Contents.
- Content: Introduction, Main Content, Conclusion.
- (1.2) **Presentation** Follow the guidelines of the third week's lecture slides according to the 1-business-pitch standard. Detailed and coherent slides with high creativity.

2. References:

(2.1) **Reference Documents**

- For books: Author's name (year of publication). Book title. Publisher. Place of publication.
- For a book chapter: Author's name (year of publication). Chapter title. In: Author's name, Book title. Publisher. Place of publication, pages.

- For thesis/dissertation: Author's name (year of publication).
 Thesis/dissertation title. Degree, Faculty, Major, University.
- For online sources: Author's name (year). Document title [online], accessed date, source URL.
- For daily newspapers: Author's name (year of publication). Article title, newspaper, section, publication date.

(2.2) Citation Methods:

- Author/organization and year in parentheses. Example: E-commerce and its challenges for Vietnam (Nguyen Van A, 2019).
- Author/organization as part of the sentence, year in parentheses. Example: Nguyen Van A (2019) states that e-commerce significantly impacts consumer behavior from 2020-2025.
- For direct quotes, include the page number in parentheses. Example: Nguyen Van A (2019, p.13) clearly states, "E-commerce significantly impacts consumer behavior from 2020-2025."

3. Content Format:

(3.1) Essay:

- Paper size: A4, portrait orientation.
- Font: Times New Roman.
- Margin: bottom, top: 2cm; right, left: 2cm.
- Justified text.
- Unicode encoding.
- Line spacing: 1.5 lines.
- Font size: 13 (content); 18 (titles).
- Length: not mandatory, 1-80 pages.
- Page number at the center bottom.
- (3.2) **Presentation:** Use PowerPoint or Canva.

3. Rubrics and Scoring

Criteria	Weight (%)	Good (8-10)	Fair (6-8)	Average (4-6)	Poor (<4)
Understand objectives and content	5%	Full, clear, and accurate answers	Correct but with minor errors	Important errors	Unable to answer
Understand	5%	Full, clear,	Correct but	Important	Unable to

model		and accurate	with minor	errors	answer
		answers	errors		
Understand process/methods	20%	Full, clear, and accurate answers	Correct but with minor errors	Important errors	Unable to answer
Preparation	10%	Correct data calculations with lab results	Correct data calculations without lab results	Incorrect data calculations with missing lab results	No calculations or lab results
Lab skills	50%	Proactive, accurate analysis skills	Proactive with some skills not mastered	Correct but not proactive, not mastered	Incorrect and violated lab rules
Team coordination	10%	Good task assignment and coordination	Task assignment but poor coordination	Task assignment but unreasonable	No task assignment before practice
	100%				

Approved by

Hồ Chí Minh city, / 07 / 2024 **Lecturer**

les

ThS. Nguyễn Hoàng Phú