# VAN LANG UNIVERSITY HONORS PROGRAM

# FINAL EXAMINATION Semester 3, Academic year 2023-2024.

#### I. Examination information

Course Title:	Cultural In	telligen	ce			
Course Code:	72HCUL10	72HCUL10033 Credits: 3			3	
Class code:	233_72HCU	JL10033	_01,02,03,04,0	05,06,0	8	
Evaluation format:	Report Duration: 7			days		
☐ Individual	⊠ Groupwork					
File name convention:	Student ID	_Studen	t's Full Name		•••••	•••••

# II. The examination requirements must align with the CLOs.

(This part must be mapped with the information from the course syllabus)

CLO	CLO Description	Evaluation format	CLO weight in the assessment component (%)	Exam question No.	Maximum score	Data collection on student achievement of PLOs/ PIs
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
CLO1	Students understand the concept and importance of Cultural Intelligence in the context of globalization and multiculturalism.		20%	Q1	1	
CLO2	Students comprehend the major models and theories of Cultural Intelligence and those applications for learning and working in a multicultural environment		40%	Q2	4	
CLO3	Students are able to engage in active listening, empathy,		10%	Q3	1	

					BM-006
	and cultural respect while refining verbal and nonverbal communication skills suitable for diverse cultural settings.				
CLO4	Students can analyze global cultural issues and resolve conflicts and misunderstandings arising from cultural differences.	20%	Q4	2	
CLO5	Students develop open-mindedness, flexibility, and the ability to adapt to cultural change.	10%	Q5	2	

#### III. Examination content

Randomly assign each group a specific nation or culture to focus on for the final test.

Q1. (1 scores): Research your assigned nation or culture. Cover history, customs, traditions, language, communication styles, business etiquette, and social norms. Explain why understanding these cultural elements is important in a globalized and multicultural world.

Minimum: 1.000 words

Q2. (4 scores): Using **Hofstede's cultural dimensions**, explain how these dimensions appear in your assigned nation or culture. Give examples of how they affect social, business, and communication practices. Describe how this understanding can improve learning and working in multicultural environments.

Minimum: 2.000 words

Q3. (1 score): Analyze how the cultural dimensions affect leadership styles, decision-making, communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution in your assigned culture. Discuss the importance of active listening, empathy, and cultural respect. Share a scenario where you used these skills in a diverse setting and explain your **communication strategies**. Ensure that your responses are well-organized, free of errors, and clearly communicate your ideas.

Minimum: 1.000 words

Q4. (2 scores): Compare the cultural dimensions of your assigned nation with Vietnam. Identify potential **conflicts** that could arise from cultural misunderstandings. Explain how Cultural Intelligence can help resolve these conflicts and improve understanding. Provide examples to support your explanation.

Minimum: 1.500 words

Q5. (2 scores): Reflect on the conflicts identified in Q4. Discuss how being open-minded, flexible, and adaptable can help resolve these conflicts and adjust to cultural changes. Share a real or hypothetical example where these traits were crucial in navigating cultural differences. What lessons can you apply to future intercultural interactions?

Minimum: 1.500 words

Total: 10 points.

#### 2. Instructions on how to present the exam questions

- Report submission must be bright and clear; design a neat layout, correct spelling, and APA citation (if applied any), with an evaluation sheet of group members.
- The peer evaluation should be attached to the final report.
- Language: English
- No late submission is allowed.

#### 3. Rubric and grading scale

**Rubric:** The final exam test takes 50% of the whole course. The final exam is a Group Project Report with individual evaluation. The following formula calculates the score:

Final exam score = Report scores \* 0.7 + Peer evaluation \* 0.3

#### Rubric for a group report

### Q1. Research on Assigned Nation or Culture (1 score):

Criteria	Excellent (1)	Good (0.75)	Fair (0.5)	Poor (0-0.25)
Criteria Coverage of Cultural Aspects and Importance in Global Context	Thoroughly covers history, customs, traditions, language, communication styles, business	Covers most cultural aspects but may lack depth in one or two areas. Explains the importance but	Covers some cultural aspects but lacks depth and completeness. Provides a basic explanation with	Inadequate coverage of cultural aspects, missing several key areas. Fails to explain the importance or
	etiquette, and social norms. Clearly explains the importance of understanding these elements in a globalized and multicultural world with relevant examples.	lacks depth or specific examples.	minimal relevance or examples.	provide relevant examples.

# Q2. Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions (4 scores):

Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (0-1)
Explanation of	Thoroughly	Explains	Provides a basic	Inadequate
Dimensions/	explains how	dimensions with	explanation with	explanation with
Impact on	Hofstede's	some examples,	few examples.	minimal
Practices/	dimensions	but lacks depth in	Basic illustration	examples or
Application to	manifest in the	one or two areas.	of impact with	understanding.
Learning and	assigned culture	Illustrates impact	minimal insights.	Fails to illustrate
Working	with detailed	but lacks depth	Basic description	the impact
	examples.	or specific	with minimal	effectively.
	Clearly illustrates	insights in some	application.	Fails to describe
	how these	areas.		the application or
	dimensions affect	Describes		relevance to
	social, business,	application but		learning and
	and	lacks specific		working.
	communication	details or		-
	practices with	examples.		
	relevant insights.			
	Clearly describes			
	how			
	understanding			
	these dimensions			
	can improve			
	multicultural			
	learning and			
	working with			
	specific			
	applications.			

# Q3. Analysis of Cultural Dimensions in Key Areas (1 score):

Criteria	Excellent (1)	Good (0.75)	Fair (0.5)	Poor (0-0.25)
Analysis and Importance of Skills	Excellent (1) Thoroughly analyzes the impact on leadership, decision-making, communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution. Clearly discusses the importance of active listening, empathy, and cultural respect with a relevant scenario.	Good (0.75)  Analyzes the impact but lacks depth in one or two areas.  Discusses importance but lacks depth or specific scenario.	Fair (0.5) Basic analysis with minimal depth. Basic discussion with minimal relevance or scenario.	Poor (0-0.25) Inadequate analysis, missing several key areas. Fails to discuss the importance or provide a relevant scenario.

# Q4. Comparison and Conflict Resolution (2 scores):

# Q5. Reflection and Adaptability (2 score):

Criteria	Excellent (2)	Good (1.5)	Fair (1)	Poor (0-0.5)
Reflection and Application	Reflects thoroughly on conflicts, discussing open- mindedness, flexibility, and adaptability with a real or hypothetical example. Clearly identifies lessons for future intercultural interactions.	Reflects on conflicts with some discussion of key traits and examples. Identifies lessons but lacks depth or specificity.	Basic reflection with minimal discussion or example. Basic identification with minimal relevance.	Inadequate reflection with few or no discussed traits or examples. Fails to identify relevant lessons for future interactions

### Rubric for peer evaluation

Rating	Student's name
Group Participation	
Attends meetings regularly and on time.	
Time Management & Responsibility	
Accepts fair share of work and reliably completes it by the required time	

Adaptability
Displays or tries to develop a wide range of skills in service of the project readily accepts changed approach or constructive criticism.
Creativity/Originality Problem-solves when faced with impasses or challenges, originates new ideas, and initiates team decisions.
Communication Skills  Effective in discussions, good listener, capable presenter, proficient at diagramming, representing, and documenting work.
General Team Skills  Positive attitude, encourages and motivates team, supports team decisions, helps team reach consensus, helps resolve conflicts in the group.
Technical Skills Ability to create and develop materials on own initiative provides technical solutions to problems.
Comments, Examples, Explanations, etc.
Total score (convert to the 10-point scale)

Note for Scoring: award yourself and each team member a score using this scale.

- 3 Better than most of the group in this respect
- 2 About average for the group in this respect
- 1 Not as good as most of the group in this respect
- 0 No help at all to the group in this respect

Ho Chi Minh City, 12 / 6 /2024.

Lecturer

Dhu Nguyễn Viễn Thông

Approval

Doàn Duy Chái Lâm