

Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii
Preface	ix
Acknowledgements	xii
List of Contributors	xiv
Introduction: On the Concept of Cross-European Technology Assessment <i>Rasmus Øjvind Nielsen and Lars Klüver</i>	1
The TA Manifesto	13
Part I Expanding Technology Assessment	
1 Seeing Technology Assessment with New Eyes <i>Rinie van Est, Michael Nentwich, Jurgen Ganzevles and André Krom</i>	18
2 Expanding the TA Landscape – Lessons from Seven European Countries <i>Leonhard Hennen, Linda Nierling and Judit Mosoni-Fried</i>	37
3 Adopting TA in Central and Eastern Europe – An Organizational Perspective <i>Lenka Hebáková, Edgaras Leichteris, Katalin Fodor and Ventseslav Kozarev</i>	57

4	Technology Assessment for Parliaments – Towards Reflexive Governance of Innovation <i>Danielle Bütschi and Mara Almeida</i>	64
5	Doing Cross-European Technology Assessment <i>Marianne Barland, Danielle Bütschi, Edgaras Leichteris and Walter Peissl</i>	77
Part II Exemplifying Cross-European Technology Assessment		
6	The Future Panel on Public Health Genomics – Lessons Learned and Future Perspectives <i>André Krom, Mara Almeida, Leo Hennen, Edgaras Leichteris, Arnold Sauter and Dirk Stermerding</i>	90
7	The Future of Ageing – Stakeholder Involvement on the Future of Care <i>Marianne Barland, Pierre Delvenne and Benedikt Rosskamp</i>	105
8	Europe Wide Views on Sustainable Consumption <i>Marie-Louise Jørgensen, Ventseslav Kozarev and Kathrine Lindegaard Juul</i>	114
Part III Building Capacities for Cross-European TA		
9	Making Technology Assessment Accessible to New Players <i>Pierre Delvenne, Benedikt Rosskamp, Ciara Fitzgerald and Frédéric Adam</i>	124
10	Training TA Professionals <i>Danielle Bütschi, Zoya Damaniova, Ventseslav Kovarev and Blagovesta Chonkova</i>	131
11	Building Community – Or Why We Need an Ongoing Conference Platform for TA <i>Constanze Scherz, Lenka Hebáková, Leonhard Hennen, Tomáš Michalek, Julia Hahn and Stefanie B. Seitz</i>	139
12	E-Infrastructure for Technology Assessment <i>M. Nentwich</i>	147
	Bibliography	157
	Index	164

List of Figures

1.1	Four spheres involved in the relation model of PTA	23
1.2	Currently operational models of (P)TA	24
2.1	Overview over core economic and R&D data	41
2.2	Possible pathways towards TA	49
7.1	The PACITA scenarios for the future of ageing	109
12.1	Homepage of the TA portal	153



List of Tables

1.1	Key elements of the relational model of TA and related research issues and lessons learned	30
3.1	Likelihood of institution taking over a particular function	63
5.1	Overview of PACITA example projects	81
6.1	Items highlighted in Policy Brief on Public Health Genomics	96
7.1	Content of scenarios on the future of ageing	110
7.2	Policy recommendations produced by participating stakeholders	112
8.1	Europe Wide Views in numbers	118
11.1	2nd PACITA Conference programme	145



Preface

This volume gives an updated picture of technology assessment (TA) in Europe and provides outlooks towards the establishment of a common European TA capacity for knowledge-based policy formation.

The volume gathers contributions from participants in the PACITA (Parliaments and Civil Society in Technology Assessment) project, which ran from 2011 to 2015. The volume is divided into three parts, which are preceded by Introduction that posits the expansion of TA capacities across Europe as a necessary supplement to existing European institutions, as well as a re-print of the so-called PACITA Manifesto, which urges policy makers at national and European levels to support such an expansion.

Part I of the book – Expanding Technology Assessment – pushes the concept of TA beyond its traditional limits and shows how TA may be institutionalized as a flexible system of collaborative efforts among a diverse range of actors across Europe. Chapter 1 examines existing TA institutions and their institutional roles and argues that TA as an umbrella term in fact applies to a broad range of ‘TA-like’ policy support functions that might meaningfully be termed ‘policy-oriented technology assessment’. Chapter 2 gives an account of the practical efforts and successes within PACITA at expanding TA geographically to the participating countries, yet without formal parliamentary TA institutions, namely Belgium (Wallonia), Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Ireland, Lithuania and Portugal and shows the multiplicity of ways in which

TA may be adapted to the different national contexts. Chapter 3 takes the point of view of institutional entrepreneurs in the post-communist partner countries and depicts the process of adopting TA and adapting it to established national and organizational cultures. Chapter 4 conveys the outcomes of a series of parliamentary dialogues about the possible value of TA for parliaments in which parliamentarians called for a cross-European TA capacity to support reflexive European governance of science, technology and innovation. Chapter 5 sums up the decade-long experience in the TA field of developing cross-European forms of collaboration as well as the practical experiences gained in PACITA. Here, the common lesson is that not only is European collaboration in TA methodologically and organizationally feasible but it also adds greatly to the value of individual TA projects by allowing cross-national learning and comparison.

Part II – Exemplifying Cross-European Technology Assessment – digs into three example projects that were part of PACITA. Here, three different methodological approaches were applied on three highly policy-relevant topics. Chapter 6 describes a cross-national ‘Future Panel’ of parliamentarians from different member states, which facilitated a strategic learning process about the possible contributions of public health genomics to the healthcare systems of the future. Chapter 7 tells the story of a process of structured parallel national stakeholder dialogues about the future of ageing, which fed into and connected national and European policy debates on ageing society. Chapter 8 describes and analyses a cross-European citizen summit event concerning European policies on sustainable consumption, which made it clear that nationally rooted deliberation on highly complicated matters can serve as a filter to sort the real wishes of citizens out from the fears of decision makers about citizens’ reactions to policy measures. Overall, the three chapters show that developing practices of cross-border TA is both practicable and valuable, but it needs to be institutionally rooted at national level – with European support.

The final part, Part III, of the book – Building Capacities for Cross-European TA – takes on the concrete question of how to proceed in establishing cross-European TA capacities. The chapters explain some of the steps already taken within the PACITA project and point to future perspectives for building on those efforts. These include the development of common training courses for practitioners (Chapter 9), educational seminars for policy makers and journalists (Chapter 10), international

TA conferences (Chapter 11) and a common IT-platform for exchange and collaboration among practitioners (Chapter 12).

All contributions to this volume stand on the shoulders of the public deliverables of the PACITA project, which are available at www.pacita-project.eu.

Acknowledgements

The PACITA (Parliaments and Civil Society in Technology Assessment) project was funded by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement number 266649, which made the project possible.

We wish to thank the network for European Parliamentary Technology Assessment (EPTA) for taking the initiative to launch PACITA. Special thanks also go to Member of the European Parliament, former Research Commissioner and former Chairman of STOA Philippe Busquin, as well as former Chairwoman of the Committee for Education, Research and Technology Assessment at the German Bundestag Ulla Burchardt, for driving debate on expanding technology assessment to all European member states, thereby providing a platform on which PACITA could unfold.

We are grateful to all those parliamentarians from the member states who involved themselves in the project and helped to further the debate. We are also thankful to the many stakeholders and citizens who chose to spend time participating in the example projects within PACITA.

Last but by no means least we wish to thank the international colleagues who supported the PACITA project with fresh insights and critical perspectives. For participation in the project's advisory panel, thanks go to Attila Havas, Gilbert Fayl, Nera Kuljanic, Theo Karapiperis, Timothy M. Persons and Wiebe Bijker. For taking time out to help shape this book, thanks go to Dionysia Lagiou, Hillary Sutcliffe, Josee van Eijndhoven and Karel-Herman Haegeman.

The members of the PACITA consortium:

Fonden Teknologiraadet The Danish Board of Technology Foundation Denmark	Fundació Catalana per a la Recerca i la Innovació Catalan Foundation for Research and Innovation, Catalonia, Spain
Institute of Technology Assessment, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany	Zentrum für Technologiefolgen- Abschätzung Swiss Centre for Technology Assessment, Switzerland
Rathenau Instituut The Rathenau Institute, Netherlands	Ziniy Ekonomikos Forumas Knowledge Economy Forum, Lithuania
Teknologiraadet The Norwegian Board of Technology, Norway	Technologické centrum AV ČR Technology Centre ASCR, Czech Republic
Institut für Technikfolgen-Abschätzung, Austrian Academy of Sciences The Institute of Technology Assessment, Austria	Univertité de Liège, SPIRAL University of Liège, SPIRAL Research Centre. Wallonia
Applied Research and Communifactions Fund, Bulgaria	Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh University College Cork, Ireland
Instituto de Tecnologia Quimica e Biologica Institute of Biology and Chemistry, Portugal	Magyar Tudományos Akadémia Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary
Institut Samenvlevning en Technologie Institute for Society and Technology, Flandern, Belgium	

List of Contributors

Frédéric Adam, PhD, Associate Professor of Business Information Systems at University College Cork in Ireland and a visiting research fellow in the School of Economics and Management at Lund University, Sweden.

Mara Almeida, BSc, PhD in Developmental Biology, project manager at the Institute of Biological and Chemical Technology, Portugal.

► Marianne Barland, Master in European Science and Technology studies, project manager at the Norwegian Board of Technology (NBT), Norway.

Danielle Bütschi, doctorate in Social Sciences and Economics, senior researcher and project manager at TA-Swiss, Switzerland.

Blagovesta Chonkova, MSc in Public Policy, project officer at ARC Fund, Bulgaria.

Zoya Damianova, MSc, MBA, program director responsible for strategic development at ARC Fund, Bulgaria.

Pierre Delvenne, PhD in Political Science, research fellow at SPIRAL Research Centre, University of Liège, Wallonia, Belgium.

Ciara Fitzgerald, PhD, is a lecturer in the Department of Accounting, Finance and Information Systems, senior post-doctoral research fellow, University College Cork, Ireland.

Katalin Fodor, International Relations Officer, the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary.

Jurgen Ganzevles, MSc, PhD, senior researcher at the Rathenau Instituut, the Netherlands.

Julia Hahn, MA in Cultural Sciences, scientific staff member at the Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany.

Lenka Hebakova, MSc in Political Science, project manager at the Strategic Studies Department of the Technology Centre ASCR, the Czech Republic.

Leonhard Hennen, Dr. phil. In Sociology, senior researcher at the Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany.

Marie Louise Jørgensen, MSc in Public Administration, project manager at the Danish Board of Technology Foundation (DBT), Denmark.

Lars Klüver, MSc In Biology/Ecology, Director of the Danish Board of Technology Foundation (DBT), Denmark. Coordinator of the PACITA project.

Ventseslav Kozarev, MSc in Public Policy, MA in Political Science, researcher at ARC Fund, Bulgaria.

André Krom, PhD, senior researcher in the technology assessment department of the Rathenau Instituut, the Netherlands.

Edgaras Leichteris, Master's in Law and Management, PhD student in Public Administration, Director of Knowledge Economy Forum, Lithuania.

Katrine Lindegaard Juul, MSc in social anthropology, project manager at the Danish Board of Technology Foundation (DBT), Denmark.

Tomáš Michaelek, PhD in International Relations, research policy analyst in the Strategic Studies Department of Technology Centre ASCR, the Czech Republic.

Judit Mosoni-Fried, Dr oec. in Economics, senior researcher at the Institute for Research Organization, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary.

Michael Nentwich, Dr habil, Director of Institute of Technology Assessment, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austria.

Rasmus Øjvind Nielsen, MA, PhD student In Public Administration, researcher at the Danish Board of Technology Foundation (DBT), Denmark.

Linda Nierling, PhD in Sociology, researcher at the Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany.

Walter Peissl, PhD in Social Sciences, Deputy Director and a senior researcher at the Institute of Technology Assessment, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austria.

Benedikt Roskamp, MSc, PhD in Social and Political Science, researcher at SPIRAL Research Centre, University of Liège, Wallonia, Belgium.

Arnold Sauter, Dr rer. nat. in Zoology, vice-director of office of technology assessment at the German Parliament (TAB), Germany.

Constanze Scherz, Diploma in Social Sciences, scientific staff member at the Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany.

Stefanie B. Seitz, Diploma in Biology, PhD, scientific staff member at the Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany.

Dirk Stemerding, PhD, senior researcher at the Rathenau Instituut, the Netherlands.

Rinie Van Est, research coordinator and ‘trendcatcher’ at the Rathenau Instituut, the Netherlands.