Review

Myriam Lazard*, Marc Dauplais, Sylvain Blanquet and Pierre Plateau

Recent advances in the mechanism of selenoamino acids toxicity in eukaryotic cells

DOI 10.1515/bmc-2017-0007 Received February 16, 2017; accepted May 3, 2017

Abstract: Selenium is an essential trace element due to its incorporation into selenoproteins with important biological functions. However, at high doses it is toxic. Selenium toxicity is generally attributed to the induction of oxidative stress. However, it has become apparent that the mode of action of seleno-compounds varies, depending on its chemical form and speciation. Recent studies in various eukaryotic systems, in particular the model organism Saccharomyces cerevisiae, provide new insights on the cytotoxic mechanisms of selenomethionine and selenocysteine. This review first summarizes current knowledge on reactive oxygen species (ROS)-induced genotoxicity of inorganic selenium species. Then, we discuss recent advances on our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of selenocysteine and selenomethionine cytotoxicity. We present evidences indicating that both oxidative stress and ROSindependent mechanisms contribute to selenoamino acids cytotoxicity. These latter mechanisms include disruption of protein homeostasis by selenocysteine misincorporation in proteins and/or reaction of selenols with protein thiols.

Keywords: protein aggregation; reactive oxygen species; selenium toxicity; selenocysteine; selenomethionine.

Introduction

Selenium is an essential micronutrient for humans, nearly all animals and some bacteria and archaea, because it is a component of selenoproteins with essential biological functions. In these organisms, selenium is specifically incorporated as the amino acid selenocysteine (SeCys), the so-called 21st amino acid. A complex translational machinery is devoted to this task (1, 2). So far, 25 selenoproteins have been identified in humans (3). Many of them possess redox properties and function as antioxidants in which SeCys is the catalytic residue (4). The last decades have witnessed a growing interest in selenium biology because of its reported beneficial effects in prevention against cancer and other diseases at supranutritional intake levels (5, 6). Selenium is thus becoming a widely used dietary supplement for humans and livestock (7). Potential benefits are, however, not without risk because of the relatively narrow window between intakes that result in efficacy or toxicity (8).

Although the mechanistic bases of selenium toxicity are still not fully understood, toxicity is generally attributed to the ability of seleno-compounds to induce oxidative stress and to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) (9, 10). However, it has become apparent that selenium compounds differ in their metabolic routes and biological activities and that toxicity of this metalloid, depends on the chemical species under consideration (11).

Because of the chemical similarity between selenium and sulfur, most enzymes involved in sulfur metabolism do not discriminate between the two chalcogen elements (12). As a result, in yeasts and higher plants, inorganic selenium (selenate, selenite) can use the sulfur assimilation pathway (13) to form selenoamino acids [selenomethionine (SeMet), selenohomocysteine (SeHCys) and selenocysteine (SeCys)]. SeMet and SeCys can be incorporated into proteins in the place of methionine and cysteine, generating proteins containing non-genetically encoded selenoamino acids (14). Mammalian organisms do not synthetize SeMet from inorganic Se precursors (15). Instead, SeMet is obtained from the diet and has been estimated to account for more than 50% of human dietary selenium (16).

This review focuses on recent advances on selenoamino acid toxicity in eukaryotic cells, with a particular emphasis on the use of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* model to elucidate at the molecular level, the toxic effects of SeMet and its metabolic derivatives. For the sake of comparison, current knowledge on the mechanism of inorganic selenium toxicity will also be briefly considered, although readers are invited to consult recent reviews on the subject (17–20).

^{*}Corresponding author: Myriam Lazard, Laboratoire de Biochimie, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91128 Palaiseau cedex, France, e-mail: myriam.lazard@polytechnique.edu Marc Dauplais, Sylvain Blanquet and Pierre Plateau: Laboratoire de Biochimie, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91128 Palaiseau cedex, France



Saccharomyces cerevisiae as an experimental model for the study of selenoamino acid biology and toxicity

Saccharomyces cerevisiae was the first eukaryote to have its complete genome sequenced in 1996 (21). Since then, because of its ease of manipulation and amenability to genetic modifications, yeast has become an extremely powerful model system, to study eukaryotic cell biology (22, 23). The development of high-throughput methodologies combined to a high-level of functional conservation from yeast to humans (24, 25), has proven especially useful in studying the basic biology that underlies cell functioning and even human diseases (26).

Since the 1950s, yeast has been extensively used to study the molecular mechanisms of selenium toxicity (27–30). Although the sulfur amino acid pathway is well

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the main selenium metabolic pathways in eukaryotic cells.

From top to bottom, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae, higher plant and mammalian cell are represented with specific pathways highlighted in blue [yeast (31, 32)], green [plant (33-35)], and red [mammalian (36)] arrows. (1) Selenate is taken up by sulfate transporters and reduced to selenite by the sulfate reduction pathway. In yeast and plant cells, selenite is imported by phosphate transporters (37, 38) and by the monocarboxylate transporter Jen1p in yeast (39). The mammalian proteins involved in selenite absorption are anion transporters not yet precisely identified (40). Selenoamino acids are taken up by specific and general amino acid permeases (41). Selenocystine (SeCystine) is not transported across S. cerevisiae plasma membrane. (2) Selenite is reduced to selenide (see (Figure 2D). (3) H₂Se is condensed with O-acetylhomoserine to form SeHCys in yeast or with O-acetylserine to generate SeCys in plants. (4) The enzymes of the trans-sulfuration (cystathionine γ -synthase and cystathionine β -lyase) and reverse trans-sulfuration (cystathionine β -synthase and cystathionine γ -lyase) pathways interconvert cysteine and homocysteine. The four enzymes are present in *S. cerevisiae*. Therefore, SeMet and SeCys can each be synthetized from the other. In plants, only the reverse trans-sulfuration pathway functions. In animals, only the direct pathway exists. (5) The methionine cycle is common to all forms of life. SeMet is converted to Se-adenosylmethionine (SeAM) by S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthases. SeAM is used in methylation reactions, the product of which is Se-adenosylhomocysteine (SeAH). SeAH is hydrolyzed to SeHCys by S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase. SeAH is methylated back to SeMet by methionine synthase, or by betaine-homocyteine methyltransferase in mammals. (6) When SeAM is used in polyamine synthesis (or in plants, in ethylene synthesis), the selenium atom is recycled to SeMet by the Met salvage pathway. (7) SeMet and SeCys may be non-specifically incorporated in proteins by the translation machinery when methionine- or cysteine- tRNA synthetases activate and transfer onto tRNA the seleno-analogue to the cognate sulfur-amino acid. (8) SeCys is used in a two enzymes pathway to synthetize the tripeptide selenoglutathione (GSeH). (9) Selenide and selenols redox cycle with oxygen and glutathione (GSH) (or other thiols) with concomitant generation of ROS (see (Figure 2A and B). (10) Selenide may spontaneously react with SAM to generate methylselenol (MeSe) (42). (11) In several species of plants, SeCys methyltransferase catalyzes the methylation of SeCys to methyl-selenocysteine, which can be further metabolized to volatile MeSe and dimethyldiselenide (DMDSe) (43). (12) Methionine γ -lyase activity catalyzing the conversion of methionine to methylthiol has been characterized in various plants (44). Its occurrence in mammalian cells is doubtful. (13) In animal cells, selenophosphate synthetase generates selenophosphate from H. Se and ATP (45), which is the precursor for selenoprotein synthesis. (14) Excess selenium can be eliminated by successive methylation to form volatile dimethylselenide and trimethylselenonium or conversion to a selenosugar before excretion (46, 47). (15) Higher eukaryotes SeCys β -lyase catalyzes the conversion of SeCys into alanine and elemental selenium, which is further reduced to H₂Se non-enzymatically (48).

conserved across genus evolution (Figure 1), several differences exist from yeast to humans that should be kept in mind. The major difference lies in the absence, in yeast and higher plant cells, of a specific SeCys incorporation pathway and consequently of genetically encoded selenoproteins. Actually, this specificity can translate into an advantage when studying mechanisms of toxicity, because it allows to ignore the effects related to the function of selenium in the active site of selenoenzymes. Another difference is that trans-sulfuration is reversible in S. cerevisiae, whereas it is unidirectional, from methionine to cysteine, in mammals and from cysteine to methionine in higher plants. In mammalian tissues, SeCys can be further transformed by specific selenocysteine β -lyases (48), that decompose SeCys into alanine and an enzyme-bound selenylsulfide intermediate (49) which can be further reduced to H₂Se. In plants, cleavage of SeCys can be performed by cysteine desulfurases (50). These proteins provide the sulfur necessary for iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis and thiol-modification of tRNAs. A cysteine desulfurase also exists in yeast (51). The role of these enzymes in selenium metabolism in yeast and plants is unknown. In several species of plants, SeCys is converted to the less reactive methylselenocysteine by selenocysteine methyltransferases (52). Lastly, methionine γ -lyase (or methioninase) that

cleaves selenomethionine to MeSe, α -ketobutyrate and ammonia, exists in bacteria and plants (53). The presence of such an activity has been reported in mammalian and fish cells but is questionable.

Despite these differences, the yeast model offers several advantages to address issues on selenium toxicity. For instance, it is admitted that the effects of selenium are strongly dependent upon its chemical form (54). Metabolization of seleno-compounds in vivo gives rise to multiple different metabolites. For example, more than 60 low-molecular-weight different selenium-metabolites were found in Se-enriched yeast grown in a medium containing selenite (55). Therefore, deciphering the effects of a given metabolite is a major difficulty in selenium studies, which can be overcome by using yeast strains deleted for individual genes in a particular pathway. Another advantage of yeast is the availability of a full genome deletion collection (56). In these mutant strains, a cassette that contains a selectable marker flanked on each side by a different molecular barcode or 'tag' replaces each of the approximately 6000 known open reading frames (ORF). The tags for each ORF deletion being unique, the relative abundance of each strain in a pool can be determined by DNA microarray hybridization, allowing genome-wide fitness assays under various environmental stress conditions (57). Yeast toxicogenomic studies have been applied to hundreds of growth-inhibitory chemicals

with the aim of uncovering their mechanisms of action (58–60). They have helped to identify biological functions involved in the toxicity of several compounds including metals, pesticides and pharmaceutical drugs (61–63).

Selenium and oxidative stress

Today, it is generally admitted that oxidative stress is a determinant factor of selenium cytotoxicity as well as anticarcinogenic properties (17, 64). Pro-oxidant properties of selenium originate from the in vivo conversion of seleno-compounds into H.Se or into selenols (RSeH: SeCys, MeSe, GSeH,...), which are readily oxidized by oxygen with concomitant generation of ROS (Figure 2A and B) (9, 65-68). The products of these oxidation reactions (Se⁽⁰⁾ or RSeSeR) can be reduced by low molecularweight thiols (cysteine, GSH) or by the thioredoxin (Trx) and glutaredoxin (Grx) systems (69, 70), with regeneration of H₂Se or RSeH that will initiate a new cycle of oxidation/ reduction (71). These redox cycles consume intracellular antioxidants such as GSH and, consequently, the reducing cofactor NADPH. They lead to massive production of ROS, which can damage nucleic acids, proteins and lipids. In addition, oxidized selenols react with protein-thiols, to

A Selenide redox cycling



Figure 2: Redox reactions of selenium compounds.

form selenylsulfide bridges (Figure 2C) (72–75) and can also catalyze the formation of disulfide bridges between low molecular-weight thiols and proteins (70) or between proteins (76–78), potentially leading to protein inactivation or aggregation (Figure 2C).

Selenite/selenide toxicity

A large body of evidence, built up in course of the last decades, indicates that the toxicity of selenite is mainly caused by DNA damage (19, 28). In animal and yeast cells, selenite has been shown to induce ROS-dependent DNA strand breaks and/or base oxidation that lead to cell death by apoptosis or necrosis (30, 79-84). It is likely that reduction of selenite into hydrogen selenide in vivo (Figure 2D) (85–87), followed by redox cycling of selenide in the presence of oxygen and thiols (Figure 2A), accounts for selenite-induced DNA damage. Indeed, in vitro studies (68, 82) showed that selenide induced DNA single-strand breaks caused by hydroxyl or hydroxyl-like radicals produced upon oxidation of selenide by oxygen. In yeast, studies of individual deletion mutants (88-91) and more recently, two independent genome-wide analyses (68, 92) confirmed the importance of DNA repair systems, especially the homologous recombination pathway, in resistance to selenite/selenide exposure.

In addition, selenite exposure promotes redox imbalance and oxidative stress. Accumulation of superoxides and hydrogen peroxide was observed in various eukaryotic cell lines (80, 93–98), associated to a decrease of the GSH/GSSG ratio and to an increase of protein oxidation and lipid peroxidation (94, 99–101). Transcriptome analyses, in *S. cervisiae* (102) and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (103), revealed that selenite treatment up-regulated genes involved in the oxidative stress response. Several analyses in yeast showed that mutants of GSH or Grx metabolisms were more sensitive to selenite than the wild-type (68, 90, 92, 104, 105). These results indicate that maintaining a proper cellular redox homeostasis is crucial for selenite resistance.

Selenocyst(e)ine toxicity

One major difference between SeCys and cysteine is a significantly lower pK_a value of the selenol function relative to that of the corresponding thiol (5.2 for SeCys vs. 8.3 for cysteine) (106, 107). As a consequence, at physiological pH, reduced SeCys exists as a selenolate ion (RSe⁻) whereas cysteine is mostly protonated. This property, as

well as the higher nucleophilicity of the selenolate, contributes to the greater reactivity of SeCys over cysteine in redox reactions (108–110). Thus, for example, a SeCys to cysteine substitution reduces 300 times the catalytic efficiency of the *Escherichia coli* selenoenzyme formate dehydrogenase (111). However, as discussed above, free selenolates can easily react with oxygen and thiols, potentially resulting in oxidative stress and disruption of cellular functions (112). The duality between the beneficial properties of catalytic SeCys vs. the harmful effects of free SeCys provides a rationale for the selection of a SeCys insertion machinery which does not require high concentrations of free SeCys in the cell.

Oxidation of SeCys to the diselenide form is fast at neutral pH [<10 min vs. >10 h for cysteine, in identical conditions (113)]. For this reason, most toxicity studies were performed starting from SeCystine, which is easily reduced intracellularly into SeCys, either by GSH (114), or by the Trx and Grx systems (69). SeCystine has been shown to be toxic to animal cells with IC₅₀ ranging from a few µM to a few hundreds of µM (115, 116). Higher sensitivity of cancer cell lines over normal cells suggests a cancercell specificity making SeCystine a promising therapeutic agent (115). In various human cells, SeCystine treatment was reported to trigger (i) an increase in intracellular ROS, (ii) an accumulation of DNA strand breaks, (iii) an activation of the prosurvival Pi3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathways and (iv) an activation of the p53 pathway which, in turn, induces mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis (95, 115, 117, 118). Protection against DNA damage and apoptosis was afforded by various antioxidants and radical scavengers, suggesting that the pro-oxidant properties of SeCys mediate cytotoxicity (119). These results are in agreement with the generally accepted hypothesis that the cytotoxic effects of selenium compounds derive from their ability or that of their metabolites to produce an accumulation of reactive oxygen species. Redox cycling of the diselenide (SeCystine) or of mixed selenylsulfides (CysSeSR) with intracellular thiols and oxygen is a potential source of ROS.

Nevertheless, the ROS-dependent toxicity of SeCys has recently been challenged by the work of Wallenberg et al. (70) who reported that treatment of lung-derived H-157 cancer cells with cytotoxic concentrations of SeCystine did not induce ROS production. The same authors showed that SeCystine triggered endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, the unfolded protein response, an increase in protein ubiquitination and extensive cytoplasmic vacuolarization in HeLa cells, in the absence of DNA damage (120). In higher plants also, evidence was recently provided that SeCys treatment impairs protein homeostasis without elevation of superoxide levels (121, 122). It was also reported that a mutation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* BiP2 protein, an ER chaperone that binds to misfolded proteins, prevented germination when plants were grown on SeCys, suggesting errors in ER protein folding or quality control (121).

One mechanism that may explain SeCys-induced proteotoxic stress is non-specific incorporation of SeCvs into proteins, which is expected to cause structural and functional alterations. Such a hypothesis was proposed more than 30 years ago from studies in higher plants (123). It was based on the observation that, although Se-tolerant Astragalus species accumulated considerably more selenium than did sensitive plants, Se concentration in the protein fractions from the Se-accumulators was reduced nearly 10-fold compared to that from the non-tolerant Astragalus species (124). Since then, misincorporation of SeCys in proteins was demonstrated in the plant Vigna radiata (mung bean) (125), in S. cerevisiae (126, 127) and in E. coli cells (128). Another mechanism that may contribute to protein damage is reaction of protein thiols with oxidized selenols such as SeCystine. As pointed out above, this reaction will lead to the formation of selenylsulfide or disulfide bonds, which may in turn cause structural changes leading to protein aggregation or to functional inactivation.

To summarize, SeCys toxicity seems to result from both ROS-dependent and ROS-independent mechanisms. The latter may be due to misincorporation of SeCys in proteins and/or protein-thiol oxidation. The contribution to toxicity of each of these mechanisms remains to be assessed more precisely and may depend on the cell type under study.

Selenomethionine toxicity

A great variability of results were reported on the SeMet dose necessary to elicit toxicity in cultured cells, with IC_{50} values ranging from a few μ M to tenths of mM, depending on the cell type, culture conditions and type of assay (129, 130). Such a disparity may be partly explained by the strong inverse dependency of SeMet toxicity on the methionine concentration in the growth medium of yeast or mammalian cell cultures (113, 131). This dependency indicates that SeMet and methionine share common uptake and/or metabolic pathways. Therefore, it is important to take into account the SeMet/Met ratio rather than the selenium concentration only, when comparing the toxic effects of SeMet in various conditions.

Insights into the toxic mode of action of SeMet are scarce. Although misincorporation of SeMet in proteins might in principle generate toxicity, it has been shown in human cells that SeMet can support cell growth in the absence of methionine (131). In yeast mutants, the substitution of more than 90% of protein methioninyl residues by SeMet does not elicit significant toxicity (132–134). Moreover, a *S. cerevisiae* mutant unable to metabolize SeMet to its primary metabolic product, SeAM, displays low SeMet sensitivity (135). Therefore, SeMet toxic effects must be mediated by one or several of its metabolic products (see Figure 1) rather than by itself.

Comparison of the effects of SeMet and selenite on the growth of wild-type and mutant yeast cells indicated the lesser importance of DNA damage in SeMet vs. selenite toxicity (91). Likewise, SeMet treatment did not result in DNA damage in human lymphocytes, even at cytotoxic concentrations (136). Studies investigating the effects of toxic concentrations of SeMet and selenite in human carcinoma cell lines revealed apoptotic responses specific for each compound (137, 138). These results indicate that the mechanistic bases of SeMet and selenite toxicities are substantially different. Therefore, formation of selenide, which plays a central role in the toxic effects of selenite, is unlikely to be responsible for the toxicity of SeMet.

In 2004, Spallholz and colleagues showed that, in vitro, SeMet produced superoxide ions in the presence of bacterial methioninase (139). This result led to the hypothesis that SeMet toxic effects could be mediated by its direct cleavage to MeSe, followed by redox cycling of the latter resulting in the accumulation of ROS. However, no homologue of bacterial methioninase was found in animal genomes (53). Although a methioninase activity was reported in trout hepatocytes (140) and in mouse liver cell extracts (141), the mouse liver enzyme was later purified and found to be cystathionine γ -lyase, the enzyme converting cystathionine into cysteine (142, 143). Its high $K_{\rm M}$ value for SeMet (15 mM), suggests that the amount of MeSe generated from SeMet by this enzyme is low in vivo (142). Thus, the occurrence in animal cells of a γ -lyase enzyme able to efficiently cleave SeMet is unlikely. In agreement with this conclusion, speciation studies in rat liver or in human leukemia cells exposed to SeMet failed to detect MeSe or its oxidized form DMDSe suggesting that metabolization of SeMet to MeSe was insignificant in these models (144, 145). Therefore, MeSe may only be a minor determinant of SeMet-induced toxicity in animal cells.

To gain insights into the metabolic product(s) underlying SeMet toxicity, we compared the sensitivity

to SeMet of several S. cerevisiae mutants compromised in individual pathways of sulfur metabolism (113). This analysis allowed us to exclude effects resulting from an accumulation of SeAM, SeAH or any compound in the methionine salvage pathway. Instead, it indicated that toxicity arised from metabolization of SeMet into selenols, SeHCys, SeCys and possibly γ-Glu-SeCys and GSeH. Another study showed that deletion of CYS3, the gene encoding cystathionine γ -lyase required for synthesis of SeCys, drastically reduced toxicity (134). Overall, these results implied that SeCvs formation plays a central role in SeMet-induced growth inhibition, which suggests that SeMet mode of action should be similar to that of SeCys. In this context, it is interesting to note that, in a recent study by Kupsco and Schlenk (146), exposure of fish (Japanese medaka) embryos to SeMet combined with hypersalinity induced a 100-fold increase in transcripts of BiP, the major ER chaperone (146), suggesting an accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER reminiscent of the SeCystine-induced ER stress observed by Wallenberg et al. (120) in HeLa cells.

Recently, a genome-wide screen of the S. cerevisiae deletion collection revealed that tolerance against SeMet mainly involves mechanisms related to the folding or removal of damaged proteins (127). In particular, genes related to ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation, either via the proteasome complex or via the multivesicular body sorting pathway were over-represented among deletion mutants sensitive to SeMet. In accordance with the idea that proteins are the main targets of SeMet-induced effects, an accumulation of aggregated proteins was observed in wild-type yeast cells exposed to SeMet. Deletion of CYS3, which prevents the formation of SeCys from SeMet, completely abolished protein aggregation. All these recent results suggest the involvement of a SeCys-induced proteotoxic stress as a major determinant of SeMet toxicity in yeast.

As discussed in this review concerning SeCys effects, oxidative stress may also contribute to SeMet toxicity. Exposure to SeMet was shown to increase the production of intracellular ROS in fish embryos (147) and hepatocytes (148). Suzuki et al. (138) reported that SeMet induced ROS generation and apoptosis in lung cancer A549 cells (138). Superoxide production was observed in SeMet-treated yeast cells and deletion of *SOD1*, the gene coding for superoxide dismutase, was shown to increase SeMet toxicity (113). In addition, metabolomic studies in yeast demonstrated that SeMet addition induced a redox imbalance (149, 150). How exposure to SeMet generates oxidative stress remains to be established. It could be the result of redox-cycling of selenols produced *in vivo* with oxygen and intracellular thiols. In addition, superoxides produced in these reactions can catalyze the formation of unnatural selenylsulfide or diselenide bridges, which may, in turn, promote protein misfolding and aggregation.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Induction of oxidative stress has long been held to account for selenium toxicity. ROS-induced DNA damage mediated by selenide produced *in vivo* is now a fairly well established mechanism accounting for selenite cytotoxicity. Because cancer cells generally exhibit an increased vulnerability to ROS-producing compounds, there is an increasing interest in designing anticancer agents promoting oxidative stress. Among redox active drugs, selenite is a promising candidate as a cancer therapeutic agent (17, 151). The next step consists in evaluating its toxicity in cancer patients and the therapeutic potential of redoxactive selenium compounds.

Recent studies suggest that the mode of action of selenoamino acids could be different from that of inorganic selenium compounds. In particular, studies in S. cerevisiae indicate that SeMet toxicity results from its conversion into SeCys by cellular metabolism. SeCys has been shown to mediate a proteotoxic stress rather than DNA damage, which may play a role in selenium toxicity that was underestimated until now. Several questions remain unanswered. Firstly, further investigations are needed to determine whether SeCys-induced growth inhibition mostly arises from unspecific incorporation of SeCys resulting in protein misfolding or from protein thiol-oxidation leading to aggregation and/or inactivation of folded proteins. The relative importance of these mechanisms in the cell is likely to depend on the rate of protein synthesis. Another question that needs answering is whether SeCys causes functional inactivation of a few proteins with essential functions or induces a general disruption in protein homeostasis. A promising avenue of research to address these issues will be to use a global proteomic approach in yeast to identify proteins that aggregate under SeMet stress.

The involvement of SeCys in the toxicity of SeMet emerged from studies in the yeast system. It is likely that SeCys also participates in SeMet toxicity in other cellular systems, in particular human cells, but this remains to be confirmed. In animals, the expression of the transsulfuration enzymes, cystathionine β -synthase and cystathionine γ -lyase, is tissue-specific (152). For example, the synthesis of cysteine from methionine is at least two

orders of magnitude lower in the brain than in the liver and kidney (153), suggesting that if metabolization to SeCvs contributes significantly to SeMet toxicity in animals, this mechanism should be strongly tissue-dependent. Additional studies on SeMet metabolism and toxicity in different animal and human cell lines are necessary to expand our understanding of the consequences of SeMet exposure on protein aggregation in higher eukaryotes. Another area of research that may be worth investigating is the potential of selenoamino acids as anticancer agents. Indeed, tumor cells have a high demand for amino acids and in particular for methionine, to sustain their high proliferation rates (154). In addition, tumorigenesis is often associated with an increased dependency on protein homeostasis networks (155). This makes the use of SeMet, alone or in association with agents that interfere with protein homeostasis, an interesting strategy to explore for cancer treatments.

References

- Böck A, Forchhammer K, Heider J, Baron C. Selenoprotein synthesis: an expansion of the genetic code. Trends Biochem Sci 1991; 16: 463–7.
- Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. How selenium has altered our understanding of the genetic code. Mol Cell Biol 2002; 22: 3565–76.
- Kryukov GV, Castellano S, Novoselov SV, Lobanov AV, Zehtab O, Guigo R, Gladyshev VN. Characterization of mammalian selenoproteomes. Science 2003; 300: 1439–43.
- Labunskyy VM, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. Selenoproteins: molecular pathways and physiological roles. Physiol Rev 2014; 94: 739–77.
- 5. Rayman MP. Selenium in cancer prevention: a review of the evidence and mechanism of action. Proc Nutr Soc 2005; 64: 527–42.
- Sanmartin C, Plano D, Font M, Palop JA. Selenium and clinical trials: new therapeutic evidence for multiple diseases. Curr Med Chem 2011; 18: 4635–50.
- Bailey RL, Gahche JJ, Lentino CV, Dwyer JT, Engel JS, Thomas PR, Betz JM, Sempos CT, Picciano MF. Dietary supplement use in the United States, 2003–2006. J Nutr 2011; 141: 261–6.
- 8. Rayman MP. Selenium and human health. Lancet 2012; 379: 1256–68.
- 9. Seko Y, Saito Y, Kitahara J, Imura N. Active oxygen generation by the reaction of selenite with reduced glutathione *in vitro*. In: A. Wendel, editor. Fourth international symposium on selenium in biology and medicine. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1989: 70–3.
- Spallholz JE. Free radical generation by selenium compounds and their prooxidant toxicity. Biomed Environ Sci 1997; 10: 260–70.
- Weekley CM, Harris HH. Which form is that? The importance of selenium speciation and metabolism in the prevention and treatment of disease. Chem Soc Rev 2013; 42: 8870–94.

- 12. Stadtman TC. Selenium biochemistry. Annu Rev Biochem 1990; 59: 111–27.
- Sors TG, Ellis DR, Na GN, Lahner B, Lee S, Leustek T, Pickering IJ, Salt DE. Analysis of sulfur and selenium assimilation in *Astragalus* plants with varying capacities to accumulate selenium. Plant J 2005; 42: 785–97.
- Schrauzer GN. Selenomethionine: a review of its nutritional significance, metabolism and toxicity. J Nutr 2000; 130: 1653–6.
- Cummins LM, Martin JL. Are selenocystine and selenomethionine synthesized *in vivo* from sodium selenite in mammals? Biochemistry 1967; 6: 3162–8.
- European Food Safety A. L-selenomethionine as a source of selenium added for nutritional purposes to food supplements. EFSA J 2009; 7: 1082.
- Misra S, Boylan M, Selvam A, Spallholz JE, Björnstedt M. Redoxactive selenium compounds – from toxicity and cell death to cancer treatment. Nutrients 2015; 7: 3536–56.
- Sanmartin C, Plano D, Sharma AK, Palop JA. Selenium compounds, apoptosis and other types of cell death: an overview for cancer therapy. Int J Mol Sci 2012; 13: 9649–72.
- Brozmanová J, Mániková D, Vlcková V, Chovanec M. Selenium: a double-edged sword for defense and offence in cancer. Arch Toxicol 2010; 84: 919–38.
- 20. Wallenberg M, Misra S, Björnstedt M. Selenium cytotoxicity in cancer. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2014; 114: 377–86.
- Goffeau A, Barrell BG, Bussey H, Davis RW, Dujon B, Feldmann H, Galibert F, Hoheisel JD, Jacq C, Johnston M, Louis EJ, Mewes HW, Murakami Y, Philippsen P, Tettelin H, Oliver SG. Life with 6000 genes. Science 1996; 274: 546, 63–7.
- 22. Botstein D, Fink GR. Yeast: an experimental organism for 21st Century biology. Genetics 2011; 189: 695–704.
- 23. Duina AA, Miller ME, Keeney JB. Budding yeast for budding geneticists: a primer on the Saccharomyces cerevisiae model system. Genetics 2014; 197: 33–48.
- 24. Dolinski K, Botstein D. Orthology and functional conservation in eukaryotes. Annu Rev Genet 2007; 41: 465–507.
- Kachroo AH, Laurent JM, Yellman CM, Meyer AG, Wilke CO, Marcotte EM. Evolution. Systematic humanization of yeast genes reveals conserved functions and genetic modularity. Science 2015; 348: 921–5.
- Petranovic D, Nielsen J. Can yeast systems biology contribute to the understanding of human disease? Trends Biotechnol 2008; 26: 584–90.
- Wysocki R, Tamás MJ. How Saccharomyces cerevisiae copes with toxic metals and metalloids. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2010; 34: 925–51.
- 28. Letavayová L, Vlcková V, Brozmanová J. Selenium: from cancer prevention to DNA damage. Toxicology 2006; 227: 1–14.
- 29. Kitajima T, Chiba Y. Selenomethionine metabolism and its toxicity in yeast. Biomol Concepts 2013; 4: 611–6.
- Letavayová L, Vlasáková D, Spallholz JE, Brozmanová J, Chovanec M. Toxicity and mutagenicity of selenium compounds in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mutat Res 2008; 638: 1–10.
- Thomas D, Surdin-Kerjan Y. Metabolism of sulfur amino acids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1997; 61: 503–32.
- Kieliszek M, Blazejak S, Gientka I, Bzducha-Wrobel A. Accumulation and metabolism of selenium by yeast cells. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2015; 99: 5373–82.

- 33. White PJ. Selenium accumulation by plants. Ann Bot 2016; 117: 217–35.
- Terry N, Zayed AM, De Souza MP, Tarun AS. Selenium in Higher Plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 2000; 51: 401–32.
- Sors TG, Ellis DR, Salt DE. Selenium uptake, translocation, assimilation and metabolic fate in plants. Photosynth Res 2005; 86: 373–89.
- 36. Roman M, Jitaru P, Barbante C. Selenium biochemistry and its role for human health. Metallomics 2014; 6: 25–54.
- 37. Li HF, McGrath SP, Zhao FJ. Selenium uptake, translocation and speciation in wheat supplied with selenate or selenite. New Phytol 2008; 178: 92–102.
- 38. Lazard M, Blanquet S, Fisicaro P, Labarraque G, Plateau P. Uptake of selenite by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* involves the high and low affinity orthophosphate transporters. J Biol Chem 2010; 285: 32029–37.
- 39. McDermott JR, Rosen BP, Liu Z. Jen1p: a high affinity selenite transporter in yeast. Mol Biol Cell 2010; 21: 3934–41.
- 40. Ganyc D, Self WT. High affinity selenium uptake in a keratinocyte model. FEBS Lett 2008; 582: 299–304.
- Nickel A, Kottra G, Schmidt G, Danier J, Hofmann T, Daniel H. Characteristics of transport of selenoamino acids by epithelial amino acid transporters. Chem Biol Interact 2009; 177: 234–41.
- 42. Fernandes AP, Wallenberg M, Gandin V, Misra S, Tisato F, Marzano C, Rigobello MP, Kumar S, Bjornstedt M. Methylselenol formed by spontaneous methylation of selenide is a superior selenium substrate to the thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems. PLoS One 2012; 7: e50727.
- Meija J, Montes-Bayon M, Caruso JA, Leduc DL, Terry N. Speciation of volatile selenium species in plants using gas chromatography/inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Se Pu 2004; 22: 16–9.
- 44. Rebeille F, Jabrin S, Bligny R, Loizeau K, Gambonnet B, Van Wilder V, Douce R, Ravanel S. Methionine catabolism in *Arabidopsis* cells is initiated by a γ-cleavage process and leads to S-methylcysteine and isoleucine syntheses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103: 15687–92.
- 45. Mariotti M, Santesmasses D, Capella-Gutierrez S, Mateo A, Arnan C, Johnson R, D'Aniello S, Yim SH, Gladyshev VN, Serras F, Corominas M, Gabaldon T, Guigo R. Evolution of selenophosphate synthetases: emergence and relocation of function through independent duplications and recurrent subfunctionalization. Genome Res 2015; 25: 1256–67.
- 46. Jackson MI, Lunoe K, Gabel-Jensen C, Gammelgaard B, Combs GF, Jr. Metabolism of selenite to selenosugar and trimethylselenonium *in vivo*: tissue dependency and requirement for S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methylation. J Nutr Biochem 2013; 24: 2023–30.
- Ohta Y, Suzuki KT. Methylation and demethylation of intermediates selenide and methylselenol in the metabolism of selenium. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2008; 226: 169–77.
- Esaki N, Karai N, Nakamura T, Tanaka H, Soda K. Mechanism of reactions catalyzed by selenocysteine β-lyase. Arch Biochem Biophys 1985; 238: 418–23.
- 49. Omi R, Kurokawa S, Mihara H, Hayashi H, Goto M, Miyahara I, Kurihara T, Hirotsu K, Esaki N. Reaction mechanism and molecular basis for selenium/sulfur discrimination of selenocysteine lyase. J Biol Chem 2010; 285: 12133–9.

- 50. Pilon-Smits EA, Garifullina GF, Abdel-Ghany S, Kato S, Mihara H, Hale KL, Burkhead JL, Esaki N, Kurihara T, Pilon M. Characterization of a NifS-like chloroplast protein from Arabidopsis. Implications for its role in sulfur and selenium metabolism. Plant Physiol 2002; 130: 1309–18.
- Nakai Y, Umeda N, Suzuki T, Nakai M, Hayashi H, Watanabe K, Kagamiyama H. Yeast Nfs1p is involved in thio-modification of both mitochondrial and cytoplasmic tRNAs. J Biol Chem 2004; 279: 12363–8.
- 52. Neuhierl B, Bock A. Selenocysteine methyltransferase. Methods Enzymol 2002; 347: 203–7.
- Sato D, Nozaki T. Methionine gamma-lyase: the unique reaction mechanism, physiological roles, and therapeutic applications against infectious diseases and cancers. IUBMB Life 2009; 61: 1019–28.
- 54. Weekley CM, Aitken JB, Finney L, Vogt S, Witting PK, Harris HH. Selenium metabolism in cancer cells: the combined application of XAS and XFM techniques to the problem of selenium speciation in biological systems. Nutrients 2013; 5: 1734–56.
- 55. Arnaudguilhem C, Bierla K, Ouerdane L, Preud'homme H, Yiannikouris A, Lobinski R. Selenium metabolomics in yeast using complementary reversed-phase/hydrophilic ion interaction (HILIC) liquid chromatography-electrospray hybrid quadrupole trap/Orbitrap mass spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta 2012; 757: 26–38.
- 56. Giaever G, Nislow C. The yeast deletion collection: a decade of functional genomics. Genetics 2014; 197: 451–65.
- 57. Pierce SE, Davis RW, Nislow C, Giaever G. Genome-wide analysis of barcoded *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gene-deletion mutants in pooled cultures. Nat Protoc 2007; 2: 2958–74.
- Hillenmeyer ME, Fung E, Wildenhain J, Pierce SE, Hoon S, Lee W, Proctor M, St Onge RP, Tyers M, Koller D, Altman RB, Davis RW, Nislow C, Giaever G. The chemical genomic portrait of yeast: uncovering a phenotype for all genes. Science 2008; 320: 362–5.
- Lopez A, Parsons AB, Nislow C, Giaever G, Boone C. Chemicalgenetic approaches for exploring the mode of action of natural products. Prog Drug Res 2008; 66: 237, 39–71.
- 60. Parsons AB, Lopez A, Givoni IE, Williams DE, Gray CA, Porter J, Chua G, Sopko R, Brost RL, Ho CH, Wang J, Ketela T, Brenner C, Brill JA, Fernandez GE, Lorenz TC, Payne GS, Ishihara S, Ohya Y, Andrews B, Hughes TR, Frey BJ, Graham TR, Andersen RJ, Boone C. Exploring the mode-of-action of bioactive compounds by chemical-genetic profiling in yeast. Cell 2006; 126: 611–25.
- dos Santos SC, Sa-Correia I. Yeast toxicogenomics: lessons from a eukaryotic cell model and cell factory. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2015; 33: 183–91.
- 62. dos Santos SC, Teixeira MC, Cabrito TR, Sa-Correia I. Yeast toxicogenomics: genome-wide responses to chemical stresses with impact in environmental health, pharmacology, and biotechnology. Front Genet 2012; 3: 63.
- Yasokawa D, Iwahashi H. Toxicogenomics using yeast DNA microarrays. J Biosci Bioeng 2010; 110: 511–22.
- 64. Björnstedt M, Fernandes AP. Selenium in the prevention of human cancers. EPMA J 2010; 1: 389–95.
- Chaudiere J, Courtin O, Leclaire J. Glutathione oxidase activity of selenocystamine: a mechanistic study. Arch Biochem Biophys 1992; 296: 328–36.
- 66. Seko Y, Imura N. Active oxygen generation as a possible mechanism of selenium toxicity. Biomed Environ Sci 1997; 10: 333–9.

- 67. Tarze A, Dauplais M, Grigoras I, Lazard M, Ha-Duong NT, Barbier F, Blanquet S, Plateau P. Extracellular production of hydrogen selenide accounts for thiol-assisted toxicity of selenite against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Biol Chem 2007; 282: 8759–67.
- Peyroche G, Saveanu C, Dauplais M, Lazard M, Beuneu F, Decourty L, Malabat C, Jacquier A, Blanquet S, Plateau P. Sodium selenide toxicity is mediated by O₂-dependent DNA breaks. PLoS One 2012; 7: e36343.
- 69. Björnstedt M, Kumar S, Björkhem L, Spyrou G, Holmgren A. Selenium and the thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems. Biomed Environ Sci 1997; 10: 271–9.
- 70. Wallenberg M, Olm E, Hebert C, Björnstedt M, Fernandes AP. Selenium compounds are substrates for glutaredoxins: a novel pathway for selenium metabolism and a potential mechanism for selenium-mediated cytotoxicity. Biochem J 2010; 429: 85–93.
- Kumar S, Björnstedt M, Holmgren A. Selenite is a substrate for calf thymus thioredoxin reductase and thioredoxin and elicits a large non-stoichiometric oxidation of NADPH in the presence of oxygen. Eur J Biochem 1992; 207: 435–39.
- Gupta N, Porter TD. Inhibition of human squalene monooxygenase by selenium compounds. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 2002; 16: 18–23.
- 73. Kim IY, Stadtman TC. Inhibition of NF-κB DNA binding and nitric oxide induction in human T cells and lung adenocarcinoma cells by selenite treatment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94: 12904–7.
- 74. Park HS, Huh SH, Kim Y, Shim J, Lee SH, Park IS, Jung YK, Kim IY, Choi EJ. Selenite negatively regulates caspase-3 through a redox mechanism. J Biol Chem 2000; 275: 8487–91.
- Spyrou G, Björnstedt M, Kumar S, Holmgren A. AP-1 DNA-binding activity is inhibited by selenite and selenodiglutathione. FEBS Lett 1995; 368: 59–63.
- 76. Gopalakrishna R, Gundimeda U, Chen ZH. Cancer-preventive selenocompounds induce a specific redox modification of cysteine-rich regions in Ca²⁺-dependent isoenzymes of protein kinase C. Arch Biochem Biophys 1997; 348: 25–36.
- 77. Kim TS, Yun BY, Kim IY. Induction of the mitochondrial permeability transition by selenium compounds mediated by oxidation of the protein thiol groups and generation of the superoxide. Biochem Pharmacol 2003; 66: 2301–11.
- 78. Gundimeda U, Schiffman JE, Chhabra D, Wong J, Wu A, Gopalakrishna R. Locally generated methylseleninic acid induces specific inactivation of protein kinase C isoenzymes: relevance to selenium-induced apoptosis in prostate cancer cells. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 34519–31.
- Lu J, Kaeck M, Jiang C, Wilson AC, Thompson HJ. Selenite induction of DNA strand breaks and apoptosis in mouse leukemic L1210 cells. Biochem Pharmacol 1994; 47: 1531–5.
- Li GX, Hu H, Jiang C, Schuster T, Lu J. Differential involvement of reactive oxygen species in apoptosis induced by two classes of selenium compounds in human prostate cancer cells. Int J Cancer 2007; 120: 2034–43.
- Fujimoto Y, Morinaga K, Abe M, Kitamura T, Sakuma S. Selenite induces oxidative DNA damage in primary rat hepatocyte cultures. Toxicol Lett 2009; 191: 341–6.
- Tobe T, Ueda K, Ando M, Okamoto Y, Kojima N. Thiol-mediated multiple mechanisms centered on selenodiglutathione determine selenium cytotoxicity against MCF-7 cancer cells. J Biol Inorg Chem 2015; 20: 687–94.

- 83. Park SH, Kim JH, Chi GY, Kim GY, Chang YC, Moon SK, Nam SW, Kim WJ, Yoo YH, Choi YH. Induction of apoptosis and autophagy by sodium selenite in A549 human lung carcinoma cells through generation of reactive oxygen species. Toxicol Lett 2012; 212: 252–61.
- 84. Selvaraj V, Tomblin J, Yeager Armistead M, Murray E. Selenium (sodium selenite) causes cytotoxicity and apoptotic mediated cell death in PLHC-1 fish cell line through DNA and mitochondrial membrane potential damage. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 2013; 87: 80–8.
- 85. Ganther HE. Selenotrisulfides. Formation by the reaction of thiols with selenious acid. Biochemistry 1968; 7: 2898–905.
- Ganther HE. Reduction of the selenotrisulfide derivative of glutathione to a persulfide analog by glutathione reductase. Biochemistry 1971; 10: 4089–98.
- Kumari RP, Ramkumar S, Thankappan B, Natarajaseenivasan K, Balaji S, Anbarasu K. Transcriptional regulation of crystallin, redox, and apoptotic genes by C-Phycocyanin in the seleniteinduced cataractogenic rat model. Mol Vis 2015; 21: 26–39.
- Letavayová L, Vlasáková D, Vlcková V, Brozmanová J, Chovanec M. Rad52 has a role in the repair of sodium selenite-induced DNA damage in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mutat Res 2008; 652: 198–203.
- 89. Maniková D, Vlasáková D, Loduhová J, Letavayová L, Vigasová D, Krascsenitsová E, Vlcková V, Brozmanová J, Chovanec M. Investigations on the role of base excision repair and non-homologous end-joining pathways in sodium selenite-induced toxicity and mutagenicity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mutagenesis 2010; 25: 155–62.
- 90. Pinson B, Sagot I, Daignan-Fornier B. Identification of genes affecting selenite toxicity and resistance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Microbiol 2000; 36: 679–87.
- 91. Seitomer E, Balar B, He D, Copeland PR, Kinzy TG. Analysis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae null allele strains identifies a larger role for DNA damage versus oxidative stress pathways in growth inhibition by selenium. Mol Nutr Food Res 2008; 52: 1305–15.
- 92. Mániková D, Vlasáková D, Letavayová L, Klobucniková V, Griac P, Chovanec M. Selenium toxicity toward yeast as assessed by microarray analysis and deletion mutant library screen: a role for DNA repair. Chem Res Toxicol 2012; 25: 1598–608.
- Nilsonne G, Sun X, Nyström C, Rundlöf AK, Potamitou Fernandes A, Björnstedt M, Dobra K. Selenite induces apoptosis in sarcomatoid malignant mesothelioma cells through oxidative stress. Free Radic Biol Med 2006; 41: 874–85.
- Shen HM, Yang CF, Ong CN. Sodium selenite-induced oxidative stress and apoptosis in human hepatoma HepG2 cells. Int J Cancer 1999; 81: 820–8.
- Zhao R, Xiang N, Domann FE, Zhong W. Expression of p53 enhances selenite-induced superoxide production and apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 2296–304.
- 96. Vallentine P, Hung CY, Xie J, Van Hoewyk D. The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway protects Chlamydomonas reinhardtii against selenite toxicity, but is impaired as reactive oxygen species accumulate. AoB Plants 2014; 6: plu062.
- 97. Weekley CM, Jeong G, Tierney ME, Hossain F, Maw AM, Shanu A, Harris HH, Witting PK. Selenite-mediated production of superoxide radical anions in A549 cancer cells is accompanied by a selective increase in SOD1 concentration, enhanced apoptosis and Se-Cu bonding. J Biol Inorg Chem 2014; 19: 813–28.

- 98. Xiang N, Zhao R, Zhong W. Sodium selenite induces apoptosis by generation of superoxide via the mitochondrial-dependent pathway in human prostate cancer cells. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2009; 63: 351–62.
- 99. Misra S, Niyogi S. Selenite causes cytotoxicity in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) hepatocytes by inducing oxidative stress. Toxicol In Vitro 2009; 23: 1249–58.
- 100. Lazard M, Ha-Duong NT, Mounie S, Perrin R, Plateau P, Blanquet S. Selenodiglutathione uptake by the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* vacuolar ATP-binding cassette transporter Ycf1p. FEBS J 2011; 278: 4112–21.
- 101. Husbeck B, Peehl DM, Knox SJ. Redox modulation of human prostate carcinoma cells by selenite increases radiationinduced cell killing. Free Radic Biol Med 2005; 38: 50–7.
- 102. Salin H, Fardeau V, Piccini E, Lelandais G, Tanty V, Lemoine S, Jacq C, Devaux F. Structure and properties of transcriptional networks driving selenite stress response in yeasts. BMC Genomics 2008; 9: 333.
- 103. Boehler CJ, Raines AM, Sunde RA. Toxic-selenium and lowselenium transcriptomes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: toxic selenium up-regulates oxidoreductase and down-regulates cuticle-associated genes. PLoS One 2014; 9: e101408.
- 104. Izquierdo A, Casas C, Herrero E. Selenite-induced cell death in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: protective role of glutaredoxins. Microbiology 2010; 156: 2608–20.
- 105. Lewinska A, Bartosz G. A role for yeast glutaredoxin genes in selenite-mediated oxidative stress. Fungal Genet Biol 2008; 45: 1182–7.
- 106. Huber RE, Criddle RS. Comparison of the chemical properties of selenocysteine and selenocystine with their sulfur analogs. Arch Biochem Biophys 1967; 122: 164–73.
- 107. Wessjohann LA, Schneider A, Abbas M, Brandt W. Selenium in chemistry and biochemistry in comparison to sulfur. Biol Chem 2007; 388: 997–1006.
- 108. Hondal RJ, Marino SM, Gladyshev VN. Selenocysteine in thiol/ disulfide-like exchange reactions. Antioxid Redox Signal 2013; 18: 1675–89.
- 109. Jacob C, Giles GI, Giles NM, Sies H. Sulfur and selenium: the role of oxidation state in protein structure and function. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003; 42: 4742–58.
- 110. Cupp-Sutton KA, Ashby MT. Biological Chemistry of Hydrogen Selenide. Antioxidants (Basel) 2016; 5: 42.
- 111. Axley MJ, Böck A, Stadtman TC. Catalytic properties of an Escherichia coli formate dehydrogenase mutant in which sulfur replaces selenium. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991; 88: 8450–54.
- 112. Rahmanto AS, Davies MJ. Selenium-containing amino acids as direct and indirect antioxidants. IUBMB Life 2012; 64: 863–71.
- Lazard M, Dauplais M, Blanquet S, Plateau P. Trans-sulfuration pathway seleno-amino acids are mediators of selenomethionine toxicity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Biol Chem 2015; 290: 10741–50.
- 114. Dickson RC, Tappel AL. Reduction of selenocystine by cysteine or glutathione. Arch Biochem Biophys 1969; 130: 547–50.
- 115. Chen T, Wong YS. Selenocystine induces reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis in human cancer cells. Biomed Pharmacother 2009; 63: 105–13.
- 116. Hoefig CS, Renko K, Köhrle J, Birringer M, Schomburg L. Comparison of different selenocompounds with respect to nutritional value vs. toxicity using liver cells in culture. J Nutr Biochem 2011; 22: 945–55.

- 117. Chen T, Wong YS. Selenocystine induces S-phase arrest and apoptosis in human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cells by modulating ERK and Akt phosphorylation. J Agric Food Chem 2008; 56: 10574–81.
- 118. Chen T, Wong YS. Selenocystine induces apoptosis of A375 human melanoma cells by activating ROS-mediated mitochondrial pathway and p53 phosphorylation. Cell Mol Life Sci 2008; 65: 2763–75.
- 119. Chen T, Wong YS. Selenocystine induces caspase-independent apoptosis in MCF-7 human breast carcinoma cells with involvement of p53 phosphorylation and reactive oxygen species generation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2009; 41: 666–76.
- 120. Wallenberg M, Misra S, Wasik AM, Marzano C, Björnstedt M, Gandin V, Fernandes AP. Selenium induces a multi-targeted cell death process in addition to ROS formation. J Cell Mol Med 2014; 18: 671–84.
- 121. Sabbagh M, Van Hoewyk D. Malformed selenoproteins are removed by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in *Stanleya pinnata*. Plant Cell Physiol 2012; 53: 555–64.
- 122. Dimkovikj A, Fisher B, Hutchison K, Van Hoewyk D. Stuck between a ROS and a hard place: analysis of the ubiquitin proteasome pathway in selenocysteine treated *Brassica napus* reveals different toxicities during selenium assimilation. J Plant Physiol 2015; 181: 50–4.
- 123. Van Hoewyk D. A tale of two toxicities: malformed selenoproteins and oxidative stress both contribute to selenium stress in plants. Ann Bot 2013; 112: 965–72.
- 124. Brown TA, Shrift A. Exclusion of selenium from proteins of selenium-tolerant Astragalus species. Plant Physiol 1981; 67: 1051–3.
- 125. Brown TA, Shrift A. Identification of selenocysteine in the proteins of selenate-grown *Vigna radiata*. Plant Physiol 1980; 66: 758–61.
- 126. Bierla K, Bianga J, Ouerdane L, Szpunar J, Yiannikouris A, Lobinski R. A comparative study of the Se/S substitution in methionine and cysteine in Se-enriched yeast using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP MS)-assisted proteomics approach. J Proteomics 2013; 87: 26–39.
- 127. Plateau P, Saveanu C, Lestini R, Dauplais M, Decourty L, Jacquier A, Blanquet S, Lazard M. Exposure to selenomethionine causes selenocysteine misincorporation and protein aggregation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 44761.
- 128. Zorn M, Ihling CH, Golbik R, Sawers RG, Sinz A. Selective selC-independent selenocysteine incorporation into formate dehydrogenases. PLoS One 2013; 8: e61913.
- 129. Redman C, Scott JA, Baines AT, Basye JL, Clark LC, Calley C, Roe D, Payne CM, Nelson MA. Inhibitory effect of selenomethionine on the growth of three selected human tumor cell lines. Cancer Lett 1998; 125: 103–10.
- 130. Weiller M, Latta M, Kresse M, Lucas R, Wendel A. Toxicity of nutritionally available selenium compounds in primary and transformed hepatocytes. Toxicology 2004; 201: 21–30.
- 131. Kajander EO, Harvima RJ, Eloranta TO, Martikainen H, Kantola M, Kärenlampi SO, Akerman K. Metabolism, cellular actions, and cytotoxicity of selenomethionine in cultured cells. Biol Trace Elem Res 1991; 28: 57–68.
- Ouerdane L, Mester Z. Production and characterization of fully selenomethionine-labeled *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Agric Food Chem 2008; 56: 11792–9.

- Kitajima T, Chiba Y, Jigami Y. Mutation of high-affinity methionine permease contributes to selenomethionyl protein production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010; 76: 6351–9.
- 134. Bockhorn J, Balar B, He D, Seitomer E, Copeland PR, Kinzy TG. Genome-wide screen of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* null allele strains identifies genes involved in selenomethionine resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 17682–7.
- 135. Malkowski MG, Quartley E, Friedman AE, Babulski J, Kon Y, Wolfley J, Said M, Luft JR, Phizicky EM, DeTitta GT, Grayhack EJ. Blocking S-adenosylmethionine synthesis in yeast allows selenomethionine incorporation and multiwavelength anomalous dispersion phasing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104: 6678–83.
- 136. Wu J, Lyons GH, Graham RD, Fenech MF. The effect of selenium, as selenomethionine, on genome stability and cytotoxicity in human lymphocytes measured using the cytokinesisblock micronucleus cytome assay. Mutagenesis 2009; 24: 225–32.
- 137. Suzuki M, Endo M, Shinohara F, Echigo S, Rikiishi H. Differential apoptotic response of human cancer cells to organoselenium compounds. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2010; 66: 475–84.
- 138. Suzuki M, Endo M, Shinohara F, Echigo S, Rikiishi H. Rapamycin suppresses ROS-dependent apoptosis caused by selenomethionine in A549 lung carcinoma cells. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2011; 67: 1129–36.
- 139. Spallholz JE, Palace VP, Reid TW. Methioninase and selenomethionine but not Se-methylselenocysteine generate methylselenol and superoxide in an *in vitro* chemiluminescent assay: implications for the nutritional carcinostatic activity of selenoamino acids. Biochem Pharmacol 2004; 67: 547–54.
- 140. Misra S, Peak D, Niyogi S. Application of XANES spectroscopy in understanding the metabolism of selenium in isolated rainbow trout hepatocytes: insights into selenium toxicity. Metallomics 2010; 2: 710–7.
- 141. Okuno T, Kubota T, Kuroda T, Ueno H, Nakamuro K. Contribution of enzymic alpha, gamma-elimination reaction in detoxification pathway of selenomethionine in mouse liver. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2001; 176: 18–23.
- 142. Okuno T, Motobayashi S, Ueno H, Nakamuro K. Purification and characterization of mouse hepatic enzyme that converts selenomethionine to methylselenol by its α,γ-elimination. Biol Trace Elem Res 2005; 106: 77–94.
- 143. Okuno T, Motobayashi S, Ueno H, Nakamuro K. Identification of mouse selenomethionine α,γ-elimination enzyme: cystathionine γ-lyase catalyzes its reaction to generate methylselenol. Biol Trace Elem Res 2005; 108: 245–57.
- 144. Suzuki KT, Kurasaki K, Suzuki N. Selenocysteine β-lyase and methylselenol demethylase in the metabolism of Se-methylated selenocompounds into selenide. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007; 1770: 1053–61.
- 145. Gabel-Jensen C, Lunøe K, Gammelgaard B. Formation of methylselenol, dimethylselenide and dimethyldiselenide in *in vitro* metabolism models determined by headspace GC-MS. Metallomics 2010; 2: 167–73.
- 146. Kupsco A, Schlenk D. Mechanisms of selenomethionine developmental toxicity and the impacts of combined hypersaline conditions on Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Environ Sci Technol 2014; 48: 7062–8.

- 147. Palace VP, Spallholz JE, Holm J, Wautier K, Evans RE, Baron CL. Metabolism of selenomethionine by rainbow trout (*Oncorhyn-chus mykiss*) embryos can generate oxidative stress. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 2004; 58: 17–21.
- 148. Misra S, Hamilton C, Niyogi S. Induction of oxidative stress by selenomethionine in isolated hepatocytes of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Toxicol In Vitro 2012; 26: 621–9.
- 149. Kitajima T, Jigami Y, Chiba Y. Cytotoxic mechanism of selenomethionine in yeast. J Biol Chem 2012; 287: 10032–8.
- 150. Rao Y, McCooeye M, Windust A, Bramanti E, D'Ulivo A, Mester Z. Mapping of selenium metabolic pathway in yeast by liquid chromatography-Orbitrap mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 2010; 82: 8121–30.
- 151. Fernandes AP, Gandin V. Selenium compounds as therapeutic agents in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 2015; 1850: 1642–60.
- 152. Finkelstein JD. Methionine metabolism in mammals. J Nutr Biochem 1990; 1: 228–37.
- 153. Vitvitsky V, Thomas M, Ghorpade A, Gendelman HE, Banerjee R. A functional transsulfuration pathway in the brain links to glutathione homeostasis. J Biol Chem 2006; 281: 35785–93.
- 154. DeBerardinis RJ, Chandel NS. Fundamentals of cancer metabolism. Sci Adv 2016; 2: e1600200.
- 155. Deshaies RJ. Proteotoxic crisis, the ubiquitin-proteasome system, and cancer therapy. BMC Biol 2014; 12: 94.