# The Development of a Bilingual Fuzzy Expert System Shell

Hassan Mathkour, Israa Al-Turaiki and Ameur Touir Department of Computer Science King Saud University Riyadh, Saudi Arabia mathkour@ccis.ksu.edu.sa, binmathkour@yahoo.com, touir@ksu.edu.sa

(Received 21/12/2008; accepted for publication 02/03/2009)

Abstract. Fuzzy logic has been incorporated in many expert systems to solve real world problems that are inherently ambiguous. With fuzzy logic it is possible to program human intuition through the development of fuzzy expert system shells. A fuzzy expert system shell is a tool that helps build expert systems to manage fuzzy problems. Commercial as well as non-commercial fuzzy expert system shells are available. These shells provide variety of functions to facilitate the development of fuzzy expert systems for real world problems in different application areas such as medicine, engineering, and finance. To the best of our knowledge, none of the available fuzzy shells is natively developed for the Arabic language. This paper describes the development and the experimentation of a bilingual fuzzy expert system shell. This shell is intended to be a research tool for fuzzy expert systems developers in bilingual environments similar to those in the Arab world where users and developers use multi-languages due to their educational backgrounds and working environments. The shell processes fuzzy terms of the Arabic language as well as the English language. The shell is a general purpose shell that provides users with the ability to develop Arabic/English fuzzy expert systems using a simple Graphical User Interface. It applies implication methods that bear resemblance to human intuition. In the process of the development, a comparison of various fuzzy expert system shells has been performed to identify strengths and weaknesses of available shells. Experiments with our shell are reported and its performance is compared to existing shells that use different implication methods.

Keywords: Expert system shells, knowledge based systems, Fuzzy Implication methods, Bilingual Systems.

#### 1. Introduction

Expert system shells are versatile tools that are used to create expert systems. Fuzzy expert system shells have been developed to allow for reasoning that deals with crisp and fuzzy sets. These shells allow incorporation and manipulation of imprecise information using fuzzy set theory developed by Zadeh (Zadeh, 1965). They are used to create expert systems that can handle imprecise situations effectively. The ability to operate under imprecise environment makes expert systems closely behave like human being and provides a natural representation of people's daily terminologies. The ability of treating ambiguities, in a manner similar to human experts, makes expert systems versatile and adaptable to unforeseen circumstances which are difficult to avoid in real life applications. This has made fuzzy logic a suitable means to deal with the fuzziness of data and knowledge frequently encountered in the terminologies of human experts when developing knowledge based systems (Kelmet and Slany, 1993).

There have been attempts to design fuzzy expert system shells for large-scale general-purpose as well as domain specific applications (Philip, 1991; Aly and Vrana, 2006). Over the years, a large number of expert system shells have been developed and several of them are commercially available. JFK (López-Ortega, 2006), FuzzyShell (Pan, 1996), FuzzyJess (Orchard, 2001), FuzzyCLIPS (Orchard, 2004), FLINT (Shalfield, 2005), FLOPS (Siler and Buckley, 2005), Fuzzy Logic (Mathworks, 1999), and FuzzyJ toolkit (Council, 2001; Orchard, 2001) are examples of expert system shells. We have analyzed several of the existing shells in an attempt to indentify a shell having features that natively supports application development in Arabic language while allowing for application development in other languages. We searched for a shell that accommodates for Arabic fuzzy terms naturally and which employ intuitional inference methods. Our unsuccessful endeavor and realizing that making such a shell available will be useful for bilingual developers and users in research and educational environments motivated us to design and implement a fuzzy shell with Arabic/English support.

In the process, we have found it helpful to furnish a comparison for a set of the available fuzzy shells. These shells differ from one another in several aspects. For example, most of the shells implement inference methods that are mentioned in (Zadeh, 1975; Mamdani, 1977) while many (Fukami, 1980; Mizumoto, 1981; Mizumoto, 1982) have advocated that the methods that are based on the interpretation given in (Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979) perform better as they induce human intuition. In this research, we have taken the interpretation that is supported in (Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto, 1981). Our work in (Mathkour et al., 2009) introduces an Arabized fuzzy expert system shell. In this paper, we present the development of a bilingual (Arabic/English) fuzzy expert system shell, which is an extension of our work in (Mathkour et al., 2009), to allow for both the Arabic and English languages. We also report on experiments with the shell using real life data to demonstrate and analyze its human-like behavior using the selected inference methods. To measure its effectiveness, we have compared its performance with some of the available shells. We report on the experiments and comparison of our shell with FuzzyClips (Orchard, 1996) and FuzzyJ (Council, 2001; Orchard, 2001).

The objective of our extended shell is to provide a comprehensive tool that is intended to be a research tool for fuzzy expert systems developers in multi-lingual environments similar to those in the Arab world where users and developers use multilanguages due to their educational backgrounds and working environments. It is a general purpose shell that is based on the implication methods:  $R_s$ ,  $R_g$ ,  $R_{gs}$ ,  $R_{gg}$ ,  $R_{sg}$  and  $R_{ss}$  (Fukami, 1980; Siler and Buckley, 2005; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto, 1981).

It is also observed that many shells use dedicated programming languages for the expert system application development. Consequently, application developers are required to learn the programming languages that are supported by these shells. Learning a new programming language is not a desired requisite, especially for those who do not have a programming aptitude. Learning a new programming language distracts developers from their main objective of developing expert systems in their specific domains. In our shell, we have used a visual environment by adopting a simple graphical user interface. The interface supports both Arabic as well as English languages and it can be tailored for other languages by adding the user interface support for the required language.

In (Mathkour et al., 2009), we developed comparison criteria to evaluate aspects of available expert system shells. The criteria include evaluation of end-user interface, developer Interface and availability and installation of shell. In this paper, we further discuss these criteria and employ them to formulate comparison tables of a larger number of existing expert system shells.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a comparison of twenty expert system shells along with brief description of the comparison criteria. Section 3 presents the developed fuzzy shell, describes the implication methods, and the implementation. Section 4 presents experimentation with the system. Section 5 presents a comparison of our shell to some existing ones. Section 6 concludes the paper.

# 2. Comparison of Existing Shells

We have endeavored to compare the features of twenty shells of those available commercially and otherwise. These include Fuzzy Logic(Mathworks, 1999), JFK (López-Ortega, 2006), FuzzyJess (Orchard, 2001), FuzzyCLIPS (Orchard, 2004), FuzzyShell (Pan, 1996), FLINT (Shalfield, 2005), FLOPS (Siler and Buckley, 2005), CLIPS (Giarratano, 1998), Jess (Friedmann-Hill, 1999), Flex(Vasey, 1996), PSS (Forgy, 1981), ESB (Kent and Denholm, 1990), ESBuilder (Ishihara et al, 1995), and FuzzyJ toolkit (Council, 2001; Orchard, 2001). First we present a discussion of the comparison criteria, then present the results of our comparison in Table 8.

# 2.1 End-user interface

The user interface is an important component of any software development tool as it allows interaction between application developers and the tool. The user interface must be natural in the context applications that are being developed thereby releasing the developers from learning extraneous concepts and focusing on the development issues. The quality of the user interface is judged by its ease of use and naturalness. The following features are indicators of the quality of an interface:

- 1. Explanation facilities: This is used to explain the process through which the system has arrived at a decision.
- 2. User friendliness: This is judged by the quality of graphical user interface components such as menus, buttons, and usage of a natural language.
- 3. The ability to change the earlier answers without having to repeat the session from the beginning.

# 2.2 Developer interface

The expert system developers enter their knowledge through the rule editor. The rule editor should support the rule type selection and creation, rule change and update process, mathematical operations to implement the inference engine strategies. built-in member functions, defuzzification methods, certainty factor handling, error correction, and fact refinement and documentation. In addition to these, the rule editor must have provisions to interact with external environments like DBMSs, Spread sheets and Programming in modern languages like Java and C#. Features related to the rule editor are shown in Table 1 to Table 5 with their respective weights.

# 2.3 Procurement and installation

The availability of these tools could be problematic in some linguistic regions of the world. Once available, their installation is not always straight forward. Hence we have used it as an evaluation factor. Table 6 and 7 shows the weight assigned to measure the ease of procurement and installation.

|--|

Rule Type	Weight
Complex IF-THEN-ELSE rule	5
Complex IF-THEN rule	3
(multiple antecedents or/ and	
multiple consequents)	
Simple IF-THEN rule (one	1
antecedent one consequent)	

Table 2. Rule chaining weight								
Method	Symbol							
Forward	F							
Backward	В							
No built in chaining s	strategy NA							
(user defined)								
Table 3. Math capability weight								
Supported Math Function	ons Weight							
Advanced math function	ns 5							
Basic math functions	3							
None	1							
Table 4. Inference strategy weight	t							
Supported Inference St	rategies Weight							
None	1							
One or Two	3							
Three	5							
Table 5. Documentation weight								
Documentation	Weight							
Comprehensive & easy	to read 5							
Brief	1							
Table 6. Procurement weight								
Procurement Method	Weight							
Download from the Inte	ernet 5							
Order package CD	1							
Table 7. Installation weight	I							
Installation Method	Weight							
Unpack (run) one file	5							
Unpack source and com	pile 1							

# 3. The Proposed Bilingual Fuzzy Expert System Shell

The entry point to the system provides the users with the option of building expert systems using Arabic or English knowledge bases (Fig. 1). Upon selection, Arabic or an English screen portraying the main components of system is displayed (Fig. 2.a and 2.b). The main components of the shell are the variable editor, rule editor, and the inference engine.

#### 3.1 The variable editor

The variable editor's main purpose is to provide functions to create, edit, and delete fuzzy variables, their fuzzy values, membership functions, and universe of discourse. The layout of our variable editor is shown in Fig. 3.a and 3.b. The variable editor can be launched from the menu button of "Variable Editor" "محرر المتغيرات" in Fig. 3. Created variables and their properties can be seen from a dropdown menu.

Res         Builds         COS         Bits         COS         COS         Even         COS         Even         COS         Even         COS         Even         COS         Even         Even         COS         Even         <

🛓 Welcome مرحباً 🖃 🗐							
صدفية لتطوير النظو الخبيرة الضيابية							
A Bilingual Fuzzy Expert System Shell							
A settion of the set o							
موافق ۸۷							
Fig. 1. System entry point.							
🚺 Walaama Lee ya							
صدفية لتطوير النظم الخبيرة الضبابية							
A Bilingual Fuzzy Export System Shell							
A Bilingual Fuzzy Expert System Shell							
محرر المتعيرات محرر فواعد المعرفة							
ادخال قاعدة معرفة معرفة							
(a)							
🖌 🗖 📮 مرحبا Welcome 🗎							
صدفية لتطوير النظم الخبيرة الضيابية							
A Bilingual Fuzzy Expert System Shell							
Variable Editor KB Editor							
New KB Hedges							
(b)							

Fig. 2. The arabic and english components of the system.

# 3.2 Rules and Rule-base Editor

For The rule-base editor permits application developers to create, edit and delete rules. The rules are of the form IF antecedents Then consequents. A rule may have more than one antecedent and one consequent. Also, the editor allows the "Else part" in the rules. We use rules as our knowledge representation scheme because they are natural in representing expert knowledge, and they are easier to understand, modify, and maintain.

The rule editor can be launched from the menu entitled "KB Editor" "محرر قواعد المعرفة" on the menu bar of Fig. 2. Figure 4 shows the layout of the Knowledge base editor at the creation of a new knowledge base. A new knowledge base is created using "New KB" (معرفة" "in the menu bar of Fig. 2.

and the second se				- Contraction of Contraction	
English عرب					
	مساعدة	مولد الإستدلال	فاغدة المعرفه	ا متغيرات	سروع
			أنشئت حدى الآت	منغيرات النات	
فلاية	ىر ان	اسم المتغ	×	التغذية	
		ō.zoll			
	Cdi		حديد	متغير	
، متوسط، سکي، 💌	حيد	الغيم			
		aile u llois	سعير	CUTE .	
من الق	تحديد المدى		متغير	حذف	
		لتحديث البدوف			
					_
فيم الضعير قيم الداله	العلاصر				
سېء 📉 ۰,۰	× 10-	•	د المتغير	تحرير عناص	
دالة المتغير	تحرير				
		(a)			-
		(a)			
					-
لمتغيرات Variable Editor	محرر			-	
المتغيرات Variable Editor مربع English	محرر			_	
للمتغيرات Variable Editor مربع English Project Variables Know	محرر eledge base	Inference Enç	ine Help	-	
للمتغیرات Variable Editor المتغیر ال English Project Variables Know Available Variable	محرر Iedge base	Inference Enç	ine Help		
للمتغيرات Variable Editor لمربع English Project Variables Know Available Variable	محرير vledge base Variable N	Inference Enç	ine Help	_	
المتغيرات Variable Editor لمتغيرات English Project Variables Know Available Variable	محرر dedge base Variable N	Inference Eng	ine Help Nutrition		
Variable Editor المنظيرات مريخ Coglish Project Variables Know Available Variable Nutrition س	العجور Variable N Unit	Inference Enç Iame	ine Help Nutrition cal		
Variable Editor المنظيرات المنظيرات المنظيرات بالمناطقة المربحة المناطقة من المناطقة الم	العجرير Nedge base Variable N Unit Values	Inference Eng	ine Help Nutrition cal Good,Average,Lo		
Variable Editor کیریڈ کیریڈ [English Project Variables Know Available Variable Nutrition ۲ New Var Update Var	ہحرر Nedge base Variable N Unit Values	Inference Enç	ine Help Nutrition Cal Good,Average,Lo		
لاعتمادة Editor بالعنور العنور ال Available Variable العنور ا New Var Update Var Remove Var	العجرية Nedge base Variable N Unit Values Variable II	Inference Enç iame tem Range	ine Help Nutrition cal Good,Avcrage,Lo		
Variable Editor كرين كرين English Project Variables Know Available Variable Nutrition w New Var Update Var Remove Var	العجرير Variable N Unit Values Variable II	Inference Eng lame tem Range	ine Help Nutrition cal Good,Avcrage,Lo		
Variable Editor كليولات كالمذكور كالمذكور Variables Know Available Variable Nutrition س New Var Update Var Remove Var	العربية Variable N Unit Values Variable II	Inference Enç iamc tem Range il Update	ine Help Nutrition Cal Good,Average,Lo Lo Create Upd		
لاعتلمانة Editor بلغيرات العنظيرات English Project Variables Know Available Variables Nutrition بر New Var Update Var Remove Var	یریده Variable N Unit Values Variable I O Manua	Inference Enç Iame tem Range Il Update	ine Help Nutrition cal Good,Average,Lo to Create Upd aule Functi	w v Iate	
Variable Editor کمیری العکیر الت کرمی English Project Variables Know Available Variable Nutrition ۲ Update Var Remove Var Edit Variable	yəsə Variable N Unit Values Variable II O Manua Iten 1300	Inference Enç lame tem Range il Update ns Var V	ine Help Nutrition cal Good,Average,Lo to Create Upd aule Function Suite Function	w v Iate	
Variable Editor کلیری المکنیر کبری English Project Variables Know Available Variable Nutrition س Update Var Remove Var Edit Variable	yse Variable N Unit Values Variable II Manua Iten 1300	Inference Eng lame tem Range Il Update is Var V. Var V. Low Edit Variable	ine Help Nutrition cal Good,Average,Lo Create Upd aule Function Function	w v Iate	

Fig. 3. Arabic//english variable editor layout.

دة جديدة New Knowledgebase دة جديدة	ادخال قاء	
عربي English		
النتيجة		الشروط
اخافقنتيجة فأعنتيجة		امَلَقَة شراط هَذَهُ اللَّهِ
_		
	siteli 📃	سرافق
	(a)	



### **3.3 Inference rngine**

The inference engine uses implication, composition, aggregation and linkage as given in (Leung and Lam, 1988; Aly and Vrana, 2006; Bandler and Kohout, 1980), and briefly described in the following subsections. It is the part of the knowledge based system that is responsible for deriving conclusions from existing data, i.e., deriving new knowledge from existing ones.

### 3.3.1 The implication methods

Our shell has a backward inference engine and uses the implication methods discussed in (Fukami et al. 1980; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979, Mizumoto, 1981), namely, Rs, Rg, Rgs, Rgg, Rsg, and Rss. Details of the inference methods are found in (Mizumoto et al., 1979; Mizumoto et al., 1979). The choice of the inference methods is based on the observation that such methods closely mirror the human intuitions as compared to those in (Zadeh, 1999; Zadeh, 2006; Zadeh, 1975; Mamdani, 1977). This has been advocated in previous work (Mizumoto, 1981; Mizumoto, 1982). The shell allows the user to either use all the implication methods or select one of them. Figure 5 shows a screen shot of the working of the system when conclusion is obtained using the R<sub>s</sub> Implication method. The conflict resolution strategy used in our shell is the most specific strategy.

A fuzzy inference method needs to satisfy the criteria shown in Table 9, in order to resemble human intuition (Fukami et al, 1980; Mizumoto, 1981; Mizumoto, 1982). The inference methods presented in (Zadeh, 1994) do not satisfy the criteria in Table 9, except CriterionIV-1. The inference

methods in (Mamdani, 1977) on the other hand satisfy Criterion I and II-2. Criterion II-2 is applicable when there is no strong relation between "x is A" and "y is B". In criterion IV-1, information about y cannot be inferred from the conditional inference "if x is A then y is B" when "x is not A". Details of related issues are found in (Bandler and. Kohout, 1980; Willmott, 1980; Mizumoto, 1981, Mizumoto, 1982).



Fig. 5. Conclusion obtained using R<sub>s</sub> implication.

Following the criteria in Table 9, fuzzy inferences can be classified into the following four types. Illustration of criteria that are satisfied by the implication methods Rs, Rg, Rgs, Rgg, Rsg, and Rss is given in Table 10 (Fukami, 1980; Mizumoto, 1981; Mizumoto, 1982).

• **Type 1:** The binary relation between the antecedent A and the consequence B is translated into  $R_s(A, B)$ . In type 1 inference, Criteria I, II-1, III, IV-1 are satisfied.

Т٤	able 9. Fuzzy inferen	ce criteria
		Ant 1: if x is A then y is B
		An t2: x is A
	Criterion I	
		Cons: y is B
		Ant 1: if x is A then y is B
		Ant 2: x is very A
	Criterion II-1	
		Cons: y is very B
		Ant 1: if x is A then y is B
		Ant 2: x is very A
	Criterion II-2	
		Cons: y is B
		Ant 1: if x is A then y is B
		Ant 2: x is more or less A
	Criterion III	
		Cons: y is more or less B
		Ant 1: if x is A then y is B
		Ant 2: x is not A
	Criterion IV-1	
		Cons: y is unknown
		Ant 1: if x is A then y is B
		Ant 2: x is not A
	Criterion IV-2	
		Cons: y is not B

# • **Type 2:** The binary relation between the antecedent A and the consequence B is translated into $R_g(A, B)$ . In type 2 inference, Criteria I, II-2, III, IV-1 are satisfied.

- **Type 3:** The binary relation between the antecedent A and the consequence B is translated into  $R_{sg}(A, B)$ . In type 3 inference, Criteria I, II-1, III, IV-2 are satisfied.
- **Type 4:** The binary relation between the antecedent A and the consequence B is translated into  $R_{gg}(A, B)$ . In type 4 inference, Criteria I, II-2, III, IV-2 are satisfied.

# An Rs implication example:

For the rule, If x is small then y is middle, where U=V= 0+1+2+3+4+5+7+8+9+10, A=small= 1/0+0.8/1+0.6/2+0.4/3+0.2/4, and B= middle =0.2/2+0.4/3+0.8/4+1/5+0.8/6+0.4/7+0.2/8, R<sub>s</sub>(A,B) is given in the Table 11.

# 3.3.2 Composition

A fuzzy composition relation R(A,B) of R1 and R2 is simply the relation obtained by applying R1 and R2 one after the other. The most frequently used

Ant 2	Cons	Rs	R <sub>g</sub>	R <sub>gs</sub>	R <sub>gg</sub>	R <sub>sg</sub>	R <sub>ss</sub>
А	В	+	+	+	+	+	+
Very A	Very B	+	-	-	-	+	+
Very A	В	-	+	+	+	-	-
More or less A	More or less B	+	+	+	+	+	+
Not A	Not B	-	-	+	+	+	+

# Table 10. Criteria satisfied by each implication method

# Table 11. R<sub>s</sub>(A,B)

	V											
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
U	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

composition operator in fuzzy logic is the Max-Min composition operator in (Zadeh, 1999; Zadeh, 1975) and it is the one we used in our shell.

Max-Min Composition
Let R be a fuzzy relation in $X \times Y$ , and S be a
fuzzy relation in Y × Z. The Max-Min
composition of R and S, RoS, is a fuzzy
relation in $X \times Z$ such that
$RoS \leftrightarrow \mu RoS(x,z) = v \{\mu R(x,y) \land \mu S(y,z)\}$

A Max-Min composition example:

Suppose we have the following two relations R and S:

R	y1	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	y <sub>3</sub>	S	Zl	Z <sub>2</sub>
<b>X</b> <sub>1</sub>	0.4	0.6	0	V1	0.5	0.8
x <sub>2</sub>	0.9	1	0.1	V2	0.1	1
				Y3	0	0.6

$$R \circ S = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 & 0 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.8 \\ 0.1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\max\{\min(0.4,0.5), \min(0.6, 0.1), \min(0, 0)\} = \max\{0.4, 0.1, 0\} = 0.4 \\ \max\{\min(0.4,0.8), \min(0.6, 1), \min(0, 0.6)\} = \max\{0.4, 0.6, 0\} = 0.6 \\ \max\{\min(0.9,0.5), \min(1, 0.1), \min(0.1, 0)\} = \max\{0.5, 0.1, 0\} = 0.5 \\ \max\{\min(0.9,0.8), \min(1, 1), \min(0.1, 0.6)\} = \max\{0.8, 1, 0.1\} = 1 \\ \end{tabular}$ 

# 3.3.3The Aggregation and link operators

An aggregation operator is needed when a rule has k conditions. The rule is decomposed into k implications. Each implication is used separately to infer a value by applying the fuzzy implication The values are then aggregated using the aggregation operators used in the rule including OR and AND. The final result is obtained after a MAX operation over the corresponding values inferred by all the rules or fuzzy membership functions (Zadeh, 1975; Fukami, 1980; Mizumoto, 1981; Mizumoto1982; Zadeh, 1999).

#### **3.4 Implementation Issues**

Similar to that in (Mathkour et al., 2009), the main data structures used in the implementation of the shell are arrays. Since all the implication methods used here depend on the  $R_s$  and  $R_g$  operations, there was a need to implement  $R_s$  and  $R_g$  operations as separate methods. Both methods accept two parameters and return the result after performing

 $R_s$  or  $R_g$  implication. Each of the six implications was implemented as methods that accept two matrices and return the result after performing the implication of the whole matrices.

# 4. Experimental Results

The purpose of this section is to illustrate that our fuzzy expert system shell produces correct results and the implication methods used satisfy the criteria mentioned in Table 9. The knowledge base used in this experiment is taken form (Ganoud, et al. 2005). In (Ganoud, et al. 2005), the authors study the influence of random factors on the planning of building works. Deciding the exact period of building projects is a very difficult job due to the fact that building projects are affected by different unpredictable factors. The random factors which are studied include three factors: the cessation of machines, the absence of some professionals, and the influence of weather condition. The rules are given in Table 12 and the membership functions are given in Figures 6 to 9 (Ganoud, et al. 2005).





The knowledge base consists of 27 fuzzy rules. All the rules have the same number of antecedents. The same fuzzy variables are used in all the 27 rules as well as the same conclusion (target). The shell was run several times, each time with different observation. The observations are:

🛓 Result obtained u	sing inference metho	ds النتيجة باستخدام جميع طرق الاستدلال 🕁
عربي English		
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	النتيجة الذي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rs
المزيد	مرتفع	التتيجة التاي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستخدام Rg
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	النتيجة الذي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستخدام Rsg
المزيد	مرتفع	النتيجة التاي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستخدام Rgs
السريد	أكثر أو أقل سرتنج	Rss النتيبة التاك ترصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستغدام
المزيد	مرتفع	النتيجه التي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستخدام Rgg
		(a)

More or Less High	More
High	More
More or Less High	Morc
High	More
More or Less High	More
High	More
	More or Less High High More or Less High High More or Less High High

(b) Fig. 10. Result of observation 1.

# • **Observation 1:** X is low, Y is high and Z is high.

It is observed (as illustrated in Figure 10) that using  $R_g,R_{gs}$  and  $R_{gg}$  has given the expected results according to the Table 9. On the other hand, using the implications  $R_s$ ,  $R_{sg}$ , and  $R_{ss}$  we found out upon examining the resulting matrices that the results were not exact as expected but were very close to what was expected.

النتيجة	نسبة التعطل الناجم عن الظروف الجوية	نسبة التعطل الناجم عن غياب العمال	نسبة التعطل الناجم عن تعطل الآليات	القاعدة	
عالية	عالية	عالية	منخفضة	١	
عالية	عالية	عالية	متوسطة	۲	
عالية	عالية	عالية	عالية	٣	
متوسطة	عالية	متوسطة	منخفضة	٤	
متوسطة	متوسطة	متوسطة	منخفضة	٥	
منخفضة	منخفضة	منخفضة	منخفضة	٦	
متوسطة	متوسطة	متوسطة	متوسطة	٧	
منخفضة	منخفضة	منخفضة	متوسطة	٨	
متوسطة	عالية	منخفضة	متوسطة	٩	
عالية	عالية	متوسطة	متوسطة	١.	
عالية	متوسطة	عالية	متوسطة	11	
متوسطة	متوسطة	منخفضة	متوسطة	١٢	
متوسطة	منخفضية	عالية	متوسطة	۱۳	
منخفضة	منخفضة	متوسطة	متوسطة	١٤	
منخفضة	متوسطة	منخفضة	منخفضة	10	
متوسطة	عالية	منخفضة	عالية	١٦	
منخفضة	منخفضة	متوسطة	منخفضة	١٧	
منخفضة	متوسطة	منخفضة	منخفضة	١٨	
عالية	متوسطة	عالية	عالية	۱۹	
متوسطة	متوسطة متوسطة		منخفضة	۲.	
متوسطة	منخفضة متوسطة		منخفضة	۲۱	
عالية	منخفضة عالية		عالية	22	
عالية	عالية	متوسطة	عالية	۲۳	
متوسطة	عالية	منخفضة	عالية	٢٤	
متوسطة	منخفضة	متوسطة	عالية	۲٥	
متوسطة	متوسطة	متوسطة	عالية	۲٦	
منخفضة منخفضة		منخفضة	عالية	۲۷	

Table 12. The rules data	used in the experiment (Ganoud, et
	al. 2005)

🛓 Result obtain	ed using inference met	hods النتيجة باستخدام جميع طرق الاستدلال 💷 🖂
English 995		
المزيد	غير معرف	النتيجة التاي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rs
السريد	غير بنعرف	التتييبة التاي توصل إليها سولد الإستدلال بإستغدام Rg
المزيد	ليس مرتفع	النتيجه التاي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستخدام Rsg
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل منخفض	
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل منخفض	
الفريد	ليس مرتفع	

(a)

sh عربي		
Results obtained using Rs	Unknown	More
Results obtained using Rg	Unknown	More
Results obtained using Rsg	Not High	More
Results obtained using Rys	More or Less Low	Mure
Results obtained using Rss	More or Less Low	More
Results obtained using Ryg	Nut High	Mure

(b) Fig. 11. Result of observation 2.

• **Observation 2:** X is not low, Y is not high and Z is not high.

It is observed (as illustrated in Figure 11) that all the implications has given the expected results according to the Table 9 except for  $R_{gs}$  and  $R_{ss}$ . Examining the matrices that resulted from using  $R_{gs}$  and  $R_{ss}$  we have found that they were very much close to the matrix of the expected result which is "not high". The result in words should have been "more or less not high", but because the shell is not designed to handle composite hedges the result matrix was translated to "more or less low"

• **Observation 3:** X is very low, Y is very high and Z is very high.

It is observed (as illustrated in Figure 12) that the implications Rg, Rgs and Rgg have given the expected results according to Table 9. On the other hand, the implications Rs ,Rsg and Rss have given different results than expected. Upon examining the matrices that resulted from using Rs, Rsg and Rss we found that they were very much close to the matrix of the expected result which is "very high". The result in words should have been " more or less very high", but because the shell is not designed to handle composite hedges the result matrix was translated to "more or less high".

Result obtained using inference methods النتيجة باستخدام جميع طرق الاستدلال 💷 🖃				
English 200				
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	التتيجة الذي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rs		
المزيد	مرتفع	التتيجة التي توصل إليها مولا الإستدلال بإستخدام Rg		
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	النتيجة الذي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستخدام Rsg		
المزيد	مرتفع	النتيجة التاي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rgs		
اسريد	أكثر أو أقل سرتنج	النتيبة التاي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلال بإستغدام Rss		
المزيد	مرتفع	النتيجه الذي توصل إليها مولا الإستدلال بإستخدام Rgg		



عربي h		
esults obtained using Rs	More or Less High	More
esults obtained using Rg	High	More
esults obtained using Rsg	More or Less High	More
esults obtained using Rgs	High	More
esults obtained using Rss	More or Less High	More
esults obtained using Rgg	High	More

(b) Fig. 12. Result of observation 3.

 Observation 4: X is more or less low, Y is more or less high and Z is more or less high.

It is observed (as illustrated in Figure 13) that the implications  $R_s$ ,  $R_{sg}$ , and  $R_{ss}$  all have given the expected results according to Table 9. But for the rest of the implication methods the results are close to the expected.

عربی nolish		
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتغج	التتيجة التح توصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rs
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	النتيجة التي توصل إليها مولد الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rg
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	النتيجة التك توصل إليها مولد الاستدلال باستخدام Rsq
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	لنتيجة التاي توصل إليها مولا الإستدلاك بإستخدام Rgs
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مربغع	لىنىيجة الناي بوصل إليها مولد الإسبدلاك بإسبخدام Rss
المزيد	أكثر أو أقل مرتفع	النتيجة التى توصل إلىها مولد الاستدلاك باستخدام Rog

sult obtained using inference عربي ا	جميع طرق الاستدلال methods	النتيجة باستخدام
tesults obtained using Rs	More or Less High	More
Results obtained using Rg	More or Less High	More
Results obtained using Rsg	More or Less High	Mure
Results obtained using Rgs	More or Less High	More
Results obtained using Rss	More or Less High	More
Results obtained using Rgg	More or Less High	More

(b) Fig. 13. Result of observation 4.

# 5. Comparison with Existing Tools

We demonstrate that the proposed fuzzy shell performs in a natural manner that mirrors the human inferences of real world problems and yields the expected conclusions that conform to human possible conclusions as compared to other tools. The comparison is made with tools that use the inference methods in (Mamdani, 1977) which are commonly used in commercial fuzzy expert system shells such as FuzzyClips, and with tools such as FuzzyJ Toolkit that allows for different inference methods including those discussed in (Aly and Vrana, 1977; Mamdani, 1977; Mizumoto, et all, 1979). We examine the performance of both FuzzyClips and FuzzyJ Toolkit shells and compare their results with that of our shell using the four observations detailed in Section 4. For this purpose, the English-translation of the data in Table 12 is used.

# 5.1 A comparison with fuzzyCLIPS

Mamdani's inference methods are the most commonly used in commercial fuzzy expert system shells. It has been observed that inference methods in (Mamdani, 1977) do not satisfy human intuition (Fukami et al. 1980; Mizumoto, 1982). We demonstrate this observation by examining the behavior of FuzzyCLIPS with the rules and fuzzy variables of discussed in Section 4.

Га	able	12.	The only	criteria	satisfied by	y mamdani'	's methods

Criterion I	Ant1: IF x is A Then y is B Ant2: x is A
	Cons: y is B
	Ant1: IF x is A Then y is B
Criterion II-2	Ant2: x is very A
	Cons: y is B

The definitions of the fuzzy variables and the fuzzy rule using FuzzyCLIPS are shown in Figures 14 to 18 below:

```
(deftemplate x ;definition of fuzzy variable

'Machine cessation'

15 45 ;Universe of Discourse

((low (16 0.1) (17 0.3) (18 0.5) (19 1) (20

0.6) (21 0.5) (22 0.3) (23 0.1))

(medium (21 0.1) (22 0.2) (23 0.4) (24 0.5)

(25 0.6) (26 0.7) ( 27 0.6) (28 0.5) (29

0.4)(30 0.2)(31 1))

(high (31 0.1) (32 0.2) (33 0.3) (34 0.4) (35

0.5) (36 0.6) ( 37 0.7) (38 0.1) (39 0.7)(40

0.6)(41 0.5) (42 0.4) (43 0.3) (44 0.2) (45

0.1))

)
```

I Igi I Ii i ul lubic A delimition using fuzzy clair s
--

(deftemplate y ;definition of fuzzy variable 'Absence of professionals' 4 16 ;Universe of Discourse ( (low (5 0.2) (6 1) (7 0.2)) (medium (7 0.4) (8 1) (9 1) (10 1) (11 1) (12 1)) (high (11 0.2) (12 0.5) (13 1) (14 0.5) (15 0.2)) )



(deftemplate z ;definition of fuzzy variable 'Weather changes' 10 90 ;Universe of Discourse ( (low (10 0.2) (20 0.6) (30 1) (40 0.2)) (medium (40 0.7) (50 1) (60 0.7) ) (high (70 0.7) (80 1) ) ))

Fig. 16. Variable z definition using fuzzyCLIPS.

```
(deftemplate cons ;definition of fuzzy
variable 'Conclusion'
20 100 ;Universe of Discourse
(
(low (25 0.2) (30 0.5) (35 0.7) (40
1) (45 0.7) (50 0.5) (55 0.2) )
(medium (55 0.5) (65 1) (70 0.6) (75 0.5)
)
(high (80 0.2) (85 1) (90 0.5) (95 0.2) )
)
```

```
Fig. 17. Conclusion definition using fuzzyCLIPS.

(defrule r1 ; a rule that matches and asserts

fuzzy facts

(x low) (y high) (z high)

=>

(assert (cons high))

)
```

Fig. 18. Fuzzy rule definition using fuzzyCLIPS.

FuzzyCLIPS was run several times with the same observations in Section 4 and the results are as follows (Figures 19 to 22):

• **Observation 1 :** X is Low , Y is High and Z is high.

FuzzyCLIPS gives the expected result according to Criteria I in Table 9. This is natural and expected as all observations match all antecedents.

Facts (	MAIN)	
f-0	(initial-fact) CF 1.00	
f-1	(x low) CF 1.00	
f-2	(y high) CF 1.00 (18.0 0.5) (19.0 1.0) (20.0 0.6)	
f-3	(z high) CF 1.00 (13.0 1.0) (14.0 0.5) (15.0 0.2)	)
f-4	(cons high) CF 1.00	
) )د	30.0 0.2) (85.0 1.0) (90.0 0.5) (95.0 0.2) )	

# Fig. 19. FuzzyCLIPS result for Observation 1.

• **Observation 2:** X is not low, Y is not high and Z is not high.

When the antecedents contain the NOT hedge, FuzzyCLIPS yields a fuzzy set that cannot be mapped to a linguistic expression. This is expected as Mamdani's methods do not satisfy Criterion IV-1 and Criterion IV-2 of Table 9.

Fact	s (MAIN)
f-0	) (initial-fact) CF 1.00
f-1	(x not low) CF 1.00
f-2	(y not high) CF 1.00 0 0.5) (19.0 0.0) (20.0 0.4)
f-3	(z not high) CF 1.00 0 0.0) (14.0 0.5) (15.0 0.8) )
f-4	(cons ???) CF 1.00
)د	(80.0 0.2) (80.62 0.3) (93.33 0.3) (95.0 0.2) )

Fig. 20. FuzzyCLIPS result for observation 2.

• **Observation 3:** X is very low, Y is very high and Z is very high.

FuzzyCLIPS gives the expected result according to Criteria II-2 in Table 9.

Facts	(MAIN)
f-0	(initial-fact) CF 1.00
f-1	(x very low) CF 1.00
f-2	(y very high) CF 1.00 .0 0.09) (17.5 0.16) (18.0 0.25)
f-3	(z very high) CF 1.00 1.67 0.16) (12.0 0.25) (12.2 0.36)
f-4	(cons high) CF 1.00 (76.67 0.81) (80.0 1.0) )2 0.81) 5)
) )د	(80.0 0.2) (85.0 1.0) (90.0 0.5) (95.0 0.2) )) (14.33 0.16)
) د	(14.67 0.09) (15.0 0.04) )

Fig. 21. FuzzyCLIPS result for observation 3.

• **Observation 4:** X is more or less low, Y is more or less high and Z is more or less high.

The resulting fuzzy set cannot be mapped to a linguistic expression. From the result shown in figure 9 it is clear that Mamdani's methods do not satisfy criterion III.

Facts (MAIN)		
f-0	(initial-fact) CF 1.00	
f-1	(x more-or-less low) CF 1.00	
f-2	(y more-or-less high) CF 1.00 .6694) (17.5 0.7368) (18.0 0.7937)	
f-3	(z more-or-less high) CF 1.00 0.7368) (12.0 0.7937) (12.2 0.8434)	
f-4	(cons high) CF 1.00 83) (76.67 0.9655) (80.0 1.0) )2 0.9655) 937)	
)د	(80.0 0.2) (85.0 1.0) (90.0 0.5) (95.0 0.2) )0 0.7937) (14.33 0.7368)	
2	(14.67 0.6694) (15.0 0.5848) )	

Fig. 22. FuzzyCLIPS result for observation 4.

# 5.2 Comparison with FuzzyJ Toolkit

FuzzyJ Toolkit is a set of Java classes that provide the capability to handle fuzzy concepts and reasoning (Orchard,2001). It allows for different inference methods including those in (Aly and Vrana, 2006; Mamdani, 1977). We examine the behavior of FuzzyJ Toolkit using the rules and fuzzy variables of Section 4. Figures 23 to 27 show the fuzzy variables and fuzzy rule definitions.

38

J. King Saud University, Vol. 21, Comp. & Info. Sci, Riyadh (2009/1430H.)

```
// definition of FuzzyVariable x - Machine Cessation
FuzzyVariable x = new FuzzyVariable("machine cessation", 15,45);
// definition of FuzzyValues for concept x - Machine Cessation'
double xLowx[] = {16, 17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25};
double yLowx[] = {0.1,0.3,0.5,1,0.6,0.5,0.3,0.1,0.0,0.0};
x.addTerm("low", xLowx, yLowx, 10);
double xMediumx[] = {21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31};
double xMediumx[] = {0.1,0.2,0.4,0.5,0.6,0.7,0.6,0.5,0.4,0.2,1};
x.addTerm("medium", xMediumx, yMediumx, 11);
double xHighx[] = {31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45};
double yHighx[] = {0.1,0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5,0.6, 0.7, 0.1, 0.7,0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3,0.2,0.1};
x.addTerm("high",xHighx,yHighx,15};
```

Fig. 23. Definition of fuzzy variable x using fuzzyJ toolkit.

```
// definition of FuzzyVariable y - Absence of professionals
FuzzyVariable y = new FuzzyVariable("Absence of professionals",4,16);
// definition of FuzzyValues for concept y - Absence of professionals'
double xLowy[] = {4,5,6,7,8};
double yLowy[] = {0,0.2,1,0.2,0};
y.addTerm("low", xLowy, yLowy, 5);
double xMediumy[] = {6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13};
double xMediumy[] = {0,0.4,1,1,1,1,1,0};
y.addTerm("medium", xMediumy, yMediumy, 8);
double xHighy[] = {10,11,12,13,14,15,16};
double yHighy[] = {0,0.2,0.5,1,0.5,0.2,0};
y.addTerm("high",xHighy,yHighy,7);
```

Fig. 24. Definition of fuzzy variable y using fuzzyJ foolkit.

```
// definition of FuzzyVariable z - Weather changes
FuzzyVariable z = new FuzzyVariable("Weather changes'",10,90);
// definition of FuzzyValues for concept z - Weather changes
double xLowz[] = {10,20,30,40,50};
double yLowz[] = {0.2,0.6,1,0.2,0};
z.addTerm("low", xLowz, yLowz, 5);
double xMediumz[] = {20,30,40,50,60,70,80};
double yMediumz[] = {0,0.5,0.7,1,0.7,0.5,0};
z.addTerm("medium", xMediumz, yMediumz, 7);
double xHighz[] = {50,60,70,80};
double yHighz[] = {0,0.5,0.7,1};
z.addTerm("high",xHighz,yHighz,4);
```

Fig. 25. Definition of fuzzy variable z using fuzzyJ toolkit.

Mathkour et al.: The Development of a Bilingual Fuzzy Expert System Shell

```
// definition of FuzzyVariable cons - Conclusion
FuzzyVariable cons = new FuzzyVariable("Conclusion",20,100);
/ definition of FuzzyValues for concept cons - Conclusion
double xLow_cons[] = {20,25,30,35,40,45,50,55,60};
double yLow_cons[] = {0,0.2,0.5,0.7,1,0.7,0.5,0.2,0};
cons.addTerm("Low", xLow_cons, yLow_cons, 9);
double xMedium_cons[] = {45,50,55,65,70,75,80,85};
double yMedium_cons[] = {0,0.2,0.5,1,0.6,0.5,0.2,0};
cons.addTerm("medium", xMedium_cons, yMedium_cons, 8);
double xHigh_cons[] = {70,75,80,85,90,95,100};
double yHigh_cons[] = {0,0.2,0.5,1,0.5,0.2,0};
cons.addTerm("high",xHigh_cons,yHigh_cons, 7);
```

Fig. 26. Definition of fuzzy variable conclusion using fuzzyJ toolkit.

```
// definition of FuzzyRule
// definition of FuzzyRule
FuzzyRule rule1 = new FuzzyRule();
fval1 = new FuzzyValue( x, "low");
fval2 = new FuzzyValue( y, "high");
fval3 = new FuzzyValue( cons , "high");
fval4 = new FuzzyValue( cons , "high");
rule1.addAntecedent(fval1);
rule1.addAntecedent(fval2);
rule1.addAntecedent(fval3);
rule1.addConclusion(fval4);
```

Fig. 27. Definition of the fuzzy rule using fuzzyJ.

FuzzyJ was run several times with the same observations in Section 4 and with the inference method set to Larsen's inference method. The results of the inference are as follows:

• **Observation 1 :** X is Low, Y is High and Z is High.

The resulting fuzzy set is  $\{0/70, 0.2/75, 0.5/80, 1/85, 0.5/90, 0.2/95, 0/100\}$ . Here the result given by FuzzyJ is "high" which is natural as all the observations match the all the antecedents of the fuzzy rule.

• **Observation 2:** X is not low, Y is not high and Z is not high.

The resulting fuzzy set is  $\{0/70, 0.1/75, 0.25/80, 0.5/85, 0.25/90, 0.1/95, 0/100\}$ . This result could not be mapped to a linguistic expression although it is rather close to the fuzzy set "high".

# • Observation 3 & Observation 4:

The resulting fuzzy set is  $\{0/70, 0.2/75, 0.5/80, 1/85, 0.5/90, 0.2/95, 0/100\}$ . Here the result given by FuzzyJ is "high". Notice that this is the same result when no hedges were used. It is obvious that the use of the hedge "very" and the "more or less" hedge had no effect on the result. In our shell the

hedges were recognized through the calculation of the implication criteria of Table 9.

#### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we discussed the development of our own bilingual fuzzy expert system shell. In the process, we have examined, evaluated, and compared various fuzzy expert system shells that adopt different inference methods for the sake of identifying desirable features and examining their performance. Our shell was developed using NetBeans 4.1 IDE. It has an Arabic user interface as well as an English user interface. The inference engine is a backward chaining inference engine. It uses the implication methods Rs, Rg, Rss, Rgg, Rgs and Rsg. Several tests have been performed on this shell to ascertain its proper functionality. Some of the tests have given the expected results that reflect human intuitions. Few tests have given results which are very close to the expected outcome. We observe that when the membership function of fuzzy values covers a wide range from 0 to 1, the shell produces more accurate results. Experimental results for our shell have been reported and analyzed. A comparison of the performance of our shell with other shells such as FuzzyCLIPS and FuzzyJ has also been discussed. We are in the process of extending the shell to allow for the processing of fuzzy terms in other natural languages.

#### References

- Aly, S. and Vrana, I.. " Toward efficient modeling of fuzzy expert systems: a survey" Agric. Econom. - Czech, (2006), 456-460.
- Bandler, W. and Kohout, L. "Fuzzy power sets and fuzzy implication operators", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 4, (1980), 13-30.
- **Council, C. and Orchard, R.** *"Fuzzy reasoning in jess: The fuzzyj toolkit and fuzzyjess"*, The Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems (ICEIS 2001), Setubal, Portugal, (2001).
- Forgy, C.L. "The OPSS User Manual", Technical Report, CMU-CS-81-135, Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, (1981).
- Friedmann-Hill, E.J.. "Jess, The Java Expert System Shell", http://herzberg.ca.sandia.gov/jess/, (1999).
- Fukami, S.; Mizumoto, M. and Tanaka, K. "Some Considerations on Fuzzy Conditional Inference", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 4, (1980), pp.243-273.
- Ganoud, A.; Ali, H. and Ibrahem, S. "Studying the Influence of random Factors on the Planning of Building Work", Tishreen University Journal for Studies and Scientific research-Engineering Science series, 27(3), (2005).
- Giarratano, J.C. "The CLIPS User's Guide", http://www.twine.com/twine/11lslvj57-19d/clips-expertsystem-shell, (1998).

- Kent, J.R. and Denholm, P. "ESB-96 A Graphical KBS Development Tool", in Europal'90, Proceedings of the First European Conference on the Practical Application of Lisp. Dorking, March (1990).
- Ishihara, S.; Ishihara K.; Nagamachi, M. and Matsubara, Y. "An Automatic Builder for a Kansei Engineering Expert System using self- Organizing Neural Networks", Int. Journal of Industrial Ergomonics, 15(1), (1995), 13-24.
- Kelmet, E. and Slany, W. "Fuzzy Logic in Artificial Intelligence", Proceedings of the 8th Austrian Artificial Intelligence Conference, FLAI '93, Linz, Austria, (1993).
- Leung, K. S.; and Lam, W. "Fuzzy Concepts in Expert Systems", IEEE Computer, 21(9), (1988), 43-56.
- López-Ortega, O. " Java Fuzzy Kit (JFK): A shell to build fuzzy inference systems according to the generalized principle of extension", Expert Systems with Applications, (2006).
- Mamdani, E.H. "Application of fuzzy logic to approximate reasoning using linguistic systems", IEEE Trans. Comput. 26, (1977), 1182-1191.
- Mathkour, H.; Al-Turaiki, I. and Touir, A. "The Development of an Arabized Fuzzy Expert System Shell" in int. conf on Information Management and Engineering ICIME, (2009).
- Mathworks, Inc. Fuzzy Logic Toolbox Use's Guide version 2, (1999).
- Mizumoto, M.; Fukami, S. and Tanaka, K. "Fuzzy conditional inference and fuzzy inference with fuzzy quantifiers". in: Proc. of 6th Int. Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, Tokyo, (1979), 589-591.
- Mizumoto, M.; Fukami, S. and Tanaka, K. "Some methods of fuzzy reasoning", in: M.M. Gupta et al., ads., Advances in Fuzzy Set Theory and application (North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1979), 117-136.
- Mizumoto, M. Note on the arithmetic rule by Zadeh for fuzzy conditional inference, Cybernetics and Systems, 12, (1981), 247-306.
- Mizumoto, M. "Fuzzy inferences under max-& composition in the compositional rule of inference", in: M.M. Gupta et al., ads., Fuzzy Information and Decision Processes, North-Holland, Information Sciences, 27, (1982), 183-209.
- Mizumoto, M.; Fukami S. and Tanaka, K. "Several methods for fuzzy conditional inference", in: Proc. of IEEE Conf. on Decision & Control, Florida, (1979), 777-782.
- Orchard, R. "NRC FuzzyJ Toolkit for the Java™, Platform User's Guide" [online]. National Research Council of Canada. Available from:http://ai.iit.nrc.ca/IR\_public/fuzzy/fuzzyJDocs/index.ht ml, 2001.
- **Orchard, R.** "*Fuzzy Reasoning in Jess: The FuzzyJ Toolkit and FuzzyJess*", Proceedings of the ICEIS 2001, Third International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems, Setubal, Portugal. (2001), 533-542.
- **Orchard, R.** *FuzzyClips version 6.10d User's Guide,National* Research Council of Canada, (2004).
- Pan, J. FuzzyShell User's Manual,(1996).
- Philip, G., "A case study in selecting an expert system shell", Journal of Systems Management, (1991).
- Shalfield, R. FLINT Reference, Logic Programming Associates Ltd.,(2005).
- Siler, W. and Buckley, J. Fuzzy Expert Systems and Fuzzy Reasoning, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey, (2005).
- Vasey P.; Westwood D. and Johns N. "Flex Expert System Toolkit", Logic Programmers Associates Ltd. (1996).

- Willmott, R., "Two fuzzier implication operators" in the theory of fuzzy power sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 4, (1980), 31-37.
- Zadeh, L.A. Calculus of fuzzy restriction, in: L.A. Zadeh et al., Eds. Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes (Academic Press, New York, (1975) 1-39.
- Zadeh, L.A. "From Computing with Numbers to Computing with Words- From Manipulation of Measurements to Manipulation of Perceptions", iee transactions on circuits and systems, Fundamental theory and applications, 45(1), JANUARY (1999), 105-119.
- Zadeh L.A. "Fuzzy sets" information and control 8, (1965), 338-353.

Zadeh, L. A. "Why the Success of Fuzzy Logic is not Paradoxial", IEEE Expert, 9, (1994), 43-45.

# تطوير صدفية ثنائية اللغة لبناء النظم الخبيرة الضبابية

حسن إسماعيل مذكور، إسراء محمد الطريقي وعامر عبدالله طوير

قسم علوم الحاسب، كلية علوم الحاسب و المعلومات، جامعة الملك سعود، الرياض،المملكة العربية السعودية <u>mathkour@ksu.edu.sa</u>

(قدم للنشر في ٢٠٠٩/١٢/٢١م؛ وقبل للنشر في ٣/٣/٢م)

ملخص المحث. يستخدم المنطق الضبابي في بعض النظم الخبيرة لحل مشكلات من الحياة العملية والتي تتسم بالغموض. إذ من الممكن استخدام المنطق الضبابي لبرمجة التطبيقات التي تعتمد على الحدس البشري من خلال بناء صدفيات لتطوير النظم الخبيرة الضبابية من أحل التعامل مع المشكلات الضبابية و الغير واضحة. و توفر هذه الصدفيات تشكيلة من الدوال يمكن والهندسية، والمالية. لا تتوفر حسب علمنا صدفيات برمجة ضبابية، لبناء النظم الخبيرة الضبابية، مطورة في الأصل للتعامل مع اللغة العربية. تصف ورقة العمل هذه تطوير وتجربة صدفيات برمجة ضبابية، لبناء النظم الخبيرة الضبابية، مطورة في الأصل للتعامل مع المعة العربية. تصف ورقة العمل هذه تطوير وتجربة صدفيات برمجة ضبابية، لبناء النظم الخبيرة الضبابية، مطورة في الأصل للتعامل مع البغة العربية. تصف ورقة العمل هذه تطوير وتجربة صدفية لبناء النظم الخبيرة الضبابية ثنائية اللغة. الهدف من هذه الصدفية البرمجية هو استخدامها كأداة بحثية لمطوري النظم الخبيرة الضبابية في البيئات ثنائية اللغة كما هو الحال في العالم العربي حيث المرجعية هو استخدامها كأداة بحثية لمطوري النظم الخبيرة الضبابية في البيئات ثنائية اللغة كما هو الحال في حيث المرجعية هو استخدامات المطورين متعددة اللغات بسبب نظام تعليمهم وبيئة عملهم. تسمح الصدفية بالتعامل مع الصاحات الضبابية في اللغتين العربية والإنجليزية. وتعتبر صدفية برجمة عامة الأهداف توفر للمستخدمين القدرة على تطوير نظم حبيرة ضبابية عربية وإنجليزية باستخدام واحهة مستخدم مبسطة. وتقوم بتطبيق طرق الاقتضاء في محاكاة للحدس البشري. ثم عند التطوير دراسة و مقارنة عدة صدفيات لتطويرو برجمة النظم الخبيرة الضبابية لتحديد نقاط القوة والضعف للبري ي عند كما تم إعداد تقرير عن تجربة و احتبار الصدفية المطورة ومقارنة أدائها مع الصدفيات المتوفرة والتي من المري المري . كما تم إعداد تقرير عن تجربة و الحبار الصدفية الموارة أدائها مع الصدفيات المورة والتون على محبور المحس المتوفرة.