Dynamics of Cochlear Nonlinearity

Nigel P. Cooper and Marcel van der Heijden

Abstract Dynamic aspects of cochlear mechanical compression were studied by recording basilar membrane (BM) vibrations evoked by tone pairs ("beat stimuli") in the 11–19 kHz region of the gerbil cochlea. The frequencies of the stimulus components were varied to produce a range of "beat rates" at or near the characteristic frequency (CF) of the BM site under study, and the amplitudes of the components were balanced to produce near perfect periodic cancellations, visible as sharp notches in the envelope of the BM response. We found a compressive relation between instantaneous stimulus intensity and BM response magnitude that was strongest at low beat rates (e.g., 10–100 Hz). At higher beat rates, the amount of compression reduced progressively (i.e. the responses became linearized), and the rising and falling flanks of the response envelope showed increasing amounts of hysteresis; the rising flank becoming steeper than the falling flank. This hysteresis indicates that cochlear mechanical compression is not instantaneous, and is suggestive of a gain control mechanism having finite attack and release times. In gain control terms, the linearization that occurs at higher beat rates occurs because the instantaneous gain becomes smoothened, or low-pass filtered, with respect to the magnitude fluctuations in the stimulus. In terms of peripheral processing, the linearization corresponds to an enhanced coding, or decompression, of rapid amplitude modulations. These findings are relevant both to those who wish to understand the underlying mechanisms and those who need a realistic model of nonlinear processing by the auditory periphery.

Keywords Basilar membrane · Hair cell · Compression · Gain control

1 Introduction

Basilar-membrane (BM) vibrations in healthy cochleae grow compressively with the intensity of stationary sounds such as tones and broad band noise (de Boer and Nuttall 1997; Rhode 1971; Robles and Ruggero 2001). Similarly compressive

M. van der Heijden (⊠) · N. P. Cooper

Erasmus MC, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, Nederland URL: http://beta.neuro.nl/research/vanderheijden

nonlinearities also affect mechanical responses to pulsatile stimuli such as clicks (e.g. Robles et al. 1976), and in two-tone experiments (Robles et al. 1997), where they reputedly act on a cycle-by-cycle basis to generate distinctive patterns of intermodulation distortion products. The extent to which a compressive input-output relation holds when the intensity of a stimulus fluctuates in time has not been studied systematically, however, and the possibility that the cochlea operates more like an automatic gain control (AGC) system than an instantaneously nonlinear system remains feasible (van der Heijden 2005). In the present study, we investigate the dynamics of the cochlea's compressive mechanism(s) by observing BM responses to balanced, beating pairs of low-level tones near the recording site's characteristic frequency (CF).

2 Methods

Sound-evoked BM vibrations were recorded from the basal turn of the cochlea in terminally anesthetised gerbils, using techniques similar to those reported previously (Versteegh and van der Heijden 2012). Acoustic stimuli included: (i) inharmonic multi-tone complexes (zwuis stimuli), which were used to characterise the tuning properties of each site on the BM (including the site's CF); and (ii) "beating" pairs of inharmonic near-CF tones, with component levels adjusted to produce near-perfect periodic-cancellations in the response envelopes at individual sites on the BM (cf. Fig. 1).

3 Results

3.1 Time-Domain Observations

BM responses to well balanced, "beating" two-tone stimuli had heavily compressed envelopes, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The amount of compression was quantified by comparing the shapes of the observed response envelopes with those that would be predicted in a completely linear system (illustrated by the dashed blue and red lines in Figs. 1b and c, respectively). When scaled to have similar peak magnitudes, the observed envelopes exceeded the linear predictions across most of the beat cycle (cf. Figs. 1b, c). Expressing the ratios of the observed and predicted envelopes as "normalised gains" (Fig. 1d), the envelope's maximum gain always occurred near (but not exactly at) the instant of maximal cancellation between the two beating tones. This is as expected in a compressive system, where (by definition) "gain" decreases with increasing intensity, or increases with decreasing intensity.



Fig. 1 a BM responses to near-CF beat stimuli. $f_1 = 17,373$ Hz, 30dB SPL, $f_2 = 18,656$ Hz, 33dB SPL, $\Delta f = f_2 - f_1 = 1283$ Hz. **b** Observed (*solid blue line*, from A) and linearly predicted (*dashed blue line*) response envelopes for $\Delta f = 1283$ Hz. **c** Observed (*solid red line*) and predicted (*dashed red line*) response envelopes for $\Delta f = 20$ Hz. **d** Normalised gain (=observed/linearly-predicted envelope) for $\Delta f = 20$ Hz (*red*) and 1283 Hz (*blue*). Experiment RG15641, CF = 18 kHz

3.2 Temporal Asymmetry

At low beat rates (e.g., for $\Delta f = f_2 - f_1 \sim 10 - 160$ Hz), observed response envelopes appeared symmetric in the time domain (cf. Fig. 1c). At higher rates, however, the rising flanks of the response envelopes became steeper than the falling flanks (cf. Figs. 1a, b). This temporal asymmetry reflects hysteresis, and is reminiscent of the output of a gain control system with separate attack and decay time-constants.

3.3 "Gain Control" Dynamics

Three further response characteristics (in addition to the hysteresis) became more pronounced at higher beat rates: (1) the point at which the observed envelopes exhibited their maximal gains (relative to the linearly predicted responses) occurred later and later in the beat cycle, (2) the overall amount of envelope gain decreased, and (3) the peakedness of this gain within the beat-cycle decreased (compare the red and blue curves in Fig. 1d). These characteristics were confirmed and quantified by spectral analysis of the envelopes' temporal gain curves, as illustrated in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2 Spectral analysis of beat response envelope gain in experiment RG15641. a Magnitude. b Phase of envelope gain functions (cf. Fig. 1d) as a function rate. c Magnitude. d Phase of the envelope transfer function derived from A and B. Coloured symbols from A and B are plotted at the actual frequencies of the of beat rate ($\Delta f = f, -f$). Coloured curves represent the contributions of the different harmonics of Δf (labelled in A) to the envelope gain functions at each beat spectral components (i.e. at $f=n.\Delta f$) for the whole family of beat rates tested (20-2560 Hz), and magnitudes are normalized re: the quasi-static (QS, $\Delta f=20$ Hz) harmonics

The left panels of Fig. 2 show spectral decompositions of the envelope gain functions (cf. Fig. 1d) for a wide range of stimulus beat rates. Response energy at each harmonic of the beat rate decreases as the beat rate increases (Fig. 2a), suggesting that envelope gain is subjected to a low-pass filtering (or smoothing) process before it is observed on the BM: this is the spectral counterpart to the decreased gain at higher beat rates (point 2) referred to above. Response energy decreases more rapidly with increasing frequency for the higher harmonic numbers in Fig. 2 (i.e., the coloured curves in Fig. 2a are more closely spaced at low beat rates, and more widely spaced at higher rates): this is the spectral counterpart of the decreased peakedness of the temporal gain curves (point 3) referred to above.

The low-pass filtering apparent in the spectral analysis of the beat responses can be characterised by deriving a compound transfer function for the response envelope gain, as illustrated in Figs. 2c and d. This shows the amount of energy in the envelope gain function at each harmonic of the beat rate, after normalization by the BM's quasi-static (i.e., $\Delta f \rightarrow 0$) input-output function. In the case of Fig. 2c, we have used the 20 Hz beat rate data to normalize the data at higher frequencies, and plotted each of the harmonics at its own absolute frequency (i.e. at f=n. Δf , where n is the harmonic number). This reveals a low-pass "gain control" transfer function with a -3 dB cut-off at ~1 kHz.

The energy at each harmonic of the beat rate in the envelope gain function also occurs later in the beat cycle as the beat rate increases, as shown in Figs. 2b and d (negative phases in Fig. 2 indicate phase-lags). The phases in Fig. 2b scale almost proportionally with harmonic number, suggesting that (on average) the observed gain curves lag the effective stimuli (the linearly predicted envelopes) by a constant amount of time. This delay is approximately 50 μ s for most of our data (i.e., equating to 0.2 cycles of phase-lag in a 4 kHz range, as shown in Fig. 2d). These observations are the spectral counterparts of the delays observed in the instants of maximum envelope gain mentioned in Sect. 3.1 (cf. Fig. 1d, where both the red and blue curves peak 50 μ s after the null in the observed response envelope).

3.4 Linearization of Function

The observed decreases in envelope gain and in gain peakedness with increasing beat rate act synergistically to reduce the amount of compression exhibited in the BM response envelopes at high beat rates. This "linearization" is illustrated directly in Fig. 3 by plotting the response envelopes as a function of the instantaneous intensity of the beating stimulus. The decreases in gain are observed as clockwise rotations in the linear coordinates of Fig. 3a, and as downward shifts on the logarithmic plots of Fig. 3b. The linearization that accompanies the decreases in gain is seen as an increase in the threshold above which compression is seen (e.g. the blue 20 Hz data of Fig. 3b start to deviate from linearity above ~10 dB SPL and ~0.3 nm, whereas the steepest, rising flank of the black 1280 Hz data remains nearly linear up to ~25 dB SPL and 2 nm). One consequence of this linearization is that BM's



Fig. 3 Response linearization with increasing beat rate (experiment RG14612, CF=15 kHz). Instantaneous envelope input-output functions for three beat stimuli on **a** linear, and **b** logarithmic scales. *Arrows* in A distinguish rising and falling phases of 1280 Hz data. *Dashed lines* in B show linear (1 dB/dB) and compressive (0.333 dB/dB) growth rates

response dynamics can actually enhance the encoding of dynamic stimuli, within certain limits. However, this "enhancement" comes at the price of reduced overall sensitivity.

4 Discussion

The mechanical nonlinearity of the mammalian cochlea is clearly fast, but not instantaneous. The results of the current investigation show that dynamic changes in stimulus intensity can only be followed accurately up to a rate of ~ 1 kHz in the basal turn of the gerbil cochlea. This limitation appears to be produced by a lowpass gain control filter of some kind: this could be a mechanical filter, imparted by the load on a force generating element (such as a motile outer hair cell, or OHC), or an electrical filter (such as the OHC's basolateral membrane, cf. Housley and Ashmore 1992). The filter could even involve a combination of multiple, coupled mechanisms: preliminary analysis suggests that the gain at one site on the BM may be controlled remotely, presumably by elements (e.g., OHCs) that are distributed more basally along the BM.

Our observation of hysteresis in the BM's response to a dynamic stimulus is not entirely new—similarly asymmetric envelope responses have been reported in studies using amplitude modulated tonal stimuli (e.g., Rhode and Recio 2001). Our observation of a distinct delay to the appearance of compression (or gain) in the response envelopes is new, however. We currently believe that it is impossible to produce such a delay without resorting to a non-instantaneous form of gain control, such as an AGC. Other (non-AGC) types of system which produce level-dependent group delays can easily mimic the envelope asymmetry (hysteresis) effects that we observe, but (to the best of our knowledge) they cannot produce an actual delay in the "effect" of the nonlinearity. If this delay really does signify the presence of an AGC in the cochlea, it may well prove significant for the coding of a wide range of stimuli, and have consequences for numerous psychophysical phenomena.

Acknowledgments Supported the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, ALW 823.02.018.

Open Access This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 2.5 License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the work's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if such material is not included in the work's Creative Commons license and the respective action is not permitted by statutory regulation, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to duplicate, adapt or reproduce the material.

References

- De Boer E, Nuttall AL (1997) The mechanical waveform of the basilar membrane. I. Frequency modulations ("glides") in impulse responses and cross-correlation functions. J Acoust Soc Am 101(6):3583–3592. http://doi.org/10.1121/1.418319
- Housley GD, Ashmore JF (1992) Ionic currents of outer hair cells isolated from the guinea-pig cochlea. J Physiol 448:73–98
- Rhode WS (1971). Observations of the vibration of the basilar membrane in squirrel monkeys using the Mössbauer technique. J Acoust Soc Am, 49(4), Suppl 2:1218–1231
- Rhode WS, Recio A (2001) Multicomponent stimulus interactions observed in basilar-membrane vibration in the basal region of the chinchilla cochlea. J Acoust Soc Am 110(6):3140–3154
- Robles L, Ruggero MA (2001) Mechanics of the mammalian cochlea. Physiol Rev 81(3):1305– 1352
- Robles L, Rhode WS, Geisler CD (1976) Transient response of the basilar membrane measured in squirrel monkeys using the Mössbauer effect. J Acoust Soc Am 59(4):926–939
- Robles L, Ruggero MA, Rich NC (1997) Two-tone distortion on the basilar membrane of the chinchilla cochlea. J Neurophysiol 77(5):2385–2399
- Van der Heijden M (2005). Cochlear gain control. J Acoust Soc Am, 117(3 Pt 1), 1223–1233
- Versteegh CPC, van der Heijden M (2012) Basilar membrane responses to tones and tone complexes: nonlinear effects of stimulus intensity. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 13(6):785–798. http:// doi.org/10.1007/s10162-012-0345-0