Contents

1	Introduction: Opportunity in America—Setting the Stage Henry Braun and Irwin Kirsch	1
Part	I Understanding Where We Are Today	
2	Segregation, Race, and the Social Worlds of Rich and Poor Douglas S. Massey and Jonathan Tannen	13
3	Federalism and Inequality in Education: What Can History Tell Us? Carl Kaestle	35
4	The Changing Distribution of Educational Opportunities: 1993–2012 Bruce Baker, Danielle Farrie, and David G. Sciarra	97
5	The Dynamics of Opportunity in America: A Working Framework Henry Braun	137
Part	t II The Labor Market	
6	Wages in the United States: Trends, Explanations, and Solutions Jared Bernstein	167
7	The Widening Socioeconomic Divergence in the U.S. Labor Market Ishwar Khatiwada and Andrew M. Sum	197

Part III Education and Opportunity

8	Gates, Gaps, and Intergenerational Mobility: The Importance of an Even Start Timothy M. (Tim) Smeeding	255
9	Quality and Equality in American Education: Systemic Problems, Systemic Solutions Jennifer A. O'Day and Marshall S. Smith	297
10	Restoring Opportunity by Expanding Apprenticeship Robert I. Lerman	359
11	Improving Opportunity Through Better Human Capital Investments for the Labor Market Harry J. Holzer	387
Par	t IV Politics and the Road Ahead	
12	Political and Policy Responses to Problems of Inequality and Opportunity: Past, Present, and Future Leslie McCall	415
13	How Will We Know? The Case for Opportunity Indicators Richard V. Reeves	443
Par	t V Seeking Inclusive Prosperity	
14	Epilogue: Can Capitalists Reform Themselves? Chrystia Freeland	467
App in A	pendix: Members of the Opportunity America Advisory Panel	475
Ind	ex	477

About the Editors and Contributors

Editors

Irwin Kirsch is Tyler Chair in Large-Scale Assessment and Director of the Center for Global Assessment at Educational Testing Service (ETS). He also serves as Project Director of ETS's *Opportunity in America* initiative.

Henry Braun is Boisi Professor of Education and Public Policy in the Lynch School of Education and Director of the Center for the Study of Testing, Evaluation, and Education Policy at Boston College. He also serves as Project Co-Director of ETS's *Opportunity in America* initiative.

Contributors

Bruce Baker is Professor at the Graduate School of Education at Rutgers University and maintains blogs on school finance and educational policy.

Jared Bernstein is a Senior Fellow at the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. He previously served as Chief Economist and Economic Adviser to Vice President Joe Biden.

Danielle Farrie is Research Director of the Education Law Center in Newark, New Jersey.

Chrystia Freeland is the Canadian Minister of International Trade and Member of Parliament for University-Rosedale, Toronto, author of *Plutocrats: The Rise of the New Global Super-rich and the Fall of Everyone Else*, and journalist.

Harry J. Holzer is Professor at the McCourt School of Public Policy at Georgetown University and is an Institute Fellow at the American Institutes for Research. He previously served as Chief Economist at the U.S. Department of Labor.

Carl Kaestle is University Professor of Education, History, and Public Policy emeritus at Brown University.

Ishwar Khatiwada is a Labor Economist at the Center for Labor Markets and Policy at Drexel University.

Robert I. Lerman is an Institute Fellow at the Urban Institute, Emeritus Professor of Economics at American University, and a Research Fellow at IZA in Bonn, Germany. He is also the Founder of the American Institute for Innovative Apprenticeship.

Douglas S. Massey is the Henry G. Bryant Professor of Sociology and Public Affairs at Princeton University's Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs.

Leslie McCall is a Professor in the Department of Sociology and Faculty Fellow at the Institute for Policy Research at Northwestern University.

Jennifer A. O'Day is an Institute Fellow of the American Institutes for Research and is the Founder and Chair of the California Collaborative on District Reform.

Richard V. Reeves is a Senior Fellow in Economic Studies, Co-Director of the Center on Children and Families, and Editor-in-Chief of the Social Mobility Memos blog at the Brookings Institution.

David G. Sciarra is Executive Director of the Education Law Center in Newark, New Jersey.

Timothy M. (Tim) Smeeding is the Arts and Sciences Distinguished Professor of Public Affairs and Economics at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. He was previously Director of the Institute for Research on Poverty at Wisconsin-Madison.

Marshall S. Smith is a Senior Scholar at the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, a former Dean and Professor at Stanford, and a former Under Secretary and Acting Deputy Secretary at the U.S. Department of Education in the Clinton administration. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the National Academy of Education.

Andrew M. Sum is Professor Emeritus of Economics at Northeastern University in Boston. He was previously the Director of the Center for Labor Market Studies.

Jonathan Tannen is a Doctoral Candidate in the Urban and Population clusters of the Woodrow Wilson School and the Office of Population Research at Princeton University.

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1	Black-White residential dissimilarity and Black	
	and White poverty rates in metropolitan areas	15
Fig. 2.2	Segregation trends in the most and least segregated	
	metropolitan areas	17
Fig. 2.3	Percentage of households earning less than \$30,000	
	in neighborhoods of metropolitan areas (by various	
	race/income groups)	19
Fig. 2.4	Percentage of households earning more than \$120,000	
	in neighborhoods of metropolitan areas (by various	
	race/income groups)	21
Fig. 2.5	Percentage of individuals 25 and over with a college	
	degree in neighborhoods of metropolitan areas	
	(by various race/income groups)	22
Fig. 2.6	Potential home wealth in neighborhoods of metropolitan	
	areas (by various race/income groups)	23
Fig. 2.7	Relationship between racial segregation by neighborhood	
	to racial segregation by school district across states	24
Fig. 2.8	Relationship between racial segregation and gap	
	in percentage affluent between poor Black and affluent	
	White neighborhoods	25
Fig. 2.9	Relationship between racial segregation and gap	
	in potential home wealth between poor Black	
	and affluent White neighborhoods	26
Fig. 2.10	Percentage of households earning less than \$30,000 in	
	neighborhoods of hypersegregated metropolitan areas	
	(by various race/income groups)	27
Fig. 2.11	Percentage of households earning more than \$120,000 in	
-	neighborhoods of hypersegregated metropolitan areas	
	(by various race/income groups)	28

Fig. 2.12	Percentage of individuals 25 and over with a college degree in neighborhoods of hypersegregated metropolitan areas (by various race/income groups)	29
Fig. 2.13	Potential home wealth in neighborhoods of hypersegregated metropolitan areas (by various race/income groups)	30
Fig. 4.1	Conceptual map of fiscal inputs & real resources	108
Fig. 4.2	Input price adjusted revenue and spending	114
Fig. 4.3	Predicted state and local revenues over time by state	115
Fig. 4.4	Relationship between effort and revenue	117
Fig. 4.5	Spending levels and staffing levels 2011–2012	124
Fig. 4.6	Relating total staffing and class size	125
Fig. 4.7	Spending levels and competitive wages	126
Fig. 4.8	Spending fairness and staffing fairness 2011–2012	126
Fig. 4.9	Change in class size for 1 unit change in relative	
•	spending and relative poverty	128
Fig. 4.10	Change in salary competitiveness for 1 unit change	
	in relative spending	128
Eig 51	Distribution of real wars and salary compines	
FIg. 3.1	for full yoon full time males workers aged 18, 64	
	for run-year, run-time males workers aged 18–04	120
Eig 50	Distribution of real wags and salary carnings for	139
Fig. 3.2	full year full time male workers aged 16 and over	
	as compared to 2000	140
Fig 53	Cumulative change in real annual wages	140
11g. 5.5	by wage group 1070, 2010	1/1
Fig 54	Changes in real wage levels of full time U.S. workers	141
1 lg. J.+	by sex and education 1963-2012	142
Fig 55	Problem-solving proficiency among younger adults	172
1 15. 5.5	(age 16–24) and older adults (age 55–65) (OFCD 2013)	143
Fig 56	Underutilization rates among U.S. workers (16 and over)	145
1 15. 5.0	by educational attainment January 2012–August 2013	
	averages (in %)	154
		101
Fig. 6.1	Real hourly wage trends by decile, 1979–2013	170
Fig. 6.2	Real hourly wage trends: men	171
Fig. 6.3	Real hourly wage trends: women	171
Fig. 6.4	Changes in real wage levels of full-time U.S. workers	
	by sex and education, 1963–2012	173
Fig. 6.5	Real annual earnings by wage percentile, 1979–2012	174
Fig. 6.6	First principal component: Five series, nominal growth	177
Fig. 6.7	Compensation as share of national income, 1959–2013	179
Fig. 6.8	Percent of time unemployment has been "Too High"	185
Fig. 6.9	Change in real wages by wage level given 30 % decline	
	in unemployment rate	185

Fig. 6.10	Trade deficit/GDP and manufacturing compensation,	186
Fig. 6.11	Growth of the top 1 % income advantage	160
1 lg. 0.11	and the college wage premium, 1979–2011	188
D '. 7 1		
F1g. /.1	Measuring the unemployed, underemployed, the hidden	100
E	Unemployed, and the underutilized labor force	199
F1g. 7.2	Unemployment rates among workers (16 and over)	202
D' 70	by educational attainment, 2013–2014 averages (in %)	202
F1g. 7.3	Unemployment rates among workers (16 and over)	202
F' 74	by household income, $2013-2014$ averages (in %)	203
F1g. /.4	Unemployment rates among workers (16 and over)	
	by educational attainment and household income,	204
D: 7.5	2013–2014 averages (in %)	204
Fig. 7.5	Underemployment rates among employed workers	
	(16 and over) in $2013-2014$ by educational attainment,	200
	annual averages (in %)	206
F1g. 7.6	Underemployment rates among employed workers	
	(16 and over) in 2013–2014 by household income,	
	annual averages (in %)	207
Fig. 7.7	Underemployment rates among workers (16 and over)	
	by educational attainment and household income,	
	2013–2014 annual averages (in %)	208
Fig. 7.8	Hidden unemployment rates among workers	
	(16 and over) in 2013–2014 by educational attainment,	
	annual averages (in %)	210
Fig. 7.9	Hidden unemployment rates among the adjusted labor	
	force (16 and over) by household income, 2013–2014	
	annual averages (in %)	211
Fig. 7.10	Hidden unemployment rates among workers (16 and over)	
	by educational attainment and household income,	
	2013–2014, annual averages (in %)	211
Fig. 7.11	Numbers of underutilized workers (16 and over), all	
	and by type of labor market problem, 2013–2014	
	averages (in millions)	212
Fig. 7.12	Labor force underutilization rates among workers	
	(16 and over) by educational attainment, 2013–2014	
	annual averages (in %)	213
Fig. 7.13	Labor force underutilization rates among workers	
	(16 and over) by household income, 2013–2014 annual	
	averages (in %)	215
Fig. 7.14	Labor underutilization rates among workers (16 and over)	
	by educational attainment and household income,	
	2013–2014 annual averages (in %)	215

217

220

223

227

227

228

230

Fig. 7.15	Comparisons of the labor underutilization rates
	of low-income, high school dropouts and affluent
	adults with a master's degree or higher by race-ethnic
	group, 2013–2014 annual averages (in %)
Fig. 7.16	Trends in the employment/population ratios of teens
	and young adults (20-24, 25-29) in 1999-2000
	and 2013–2014 (in %)
Fig. 7.17	Percentage point increases in labor underutilization
	rates among selected educational and household income
	groups of workers, 1999–2000 to 2013–2014
Fig. 7.18	Poverty rates of persons 16 and older in 2012–2013
	by labor underutilization status in March 2013-
	March 2014
Fig. 7.19	Poverty rates of underutilized U.S. workers
	(16 and over) by educational attainment, March 2013-
	March 2014 (in %)
Fig. 7.20	Poverty rates of workers (16 and over) by underutilized
	status and educational attainment, March 2013 and March
	2014 (in %)
Fig. 7.21	Poverty/near poverty rates of workers (16 and over)
	in 2012–2013 by labor underutilization status,
	March 2013–March 2014
Fig. 7.22	Poverty/near poverty rates of underutilized U.S. workers
	(16 and over) by educational attainment, March 2013-
	March 2014 (in %)
Fig. 7.23	Poverty/near-poverty rates of selected groups of workers
	(16 and over) by underutilized status and educational
	attainment, March 2013-March 2014 (in %)
Fig. 7.24	Low-income rates of workers (16 and over)

Fig. 7.22	Poverty/near poverty rates of underutilized U.S. workers	
	(16 and over) by educational attainment, March 2013-	
	March 2014 (in %)	230
Fig. 7.23	Poverty/near-poverty rates of selected groups of workers	
	(16 and over) by underutilized status and educational	
	attainment, March 2013–March 2014 (in %)	231
Fig. 7.24	Low-income rates of workers (16 and over)	
	in 2012–2013 by labor underutilization status,	
	March 2013–March 2014	232
Fig. 7.25	Low-income rates of underutilized workers	
	(16 and over) by educational attainment,	
	March 2013–March 2014 (in %)	233
Fig. 7.26	Low-income rates of selected educational attainment/labor	
	underutilized groups of workers (16 and over),	
	March 2013–March 2014 (in %)	234
$E_{i\alpha} \otimes 1$	A model of intergenerational transmission	
Fig. 8.1	a model of intergenerational transmission	260
	A fter tex and transfer dispessible income for	200
FIg. 8.2	After-tax and transfer disposable income for	
	nousenoids with children: mean income in bottom,	260
E'. 0.2	Characteria and top quintiles, 1979–2010	209
F1g. 8.3	Changes in real wage levels of full-time U.S. workers	071
	by sex and education, 1963–2012	2/1

Fig. 8.4	Never-married mothers by education attainment	277
Fig. 8.5	Unmarried mothers by mothers' education	278
Fig. 8.6	Racial and ethnic cognitive disparities at ages	
•	9 and 24 months	279
Fig. 8A.1	Disparities in cognitive and socio-behavioral outcomes	
e	by income level at 9 and 24 months	286
Fig. 8A.2	Disparities in cognitive and socio-behavioral outcomes	
U	by education of mother	287
Fig. 12.1	American concerns about inequality, 1987–2012	422
Fig. 12.2	American perceptions of occupational pay	
-	and pay inequality	423
Fig. 12.3	American and international perceptions	
•	of economic opportunity	424
Fig. 12.4	Changes in perceptions of economic opportunity,	
•	1987–2012	425
Fig. 12.5	Changes in perceptions of economic opportunity,	
e	2001–2012	426
Fig. 12.6	Adjusted trend in index of concerns about inequality	
C	(scaled 0–1)	427
Fig. 13.1	Absolute mobility: share of Americans who exceed	
	their parents' family income	447
Fig. 13.2	Relative intergenerational income mobility	448
Fig. 13.3	Social mobility matrix: college graduate	449
Fig. 13.4	Social mobility matrix: less than high school education	449
Fig. 13.5	Social mobility matrix: Black Americans	450
Fig. 13.6	Social mobility matrix: White Americans	451
Fig. 13.7	Social mobility matrix: children of never-married mothers	451
Fig. 13.8	Social mobility matrix: children of continuously	
-	married mothers	452

List of Tables

Trends in desegregation, 1950–2000: percentage of Black	
students in 90-100 % non-White schools, by region	48
Funding of special education costs, percent shares,	
1983 through 2010	59
Main NAEP reading scores, 1992–2013: White/Black	
and White/Hispanic gaps	79
Main NAEP mathematics scores, 1992–2013: White/Black	
and White/Hispanic gaps	79
Federal, state, and local share: public elementary	
and secondary school budgets	88
Numbers of states where funding fairness ratio	
has improved	117
Spending fairness indices for select years	119
Summary of changes in wage competitiveness	120
Teacher/nonteacher wage ratios for select years	121
Summary of staffing level changes over time	122
Predicted staffing ratios for select years	123
Fixed effects model of pupil-to-teacher ratio fairness	127
Data sources, years, and measures	131
Summary data by state	132
Percent of 24- to 28-year-old adults in the U.S.	
in 2008 without a high school diploma or GED	
by ASVAB test score quintile and family's income	
in their teenaged years in 1997	143
Comparisons of the unemployment rates of U.S. adults	
16 and older by educational attainment, 2000 and	
2012–2013 (in %)	155
Wages for full-time employment by educational	
attainment, 1979–2009	156
	Trends in desegregation, 1950–2000: percentage of Black students in 90–100 % non-White schools, by region Funding of special education costs, percent shares, 1983 through 2010 Main NAEP reading scores, 1992–2013: White/Black and White/Hispanic gaps Main NAEP mathematics scores, 1992–2013: White/Black and White/Hispanic gaps Federal, state, and local share: public elementary and secondary school budgets Numbers of states where funding fairness ratio has improved Spending fairness indices for select years Summary of changes in wage competitiveness Fixed effects model of pupil-to-teacher ratio fairness Data sources, years, and measures Summary data by state Percent of 24- to 28-year-old adults in the U.S. in 2008 without a high school diploma or GED by ASVAB test score quintile and family's income in their teenaged years in 1997 Comparisons of the unemployment rates of U.S. adults 16 and older by educational attainment, 2000 and 2012–2013 (in %)

Table 6.1	Real annual earnings, 1947–2012	175
Table 7.1	Comparisons of the unemployment rates of adults 16 and older by educational attainment, 1999–2000	
	and 2013–2014 (in %)	205
Table 7.2	Comparisons of the underemployment rates of employed	
	adults by household income and educational attainment in 1000, 2000 and 2012, 2014 (in $f(x)$)	200
Table 7.2	In 1999–2000 and 2013–2014 (In %)	208
Table 7.5	Labor force underutilization rates of workers 10 and alder by advastional attainment, 1000, 2000	
	and older by educational attainment, $1999-2000$	214
Table 7.4	Comparisons of the labor understilization rates	214
Table 7.4	of adults 16 and alder by advastional attainment	
	of adults 10 and older by educational attainment	
	and nousehold income groups, by gender and race-etimic	216
Table 7.5	Bradiantad probabilities for selected individuals	210
Table 7.5	16 and older of being an underutilized member of the	
	notion's labor force in 2012, 2014 (in %)	210
Table 7.6	Employment population ratio of 16, to 24 year old	210
Table 7.0	by school enrollment status, 1000, 2000	
	and 2012 2014 averages	221
Table 7.7	Bredicted probabilities of selected young adult	221
	labor force participants being underutilized	
	in 2013_2014 (in %)	222
Table 7.8	I abor force underutilization rates of U.S. workers	
14010 7.0	(16 and older) in selected educational attainment	
	and household income groups in 1999–2000	
	and 2013_2014 (in %)	223
Table 7.9	The annual money incomes equivalent to the poverty	225
Tuble 7.9	line the poverty/near poverty line and the low-income	
	threshold for selected individuals and families 2013	225
Table 7 10	Poverty rates of persons 16 and older in 2012–2013	223
10010 7.10	hy labor force underutilization status in March 2013–	
	March 2014 total and by gender and educational	
	attainment level (2-year averages)	226
Table 7-11	Poverty/near poverty rates of 16 and older persons	220
10010 /111	in 2012–2013 by labor force underutilization status	
	in March 2013 and March 2014 total and by gender	
	and educational attainment level	229
Table 7.12	Low-income rates of 16 and older persons in 2012–2013	/
- 4010 / 112	by labor force underutilization status in March 2013	
	and March 2014, total and by gender and educational	
	attainment level	235
		255

List of Tables

Table 7A.1	Labor force underutilization rates of persons 16	
	and older by household income level, educational	226
Table 7A 2	Comparisons of the labor understilization rates	230
Table /A.2	of workers leaking a high school diploma with those	
	bilding a master's or higher degree, all and hy gender	
	noturing a master's or nighter degree, an and by gender	027
$T_{a}h_{a}7A2$	Comparisons of the labor understilization rates of workers	231
Table /A.5	from low income families (under \$20,000) with these	
	from low-income families (under \$20,000) with those	
	from the most arruent (\$150,000 and over), all and by	220
TT 1 1 7 A 4	gender and race-ethnic group, 2013–2014 (in %)	238
Table /A.4	Comparisons of the labor underutilization rates	
	of workers from low-income families lacking a high	
	school diploma to workers from the most affluent families	
	with a master's or higher degree, all and by gender	2 20
	and race-ethnic group, 2013–2014 (in %)	239
Table 7A.5	Labor force underutilization rates of men 16 and older	
	by household income level, educational attainment,	•••
	2013–2014 averages (in %)	239
Table /A.6	Labor force underutilization rates of women 16	
	and older by household income level, educational	
	attainment, 2013–2014 averages (in %)	239
Table 7A.7	Labor force underutilization rates of 16 and older	
	by family income level, educational attainment level	
	for Asian adults, 2013–2014 averages	240
Table 7A.8	Labor force underutilization rates of 16 and older	
	by family income level, educational attainment level	
	for Black adults, 2013–2014 averages	240
Table 7A.9	Labor force underutilization rates of 16 and older	
	by family income level, educational attainment level	
	for Hispanic adults, 2013–2014 averages	240
Table 7A.10	Labor force underutilization rates of 16 and older	
	by family income level, educational attainment level	
	for Native American/other adults, 2013–2014 averages	241
Table 7A.11	Labor force underutilization rates of 16 and older	
	by family income level, educational attainment level	
	for White adults, 2013–2014 averages	241
Table 7A.12	Poverty rates of 16 and older persons in 2012–2013	
	by labor force underutilization status in March 2013	
	and March 2014 by selected race and educational	
	attainment level	241
Table 7A.13	Poverty/near poverty rates of 16 and older persons	
	in 2012–2013 by labor force underutilization status	
	in March 2013 and March 2014 by selected race	
	and educational attainment level	242

	•	•	٠
XXV	1	1	1
7171.4	-	•	•

Table 7A.14	Low-income rates of 16 and older persons in 2012–2013	
	by labor force underutilization status in March 2013	
	and March 2014 by selected race and educational	
	attainment level	243
Table 7B.1	Unemployment rates of workers by gender and race-ethnic	
	group in selected educational attainment and family income	
	groups, 2013–2014 (in %)	245
Table 7C.1	Definitions of the variables appearing in the logistic	
	probability model of being an underutilized labor	
	force participant	247
Table 7C.2	Findings of the logistic probability model of the	
	underutilized status of individual members of the	
	labor force in 2013–2014	248
Table 7E.1	Findings of the logistic probability model of the	
	underutilized status of individual members of the	
	young adult labor force under age 30 in 2013–2014	250
Table 12A.1	Support of selected policies related to inequality	
	and opportunity	439
Table 13.1	Dashboard of opportunity "Leading Indicators"	
	in United Kingdom	456
Table 13.2	The Colorado opportunity framework	457
Table 13.3	Indicators used in the U.K., Colorado, the Social	
	Genome Model, and Reeves' paper	
	on "Five Strong Starts"	462
	-	