Contents

1	A Geography of Cohabitation in the Americas, 1970–2010 Albert Esteve, Antonio López-Gay, Julián López-Colás, Iñaki Permanyer, Sheela Kennedy, Benoît Laplante, Ron J. Lesthaeghe, Anna Turu, and Teresa Antònia Cusidó	1
2	The Rise of Cohabitation in Latin America and the Caribbean, 1970–2011 Albert Esteve, Ron J. Lesthaeghe, Antonio López-Gay, and Joan García-Román	25
3	Cohabitation and Marriage in Canada. The Geography, Law and Politics of Competing Views on Gender Equality Benoît Laplante and Ana Laura Fostik	59
4	The Social Geography of Unmarried Cohabitation in the USA, 2007–2011 Ron J. Lesthaeghe, Julián López-Colás, and Lisa Neidert	101
5	The Expansion of Cohabitation in Mexico, 1930–2010: The Revenge of History? Albert Esteve, Ron J. Lesthaeghe, Julieta Quilodrán, Antonio López-Gay, and Julián López-Colás	133
6	Consensual Unions in Central America: Historical Continuities and New Emerging Patterns Teresa Castro-Martín and Antía Domínguez-Rodríguez	157
7	The Boom of Cohabitation in Colombia and in the Andean Region: Social and Spatial Patterns Albert Esteve, A. Carolina Saavedra, Julián López-Colás, Antonio López-Gay, and Ron J. Lesthaeghe	187

xii

8	Cohabitation in Brazil: Historical Legacy and Recent Evolution Albert Esteve, Ron J. Lesthaeghe, Julián López-Colás, Antonio López-Gay, and Maira Covre-Sussai	217
9	The Rise of Cohabitation in the Southern Cone Georgina Binstock, Wanda Cabella, Viviana Salinas, and Julián López-Colás	247
10	Cohabitation: The Pan-America View Ron J. Lesthaeghe and Albert Esteve	269

Contributors

Georgina Binstock CONICET-Centro de Estudios de Población (CENEP), Buenos Aires, Argentina

Wanda Cabella Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay

Teresa Castro-Martín Centro de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales (CCHS), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

Maira Covre-Sussai Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Teresa Antònia Cusidó Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Antía Domínguez-Rodríguez Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Albert Esteve Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Ana Laura Fostik Centre Urbanisation Culture Société, Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS), Université du Québec, Montréal, QC, Canada

Joan García-Román Minnesota Population Center (MPC), University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Sheela Kennedy Minnesota Population Center (MPC), University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Benoît Laplante Centre Urbanisation Culture Société, Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS), Université du Québec, Montréal, QC, Canada

Ron J. Lesthaeghe Free University of Brussels and Royal Flemish Academy of Arts and Sciences of Belgium, Brussels, Belgium

Julián López-Colás Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Antonio López-Gay Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Lisa Neidert Population Studies Center (PSC), University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Iñaki Permanyer Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Julieta Quilodrán El Colegio de México, Mexico City, Mexico

A. Carolina Saavedra Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

Viviana Salinas Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Anna Turu Centre d'Estudis Demogràfics (CED), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Spain

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Patterns in the increase in the percent of	
	cohabitation among partnered women 25–29	
	in regions of Latin America and the Caribbean,	
	various census rounds, 1970–2010	13
Fig. 1.2	Regional distributions of the proportions of consensual	
	unions among all 25–29-year-old women in a union	
	by country, based on census data from the	
	2000 and 2010 census rounds	18
Fig. 1.3	Share of consensual unions by municipality's altitude	
	(in meters) among all 25-to-29-year-old women in a	
	union based on the 2000 census round for the Andean	
	countries (Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela)	21
Fig. 2.1	Age distributions of the share of cohabitation for all	
	women in a union and corresponding cohort profiles	
	(C.). Brazil and Mexico, 1960–2010	36
Fig. 2.2	Share of cohabitation among all unions of women	
	25–29 by level of completed education,	
	country and census round	38
Fig. 3.1	Percent of women living in a consensual union	
	among women aged 15-49 living in a marital union	69
Fig. 3.2a	Percent of women living in a consensual	
	union among women aged 20-24 living in	
	a marital union by level of education	70
Fig. 3.2b	Percent of women living in a consensual	
	union among women aged 25-29 living in	
	a marital union by level of education	71
Fig. 3.2c	Percent of women living in a consensual union	
	among women aged 30-34 living in a marital	
	union by level of education	72

Fig. 3.2d	Percent of women living in a consensual union	
	among women aged 35–39 living in a marital	70
	union by level of education	13
Fig. 5.2e	among women aged 40, 44 living in a marital	
	union by level of education	74
Fig 2.2f	Dercent of women living in a consensual union	/4
FIg. 5.21	among women aged 45, 40 living in a marital union	
	by level of education	75
	Madian market income according to age and say	15
Fig. 5.5	men and women aged 20, 24 and 25, 24, Canada	
	1076 2011 (Theysends of Consider 2011 constant dollars)	76
	1970–2011 (Thousands of Canadian 2011 constant donars)	70
Fig. 4.1	Percent cohabiting among women in a union,	
	2007–2011, ages 20–49, by education	107
$\mathbf{E}_{i\alpha} = 5 1$	Persont perturned Mariaan woman aurontly aphabiting	
FIg. 5.1	by aga and in the consusces from 1020 to 2010	127
Eig 5 2	Dereast ashabiting among women 25, 20 in	157
FIg. 5.2	a union Maximum states 1020, 2010	140
$E_{12} = 5.2$	a union, mexican states 1950–2010	140
Fig. 5.5	25. 20 by level of advection. Maximi 1060, 2010	144
$E_{12} = 5.4$	23–29 by level of education, Mexico 1900–2010	144
FIg. 3.4	Share of conabilation among particled women	145
F:- 55	Estimated adda action of enhabitation for northerned memory	143
F1g. 5.5	Estimated odds ratios of conabilation for partnered women $25, 20$ according to the individual (X) and the contentual	
	25-29 according to the individual (1) and the contextual	
	levels (X) of education combined, Mexico 2000 and 2010	150
	(university completed and Q1: $OR = 1$)	152
Fig. 6.1	Percent distribution of women aged 25-29	
	by conjugal status	164
Fig. 6.2	Trends in the percentage of consensual unions	
-	among total unions. 1960–2011. Women 15–49	168
Fig. 6.3	Trends in the percentage of consensual unions	
-	among total unions. 1960–2011. Women 25–29	169
Fig. 6.4	Percent cohabiting among partnered women	
•	by age group and year	171
Fig. 6.5	Percent cohabiting among partnered women aged	
•	25–29 by completed educational level and year	174
E:= 71	Demonstrate of a sector and Calendarian manual and	
F1g. 7.1	Percentage of partnered Colombian women currently	
	conabiling by age and selected birth conorts	101
E:= 7.2	In the censuses from 1973 to 2005	191
г1g. 1.2	reicentage conabiling among partnered women	102
E = 72	aged 25–29 by years of schooling. Colombia, 1973–2005	193
гıg. /.3	reicentage conabiling among partnered women	104
	aged 25–29 by ethnic background. Colombia, 2005	194

Fig. 8.1	Plot of the meso-region effects of the model with all individual-level variables against those	
	of the "empty" model 1	231
Fig. 8.2	Percent cohabiting among partnered women 25–29 by education, Brazil 1970–2010	235
Fig. 8.3	Birth-cohort profiles of the share of cohabitation among partnered women up till age 50 by level of education. Brazilian cohorts horn between 1910 and 1995	236
Fig. 8.4	Increase in the percentages cohabiting among all partnered women 25–29 in Brazilian meso-regions: 1980 (<i>bottom</i>), 1990, 2000 and 2010 (<i>top</i>)	230
Fig. 9.1	Proportion of women aged 20–29 years	201
0	in a conjugal union, 1970–2010	254
Fig. 9.2	Proportion of women aged 20-29 years	
	in a conjugal union by education, 1970–2010	255
Fig. 9.3	Share of cohabitation as a proportion of women	
	who are in a conjugal union	256
Fig. 9.4	Share of cohabitation by education, aged 20–29 years, 1970–2010	258
Fig. 10.1	Percentages of population 18+ of the opinion that	
-	homosexuality is never justified, by education and period	282
Fig. 10.2	Percentages of population 18+ of the opinion that	
	euthanasia is never justified, by education and period	282

List of Maps

Map 1.1	Share of consensual unions among all 25-to-29-year-old	
•	women in a union based on census data from the 2000 census	9
Map 1.2	Share of consensual unions among all 25-to-29-year-old	
	women in a union based on census data from the 2010 census	10
Map 1.3	Evolution of the regional share of consensual	
	unions among all 25-to-29-year-old women	
	in a union based on 1970–2010 census data	16
Map 1.4	Evolution of the regional share of consensual unions	
	among all 25-to-29-year-old women in a union based	
	on 1970–2010 census data. Cartogram Map	
	(administrative units are weighted by population in 2000)	17
Map 1.5	Standard deviations (z-scores) from each country's mean	
	of the rate of cohabitation among all 25-to-29-year-old	
	women in a union. Based on census data from the last	
	census available for Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador,	
	Peru, and Bolivia	20
Map 4.1	Share of cohabitation for all women 25–29 in a	
1	union, 2000–2011, by state. Cartogram 2007–2011	110
Map 4.2	Share of cohabitation among women 25–29 in a	
1	union, 2007–2011, by state and race	111
Map 4.3	Share of cohabitation among women 25–29 in a	
	union, 2007–2011, by state and education	113
Map 4.4	Share of cohabitation among partnered women	
	25–29, 2007–2011, by Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA)	114
Map 4.5	Share of cohabitation among partnered women 25-29,	
-	2007–2011, along the Northern Atlantic conurbation	
	by Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA)	116
Map 4.6	Share of cohabitation among partnered women 25-29,	
-	2007–2011, in the larger New York area by Public Use	
	Microdata Area (PUMA)	117

Map 4.7	Share of cohabitation among partnered women 25–29, 2007–2011, in the greater Los Angeles area by Public	
Map 4.8	Use Microdata Area (PUMA) Share of cohabitation among partnered women 25–29, 2007–2011, along Lake Michigan by Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA)	117 118
Map 5.1	The share of cohabitation in all unions of women 25–29 in Mexican states, 1930–2010	141
Map 5.2	Percent currently cohabiting women among all partnered women 25–29, Mexican municipalities, 1990, 2000 and 2010	147
Map 6.1	Share of consensual unions among women 25–29 in union by municipalities. 2000 Census round	165
Map 7.1	Percentage cohabiting among partnered women aged 25–29 by Colombian municipalities. 1973–1985	196
Map 7.2	LISA cluster maps of unmarried cohabitation in Colombia, 1973–2005	198
Map 7.3	Percentage cohabiting among partnered women aged 25–29. Bolivia, 2001; Ecuador, 2010; and Peru, 2007	205
Map 8.1	Proportions cohabiting among women 25–29 in a union; Brazilian meso-regions 2000	224
Map 8.2	Proportions in various religious groups, women 25–29; Brazilian meso-regions 2000	225
Map 8.3	Proportions in various racial categories, women 25–29; Brazilian meso-regions 2000	227
Map 8.4	Proportions in three education categories, women 25–29; Brazilian meso-regions, 2000	228
Map 8.5	The four types of meso-regions distinguished according to their relative risk of cohabitation for partnered women	
Map 8.6	25–29, 2000 regions Percent cohabiting among all partnered women	234
1	25–29 in Brazilian municipalities, 2000 and 2010	238

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Table 1.2	Summary of the census data, boundary files and geographic details used to analyze the prevalence of consensual unions in the Americas in the 2000 and 2010 census rounds Changes in the percent of cohabitation among partnered women 25–29 in the 25 regions with the lowest	5
	and the highest initial levels of cohabitation in 1970	15
Table 2.1	Distribution of 51 ethnic populations according to selected characteristics of their marriages and sexual unions	28
Table 2.2	Percent cohabiting among all persons in a union (married+cohabiting), 25–34, by sex and census round,	
Table 2.3	Latin America and the Caribbean, 1970–2010 Percentages of women 25–29 with completed primary and completed secondary education	34
	by country and census round	40
Table 2.4	Attitudinal changes in ethical issues in three Latin	
	American countries, by age and sex, 1990–2006	45
Table 2.5	Attitudinal changes regarding religion and secularization in three Latin American countries.	
	by age and sex, 1990–2006	47
Table 2.6	Attitudinal changes in issues regarding family	
	by age and sex 1990–2006	48
Table 2.7	Percentage of women 25–29 living in extended/composite	-10
	nousenoids by type of union, Latin American Countries,	50
Table 2.8	Sample characteristics numbers of cases and numbers	50
1000 2.0	of regions within the 24 Latin American countries	53
Table 3.1	Percent of Canadian women cohabiting among women aged 15–49 living in a marital union by province and census year	60
	1	

Table 3.2	Estimated odds ratios from a logistic regression model	
	of living in consensual union among women aged	
	15–49 in marital union by age, social and economic	
	characteristics, Canadian provinces and territories in 2006	80
Table 3.3	Predicted probabilities of living in a consensual	
	union among women aged 15–49 in marital union	
	(estimated from the logistic regression model specified	
	in Table 3.2). Canadian provinces and territories in 2006	83
Table 3.4	Estimated odds ratios from a logistic regression	
	model of living in consensual union among women	
	and men aged 20–49 in marital union by age.	
	social and economic characteristics.	
	Canadian selected provinces in 2012	85
Table 3.5	Number of Canadian men and women aged 15–49	00
14010 010	living in a marital union according to level of	
	autonomy by sociolinguistic group and sex	92
Table 3.6	Percent distribution of autonomy index among	
14010 010	Canadian men and women aged 15–49 living in	
	a marital union according by sociolinguistic group and sex	92
Table 3.7	Percent of people living in consensual union rather	1
10010 5.7	than being married among Canadian men and women	
	aged 15-49 living in a marital union according	
	to level of autonomy by sociolinguistic group and sex	92
Table 3.8	Estimated odds ratios from a logistic model of living	1
14010 5.0	in consensual union among women and men aged	
	15-49 in marital union by age presence of children	
	and economic characteristics. English Canada	
	and French Quebec	93
))
Table 4.1	Percent cohabiting among women 25–29 in union,	
	1990–2011, by race and education	106
Table 4.2	Percent cohabiting among women in union,	
	2007–2011, by education and 5-year age groups	106
Table 4.3	Percent cohabiting among women 25-29 in union,	
	2007–2011, by race/ethnicity	108
Table 4.4	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic	
	regression of unmarried cohabitation by individual	
	and contextual level variables, women 25-29, 2007-2011	120
Table 4.5	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression	
	of unmarried cohabitation by individual and contextual	
	level variables, women 25–29, 2007–2011	122
Table 4.6	Share of cohabitation among all unions of partnered	
	women 25–29, 1990–2011, by State, based on	
	"relation to householder" question	129

Table 5.1	Percent in each type of marriage and in cohabitation,	120
T 11 C 2	partnered women 15–59, Mexican censuses 1930–2010	130
Table 5.2	Percent conabiling among partnered women	120
T 11 C 2	age 25–29 in Mexican states, 1930–2010	139
Table 5.3	Percent cohabiting among all women in a union,	
	selected Mexican indigenous population, 1930–2010	142
Table 5.4	Percent distribution of women 25–29 by level	
	of education, Mexico 1970–2010	143
Table 5.5	Percent cohabiting among women 25–29	
	in a union, Mexico 1970–2010	143
Table 5.6	Estimated odds ratios of cohabiting as opposed	
	to being married for Mexican women 25–29	
	in a union, results for the individual level variables,	
	Mexico 2000 and 2010	149
Table 5.7	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic	
	regression model of unmarried cohabitation by	
	contextual characteristics at the municipality,	
	women 25–29 in a union, Mexico 2000	
	and 2010 (complete model)	151
Table 5.8	Estimated odds ratios of cohabitation for partnered women	
	25–29 according to the individual and contextual	
	levels of education combined. Mexico 2000 and 2010	152
		102
Table 6.1	Central America: selected demographic,	
	economic and social indicators	161
Table 6.2	Percent of women in consensual union among	
	women aged 15–49 and 25–29 in conjugal union.	
	Most recent data source	163
Table 6.3	Percentage of consensual unions	
	among total unions, 1960-2011	167
Table 6.4	Socio-demographic profile of women aged	
	25–29 in marital and consensual unions	
	based on the most recent census	177
Table 7.1	Distribution of women aged 25–29 by years of schooling	
	and union characteristics. Colombia, 1973–2005	192
Table 7.2	Characteristics of the individual and contextual variables	
	included in the multilevel logistic regression model of	
	unmarried cohabitation, women aged 25–29. Colombia, 2005	200
Table 7.3	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic	
	regression model of unmarried cohabitation	
	by individual and contextual characteristics,	
	women aged 25–29. Colombia, 2005	201

Table 7.4	Averaged residuals at the municipality level from Model 2. Municipalities classified according to their contextual	
	belong. Colombia. 2005	204
Table 7.5	Sample characteristics and estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression model of unmarried cohabitation among partnered women aged 25–29 by selected individual and contextual level characteristics. Bolivia 2001	206
Table 7.6	Sample characteristics and estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression model of unmarried cohabitation among partnered women aged 25–29 by selected individual and contextual level characteristics. Ecuador, 2010	209
Table 7.7	Sample characteristics and estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression model of unmarried cohabitation among partnered women aged 25–29 by selected individual and contextual level characteristics. Peru, 2007	211
Table 8.1	Distribution of characteristics of 137 Brazilian meso-regions, measured for women 25–29 as of 2000	222
Table 8.2	Proportions cohabiting among Brazilian women 25–29 in a union by social characteristics, 2000	223
Table 8.3	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression model of unmarried cohabitation among partnered women 25–29 by social characteristics. Brazil 2000	230
Table 8.4	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression model of unmarried cohabitation among partnered women 25–29, Brazil multilevel logistic regression results for proportions cohabiting among women 25–29 in a union by type of meso-region, Brazil 2000	233
Table 8.5	Prediction of the increase in cohabitation among partnered women 25–29 in the meso-regions of Brazil, period 1980–2010: standardized regression coefficients and R squared (OLS)	239
Table 8.6	Percent cohabiting among partnered women 25–29 in Brazil and Brazilian States, 1960–2010 censuses	237
Table 8.7	Estimated odds ratios from a multilevel logistic regression model of unmarried cohabitation among partnered women 25–29 by social characteristics	241
	and types of meso-regions, Brazil 2000	242

Table 8.8	Full OLS regression results of the three models predicting	
	the change in percentages cohabiting among partnered women	
	between 1980 and 2010 in 136 Brazilian meso-regions	243
Table 9.1	Women in conjugal unions aged 20–29 years	259
Table 9.1 Table 9.2	Women in conjugal unions aged 20–29 years Women in conjugal unions aged 20–29 years	259 262