Review

Focal adhesion kinase-regulated signaling events in human cancer

Wei Fu¹, Jessica E. Hall¹ and Michael D. Schaller^{1-3,*}

¹ Department of Biochemistry, West Virginia University School of Medicine, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA ² Mary Babb Randolph Cancer Center, West Virginia University School of Medicine, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

³Center for Cardiovascular and Respiratory Sciences, West Virginia University School of Medicine, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

*Corresponding author e-mail: mschaller@hsc.wvu.edu

Abstract

Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) is a non-receptor protein tyrosine kinase that is highly expressed or activated in many human cancers. Under specific scenarios, FAK can regulate cell proliferation, cell survival, cell migration and invasion, and has been implicated in the control of tumorigenesis and metastasis. FAK has both catalytic and scaffolding activity, and triggers downstream signals by activation of a number of pathways, including the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, the phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase/Akt pathway, and Rho family GTPases. Recent evidence also suggests novel signaling interactions between FAK and p53. These signaling events were defined primarily from studies on cells in culture, and elucidating which of these signaling pathways are pathologically relevant downstream of FAK in human cancer remains an important goal in determining the molecular mechanisms of tumorigenesis and metastasis. This review discusses select evidence of these signaling pathways with an emphasis on studies linking these to animal models of cancer and human disease. The role of FAK in the process of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and in cancer stem cells and recent therapeutic advances targeting FAK are also discussed.

Keywords: cancer; Cas family; epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; focal adhesion kinase; mitogen-activated protein kinase; p53; PI3K; Rho; Src; stem cells.

Introduction

Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) is a 125-kDa non-receptor protein tyrosine kinase, which plays a critical role in multiple cellular processes, including cell spreading, adhesion, migration, survival, and proliferation (1). It is expressed ubiquitously, and is essential for development. The N-terminal FERM domain of FAK participates in intermolecular and intramolecular interactions, the latter serving to inhibit FAK catalytic activity (2). The catalytic domain of FAK, which includes the ATP and substrate binding sites, is in the middle of the protein and a focal adhesion targeting (FAT) domain is located at the C-terminus. The FAT domain functions in subcellular localization resulting in co-localization of FAK with the integrins, which are receptors for extracellular matrix proteins and major regulators of FAK activity. Integrin-dependent cell adhesion results in FAK activation and autophosphorylation at Y397 (1). The phosphorylation of Y397 creates a binding site for the SH2 domain of Src, which in turn can phosphorylate additional residues in FAK. Phosphorylation of these tyrosines regulates catalytic activity and the assembly of additional signaling complexes. Phosphorylation of Y397 is critical for most biological activities controlled by FAK, and a mouse model where the FAK locus is replaced by a mutant lacking Y397 exhibits embryonic lethality (3).

The Ptk2 gene, which encodes FAK, is located on chromosome 8 at 8q24 in humans. There are two interesting features of the Ptk2 gene. First, there is an internal promoter that drives expression of a second protein, known FAK-related nonkinase (FRNK), which shares the carboxyl-terminal region of FAK extending from residues 668 to 1052 (4). FRNK has been utilized as a dominant-negative inhibitor of FAK as it displaces FAK from focal adhesions and promotes dephosphorylation of FAK. Second, the Ptk2 locus also harbors microRNA 151 (miR151), which is located in intron 22 of the Ptk2 transcript (5). The significance of miR151 is discussed below. FAK has clearly been implicated in the development of cancer. Many studies describe overexpression of FAK or elevated activation of FAK in a variety of cancers (6). In some cases, e.g., ovarian cancer, FAK overexpression alone or in combination with other markers is prognostic for the disease (7), whereas in other cases FAK expression is not prognostic. Recent results from the literature describing FAK expression in clinical samples and its prognostic value are summarized in Table 1. Older and more descriptive studies have been compiled elsewhere (8, 9). In many experimental animal models of cancer, FAK has been implicated as an important player in both tumorigenesis and metastasis. As miR151 is contained within the Ptk2 locus, its overexpression might also be anticipated in cancer. This has been documented in hepatocellular

Disease	Sample size	Approach	Result	Conclusion	Reference
FAK expression/amplification	1 and poor prognosis				
AML	36	Flow cytometry for FAK expression	FAK expression in cancer samples (median=65% +ve cells)	FAK expression correlates with poor survival (U, <i>p</i> =0.044), poorer survival if co-expressed with CXCR4 or VLA4	(98)
AML	60	Western blot for FAK	FAK expression detected in some samples (42%)	FAK expression correlates with poor survival $(KM, p=0.049; M, p=0.04)$	(66)
Breast cancer	435	FISH (amplification) and IHC for FAK on TMA	High FAK expression in some tumors (27.5%) Amplification in some tumors (17.7%)	Amplification but not expression level correlates with poor survival (KM, p <0.001; M, p <0.001)	(100)
Breast cancer – triple negative	1200 breast cancers, 184 triple negative	IHC for many markers (including FAK) on TMA		FAK expression is prognostically significant in breast cancer (U, $p < 0.001$) and in triple-negative breast cancer (U, $p < 0.01$)	(101–103)
Colorectal cancer	104	IHC on FAK, paxillin and Src	Variable FAK expression in tumors	Combined high FAK and Src expression correlates with shorter time to recurrence (M, p =0.005) but not with survival	(43)
Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma	91	IHC for FAK and other markers	FAK overexpression in tumors (59.3%)	High FAK expression correlates with poor survival (KM, <i>p</i> <0.0001)	(104, 105)
Gastric cancer	444	FISH (amplification) and IHC for FAK on TMA	High FAK in tumors Amplification (high in 9%, lower in 22%)	High FAK expression correlates with invasion/meta- stasis (K, <i>p</i> <0.001) and poor survival (KM, <i>p</i> <0.001) A multification correlates with invasion/metastasis	(106)
				(K, p<0.03) and poor survival $(KM, p<0.001)$	
Gastric cancer	59	IHC for FAK and pFAK (pY397)	High FAK expression (69.5%) High pFAK (35.6%)	pFAK but not FAK correlates with recurrence and lower survival $(\chi^2, \text{KM}, p < 0.02)$	(107)
Gastric adenocarcinoma – intestinal	30	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (78%)	High FAK expression correlates with poor survival $(KM, p=0.092)$	(108)
Glioma	96	IHC for FAK and pFAK (pY397)	FAK expression in tumors (89.6%) pFAK in tumors (50%)	FAK and pFAK correlate with turnor grade $(\chi^2, p=0.01-0.02)$ and poor survival KM, $p<0.01$). Prognosis for survival is worse if FAK and pFAK are detectable	(109)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	50	Q-RT-PCR (RNA) and IHC for FAK	FAK protein expression in tumors (50%), RNA higher in tumors than normal tissue	FAK protein (KM, p <0.001) and RNA (U, p =0.009) expression correlates with poor survival	(110)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	89	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (43%)	FAK expression correlates with stage and invasion $(\chi^2, p<0.05)$ Evidence for hepatitis B virus infection correlates with FAK $(\chi^2, p=0.005)$	(111)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	60	Q-PCR (RNA)	Higher FAK mRNA expression in tumors	High FAK mRNA correlates with poor survival (KM, <i>p</i> =0.041)	(112)

 Table 1
 Relation of FAK expression/amplification in tumors and prognosis.

\sim
ð
JUE
Ē
Б
ં
-
<u>e</u>
9
- 65

lable 1 (continued)					
Disease	Sample size	Approach	Result	Conclusion	Reference
Hepatocellular carcinoma	64	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (28.1%)	FAK expression correlates with poor survival (KM. <i>n</i> =0.035; M. <i>n</i> =0.0071)	(113)
Laryngeal premalignancy	82	Q-PCR (amplification) and IHC	High FAK in lesions FAK amplification in lesions	High FAK expression (not amplification) predicts cancer risk (U, <i>p</i> =0.002)	(114)
Lung adenocarcinoma	249	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in lung adenocarci- noma (88%) and bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (57%)	High FAK expression in lung carcinoma correlates with poor survival (KM, $p=0.0056$)	(115)
Ovarian cancer	79	IHC for FAK	High FAK expression in some tumors (68%)	High FAK expression correlates with poor survival (KM, <i>p</i> =0.008)	(1)
Squamous cell carcinoma – supraglottic larynx	95	IHC for FAK and E-cadherin	High FAK expression in some tumors (56%)	High FAK combined with low E-cadherin correlates with poor survival (KM, p=0.005)	(116)
Thyroid cancer	108	IHC for FAK and pFAK (pY861)	FAK (39.8%) and pFAK detected in tumors	FAK and pFAK expression can distinguish malignant from benign lesions $(\gamma^2, p=0.00001)$	(42)
Tongue cancer	80	IHC for FAK	High FAK expression in tumors (69%), stronger staining at invasive front, lymph node metastasis are FAK +ve	FAK expression correlates with lymph node metastasis $(\chi^2, p<0.01)$	(117)
No correlation between FAK	expression and prognosi	S			
Breast cancer – node negative	162	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (92%), some with high expression (18.5%)	FAK expression does not correlate with patient survival	(47)
Breast ductal invasive	73	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (88%) ,	FAK correlates with tumor size but no other clinic-	(118)
carcinoma			some with high expression (21%)	opathological parameters	
Colon adenocarcinoma	80	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors, but variable	FAK expression does not correlate with survival	(119)
Endometrial adenocarcinoma	43	IHC for FAK and pFAK (pY861)	High FAK in tumors	High FAK does not correlate with survival	(120)
Endometrial carcinoma	137	IHC for FAK	Moderate to strong FAK expression in	FAK expression correlated with tumor grade $(v^2, n=0.02)$ but not with survival	(121)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	49	IHC for FAK and	High FAK expression in tumors (57%)	High Pyk2 correlates with poor survival	(122)
		Pyk2	High Pyk2 expression in tumors (59.2%)	(KM, p =0.02) but FAK expression does not (KM, p =0.09)	
Neuroblastoma	70	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (73%)	High FAK expression correlates with stage and N-myc amplification but not with survival	(123)
Pancreatic cancer – invasive ductal adenocarcinoma	50	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (48%)	FAK expression correlates with tumor size but not with survival	(124)
SCLC	85	IHC on TMA	High FAK in tumors	FAK expression is not a prognostic biomarker	(125)
FAK expression/amplification Cervical cancer	1 and good prognosis 162	IHC for FAK	Moderate to strong FAK expression in	Moderate and high FAK expression correlates with	(126)
Gastric adenocarcinoma – diffuse type	36	IHC for FAK	FAK expression in tumors (89%)	High FAK expression correlates with increased survival (KM, $p=0.014$)	(108)

Table 1 (continued)

Disease	Sample size	Approach	Result	Conclusion	Reference
Ovarian cancer	51	Reverse-phase protein array/Western pleural/ peritoneal perfusions	High FAK in malignant samples	In malignant samples, high FAK is prognostic for increased survival (U, $p=0.012$)	(127)
Extrahepatic bile duct carcinoma	76	IHC for FAK	High FAK in normal tissue (100%), variable expression in tumors	High FAK expression correlates with better survival $(KM, p=0.01; U, p=0.21)$	(128)
Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma	56	IHC for FAK	High FAK expression in some tumors (29%)	High FAK expression correlates with better survival $(KM, p<0.01)$	(129)
Correlation not assessed					
Breast cancer	55	Western blot and IHC for FAK	FAK expression is higher in tumors than	iormal tissue	(130)
Breast cancer	119	IHC for FAK	Moderate to high FAK expression in turn (66%, n=51)	rts (58%), including ductal carcinoma <i>in situ</i>	(131)
Breast – circulating tumor cells	45	Immunofluorescence for pFAK	pFAK detected in CK19 +ve circulating the blood mononuclear cells (n=17 patients)	umor cells (n=28 patients), not in CK19-ve peripheral	(132)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	56	IHC for Src and FAK	FAK expression in tumors (58.9%)		(133)
Paget's disease	33	IHC for pFAK (pY397)	pFAK detected in all tumors, not in norm	ıl skin	(134)
– extramammary Prostate	35	and pERK IHC for Fvn. FAK and	FAK expression is higher in tumors		(135)
SCLC	42	paxillin on TMA FISH (amplification)	FAK expression (90%) and amplification	(17%) seen in tumors	(136)
		and IHC on TMA			
AML, acute myelogenous leu	kemia; SCLC, small cell	lung carcinoma; IHC, imm	unohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescence in si	nu hybridization; TMA, tissue microarray; Q-RT-PCR, qua	antitative re-

AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; SCLC, small cell lung carcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; TMA, tissue microarray; Q-RT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; K, Kendall rank correlation test; KM, Kaplan-Meier log rank analysis; U, univariate analysis of survival; M, multivariate analysis of prognostic factors; χ^2 , correlation established using χ^2 -test.

carcinoma, and expression of miR151 has been associated with increased metastasis in a liver cancer model (5).

A long list of FAK binding partners has been identified and a large number of signaling pathways can be regulated downstream of FAK under specific conditions. The most significant signaling pathways in the context of tumor formation and progression of disease remain to be firmly established. This review will focus on the regulation of intracellular signaling pathways by FAK, specifically in the context of cancer proliferation, invasion, and metastasis.

Mitogen-activated protein kinases

It is well known that the Ras signaling pathway culminating in the activation of ERK1/ERK2 plays an important role in carcinogenesis, controlling cell proliferation, and controlling cell migration (10, 11). Activated FAK can directly and indirectly recruit adaptor proteins and Ras regulatory proteins into complexes to contribute to the regulation of these important signaling pathways. Many biochemical studies have demonstrated that FAK can activate multiple mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways, including the ERK1/ERK2, JNK, p38 (MAPK), and ERK5 pathways. These are very likely important signaling pathways contributing to tumorigenesis and metastasis downstream of FAK (Figure 1).

A number of studies demonstrate a correlation between FAK signaling to MAPKs and biological response *in vitro*. Anchorage-independent growth and cell motility of melanoma cells in response to syntenin overexpression depends on FAK-mediated p38 MAPK activation (12). FAK regulates ERK activation in melanoma cells to promote cell adhesion, invasion, and extracellular matrix-dependent proliferation (13). FAK also controls ERK5 activation, which leads to increased adhesion and motility of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (14). Integrin-mediated ERK activation regulates adhesion and motility in colon cancer cells and this effect is FAK dependent (14). Fibronectin stimulates the migration and invasion of the A549 lung cancer cell line through activation of FAK and multiple downstream signaling pathways, including the ERK pathway (15). Glioma cell invasion is stimulated through activation of ERK1/2 and JNK1 and is regulated by FAK (16). These and other studies demonstrate a role for FAK in controlling the activation of MAPKs and a role for these signaling pathways in controlling biological responses that are altered in cancer.

Several tumor models support a role for the Ras/ERK pathway downstream of FAK *in vivo*. The murine 4T1 cell line forms mammary gland tumors in an orthotopic model, and injection of FAK short hairpin RNAs into the tumors modestly impairs tumor growth. These tumors also exhibit reduced ERK activation following injection of FAK short hairpin RNAs (17). A dominant-negative approach blocking FAK function also impairs tumorigenesis in 4T1 cells (18). This block can be bypassed by constitutive activation of the ERK pathway. V-Src-transformed mouse embryo





FAK regulates multiple downstream signaling pathways in cancer cells. The pathways shown are implicated in controlling tumorigenesis and/or metastasis in concert with FAK, or are intriguing candidates. These pathways control cytoplasmic and nuclear signaling events that converge to regulate cell migration, proliferation, and survival. In addition to signaling to the nucleus, e.g., through the Ras/MAPK pathway, FAK can also enter the nucleus and control p53-dependent biochemical and biological functions.

fibroblasts can grow as tumors and experiments using FAK null fibroblasts demonstrate that FAK plays a role in tumorigenesis in this model. While re-expression of wild-type FAK in these transformed null cells increases tumorigenesis by about 25%, re-expression of a Y925F mutant fails to rescue tumor formation (18). Further, expression of a Y925F FAK mutant suppresses ERK activation in B16Fl0 melanoma cells *in vitro* and impairs metastasis in an animal model (13). Collectively, these findings support a model where Grb2/Sos binding to FAK (at Y925) promotes ERK activation in tumor cells *in vivo* and that this signaling event is important for tumorigenesis.

CAS family

The best-characterized members of this family are p130Cas [the v-Crk-associated tyrosine kinase substrate, also known as BCAR1 (breast cancer anti-estrogen resistant locus 1)] and NEDD9 [neural precursor cell-expressed developmentally down-regulated gene 9, also known as human enhancer of filamentation 1 (HEF1)]. These scaffolding proteins localize to focal adhesions, directly interact with FAK, and are phosphorylated by FAK and Src (19).

p130Cas plays a critical role in cell signaling, motility, and proliferation in cancer cells. p130Cas is highly expressed in canine mammary tumor tissues compared with adjacent normal tissue at different stages of malignancy, and the expression level is related to tumor aggressiveness (20). In human breast cancer, p130Cas overexpression is also observed and breast cancer samples with high p130Cas and HER2 expression exhibit high Ki67-positive staining reflecting elevated proliferation (21). In a transgenic mouse model, expression of p130Cas in the mammary gland epithelium results in hyperplasia and transgenic expression of p130Cas in MMTVneu transgenic mice results in an acceleration in the onset of tumor formation (21).

Overexpression of NEDD9 promotes cell spreading and migration in the MCF7 breast cancer cell line (22), but surprisingly inhibits the migration of normal epithelial cells (23). NEDD9 function in tumor formation and invasion was determined using NEDD9 null mice. Loss of NEDD9 expression does not affect the development of the normal mammary gland; however, loss of NEDD9 impairs early tumor development in the MMTV-Polyoma virus middle tumor antigen (MMTV-PyV MT) transgenic model of breast cancer (24). NEDD9 is also overexpressed in metastatic human melanomas (25). Primary melanocytes gain metastatic potential upon overexpression of NEDD9 and metastasis of melanoma cells is attenuated upon small interfering RNA-mediated NEDD9 knockdown (25).

The FAK/p130Cas and FAK/NEDD9 complexes are likely to play a critical role in cancer progression, although evidence in support of this hypothesis is only beginning to emerge. FAK expression is important for the formation of mammary gland tumors and metastasis in the MMTV-PyV MT breast cancer model (26–29). As described above, loss of NEDD9 expression also impairs tumor formation in this model (24). In the absence of NEDD9, FAK phosphorylation is reduced in the primary tumors (24) and the loss of FAK results in reduced p130Cas phosphorylation when primary mammary gland epithelial cells are analyzed *in vitro* (28). Primary MMTV-PyV MT mammary gland epithelial cells lacking FAK exhibit defects in proliferation, survival, and invasion. This deficit can be rescued by re-expression of wild-type FAK, but not upon expression of a FAK variant that cannot bind p130Cas (29). These animal models of breast cancer provide evidence that interactions between FAK and Cas proteins may be biologically important in cancer; however, establishing the significance of these pathways requires additional mechanistic studies and extension of these findings to other cancers.

Phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase

Phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinases (PI3Ks) control diverse cellular functions, including proliferation, differentiation, motility, and invasion (30-32). The class I PI3Ks, comprising a catalytic subunit and an SH2 domain containing adaptor subunit, are most relevant to this discussion. Receptor tyrosine kinases and Ras are major signaling molecules linked to the activation of class I PI3Ks (30, 33). The SH2 domain of the p85 adaptor subunit of PI3K interacts with Y397 on FAK, and PI3K might be regulated downstream of FAK. However, the relation between FAK and PI3K is complex as PI3K is reported to regulate FAK in some circumstances, e.g., in MDA-MB-231 cells (34). PI3K controls cell function by regulating levels of 3' phosphorylated phosphatidylinositols, which activate downstream effectors, e.g., protein kinase B (AKT), which in turn regulate downstream signals, e.g., through the mTOR signaling pathway (Figure 1). Deregulation of PI3K signaling is very frequent in cancer and thus the FAK/PI3K complex is potentially significant in the promotion of cancer progression by FAK.

PI3K is implicated in FAK-dependent cell migration in Chinese hamster ovary cells (35). PI3K regulates cytoskeleton organization during cancer cell migration. MCF7 motility requires Rac1-dependent actin organization, which is regulated by activation of PI3K, which in turn is regulated by FAK (36). Migration and invasion of BLM melanoma cells is also regulated by RhoA-controlled cytoskeleton organization, which is mediated by PI3K activation (37). The migration and invasion of A549 cells requires MMP-9 and RhoA activation, which is mediated through activation of FAK, Src, and PI3K (15). Increased FAK activity in A549 cells also results in inhibition of anoikis, which is mediated through PI3K/Akt signaling (38).

The interplay between FAK and PI3K is complex, potentially forming a positive feedback loop. The studies selected above illustrate that important biological events are controlled through FAK/PI3K signaling in cancer cells in culture. As FAK and PI3K are each implicated in cancer progression, it seems likely that FAK/PI3K signaling is a significant event in tumor formation and metastasis, although the experimental support for this hypothesis has yet to emerge.

Src

FAK was originally identified as an Src substrate and binding protein (39, 40). As a substrate, FAK is phosphorylated on multiple tyrosines by Src and two of these sites lie in the activation loop of FAK. Phosphorylation of these residues leads to maximal catalytic activity (41). Given these observations, it seems intuitive that FAK and Src function together in the development of human cancer.

A recent study of 108 patients with benign or malignant thyroid lesions supports the finding that elevated FAK expression correlates significantly with malignancy (42). Elevated levels of Src were also observed, although differences between benign and malignant samples did not reach significance. In colon cancer, both FAK and Src are overexpressed in both primary tumors and liver metastases. While expression of neither alone is prognostic, the combined overexpression of both FAK and Src is predictive of a short time until recurrence of disease, but is not linked to patient survival (43). Other studies have looked at tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK and Src in tumor samples, particularly the autophosphorylation sites of FAK and Src (generally indicative of activation) and sites on FAK that are substrates of Src phosphorylation (41, 44). In invasive lobular cancer of the breast, elevated levels of activated FAK are seen by immunohistochemistry and are correlated with increased levels of FAK phosphorylated on Y861 (45). In a small number of breast cancer samples, both elevated autophosphorylation of FAK (at Y397) and Src (at Y419) are seen in malignant ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive ductal carcinoma but not benign tissue (46). Not all studies exhibit this correlation. In 162 node-negative breast cancers, FAK overexpression was not correlated with autophosphorylation of Src, although it was correlated with Src phosphorylation at Y215 (47). Interestingly, in metastatic cancer, activated Src and phosphorylated FAK (Y576) were found in 50% and 67% of bone metastasis in patients exhibiting disease recurrence following tamoxifen treatment. These studies support the hypothesis that FAK and Src expression/ activation may be linked in human disease, although there are clearly many examples of exceptions.

If FAK and Src signaling are linked in tumor cells, pharmacological perturbation of one is expected to affect the other. A number of studies using Src inhibitors have addressed this question. PH006 inhibits FAK phosphorylation at all tested sites of tyrosine phosphorylation, including Y397, in MDA-MB-435 cells grown orthotopically as tumors (48). The cross-reactivity of PH006 with FAK is unknown. A number of studies have examined the effect of AZD0530 on FAK phosphorylation. Treatment of xenograft models of lung, breast, pancreatic, and colon tumor cell lines impaired phosphorylation of FAK at Y861 (49, 50). AZD0530 also impairs Y861 phosphorylation in human pancreatic tumor specimens that were isolated and grown as tumors as xenografts in nude mice (51). In a model for tumor hypoxia, Src becomes activated and FAK phosphorylated on Y861 in hypoxic areas of pancreatic and cervical cancer xenographic models. AZD0530 impairs Src activation and FAK phosphorylation in this system (52). Dasatanib, SU11333, and CGP77675 also reduce FAK tyrosine phosphorylation in other xenograft models (53, 54). These pharmacological studies support the role of Src in the regulation of tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK in tumors.

The role of FAK as a signaling component downstream of Src in an oncogenic setting was evaluated using the v-src transformation model. Dominant-negative, RNA interference, and knockout approaches have been used. FAK null fibroblasts expressing the v-src oncogene exhibit a transformed morphology and form colonies in soft agar (55). While this study and others demonstrate FAK is dispensable for growth in soft agar (56), others suggest inhibition of FAK results in a dramatic increase in colony formation in soft agar (55, 57). The discrepancy between the results has not been resolved. The role of FAK in v-src-induced tumor formation depends on the model system. In v-src-transformed NIH3T3 fibroblasts, inhibition of FAK with a dominant-negative variant has no effect on tumor formation, but does impair experimental metastasis to the lung (56). In contrast, v-src-transformed FAK null mouse embryo fibroblasts exhibit a defect in tumor growth (18). While these findings support a role for FAK downstream of Src during tumorigenesis and metastasis, only one study addresses the possible role of Src downstream of FAK during tumor progression. Conditional knockout studies demonstrate a role for FAK in mammary gland tumorigenesis and metastasis in the MMTV-PyV MT transgenic model. This phenotype is recapitulated in an orthotopic model where mammary gland epithelial cells are isolated and re-introduced into the mammary gland fat pad of syngeneic mice (29). Re-expression of wild-type FAK in isolated mammary gland epithelial cells before orthotopic injection rescues this defect, whereas expression of a Y397F mutant does not (29). This result is supportive of a role for Src in FAK-dependent tumorigenesis; however, as the authors discuss, this mutant is defective for binding to a number of important signaling molecules, and the critical binding partner(s) has yet to be demonstrated.

Rho family of GTPases

Members of the Rho family of GTPases are important downstream components of the FAK signaling pathway. Multiple mechanisms of regulation are proposed; however, most entail recruitment of activators [guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs)] or inhibitors [GTPase activating proteins (GAPs)] of these GTPases into complex. By altering localization or activity by binding or post-translation modification, the active state of Rho proteins is controlled. As critical regulators of the actin cytoskeleton, some of these pathways are important in controlling polarization and motility in vitro and they are presumed to be important signaling branches in FAK-promoted tumorigenesis and metastasis. In the context of tumorigenesis/metastasis, the best example may be p190RhoGEF, also known as Rgnef. This exchange factor binds the C-terminal domain of FAK, and a dominant-negative Rgnef fragment can disrupt the association of FAK with full-length Rgnef (58, 59). This Rgnef fragment impairs the ability of a colorectal cancer cell line to form orthotopic tumors, whereas a similar Rgnef fragment that cannot bind FAK has no effect on tumorigenesis. While this result supports a role for the FAK/Rgnef complex in tumor formation, further experiments are clearly required to fully establish this molecular mechanism in promoting cancer.

As described above, miR151 is contained within *Ptk2* intronic sequences. miR151 may also elicit biological effects by modulating the activity of Rho family proteins. RhoGDIA, Rho GDP dissociation inhibitor α , is a well-established inhibitor of Rho activity and is a target of miR151. By impairing expression of RhoGDIA, the activity of Rho family proteins is enhanced resulting in increased motility, invasion, and metastasis in hepatocellular carcinoma (5). In this model of hepatocellular carcinoma, both FAK and miR151 are proposed to act in concert to promote activation of Rho family proteins to promote invasion and metastasis.

p53

Mutation of p53 is one of the most frequent genetic alterations associated with the development of human cancer (60). p53 is a tumor suppressor that functions as a transcription factor (61). Multiple p53 mutations have been described in human tumors, and these mutations result in loss of function of p53 and frequently these can interfere with the function of wild-type p53. Normally, a labile protein, p53, is regulated by controlling protein levels through ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation.

Some evidence indicates that p53 activity is related to FAK expression levels in human cancer. Elevated expression of FAK has been correlated with mutation of p53 and elevated p53 expression in endometrial and breast cancer (62, 63). There are two p53 binding sites located in the promoter region of FAK and overexpression of wild-type p53 suppresses the expression of FAK (64). Thus, one mechanism leading to elevated expression of FAK in human tumors might be directly related to loss of function of p53.

Interestingly, the regulation of p53 and FAK is reciprocal. The FERM domain of FAK interacts with p53 directly in vitro and in vivo, and the p53-dependent regulation of p21, MDM2, and BAX can be blocked by overexpression of FAK (65, 66). FAK can localize to the nucleus and suppression of p53-induced transcription may be mediated by blocking the transcription activation function of p53 (Figure 1). Additionally, nuclear FAK also enhances p53 degradation in fibroblasts and endothelial cells by mediating the assembly of a FAK/p53/ Mdm2 complex (67). Assembly of this complex promotes Mdm2-dependent ubiquitination of p53 and its subsequent degradation. Thus, elevated expression of FAK in tumors could result in enhanced p53 degradation and/or impaired p53 function, which would represent a novel mechanism of disruption of this critical signaling pathway leading to the progression of disease. It is noteworthy that pharmacological targeting of FAK may not be useful therapeutically in this scenario, as FAK-dependent p53 degradation is independent of the kinase activity of FAK.

Not surprising, the biological activities controlled by FAK/ p53 are related to cell survival. Survival signals from the extracellular matrix are mediated by FAK signaling, which suppresses a p53-regulated apoptotic pathway (68). Further, expression of FAK specifically blocked p53-induced apoptosis in the SAOS-2 cell line. The function of FAK and p53 on tumor survival and apoptosis has mainly been addressed in breast or colon cancer cell lines, and these findings have yet to be extended to other types of cancers. While the link between FAK and p53 is provocative, the significance of their interaction in tumor formation and metastasis remains to be firmly established.

FAK, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and cancer stem cells

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is the process whereby cells lose their epithelial phenotype, acquire a mesenchymal phenotype, disassociate, and become more motile and invasive under certain physiological or pathological conditions. Associated with these morphological and functional changes are changes in expression of protein markers of epithelial/mesenchymal cells. EMT is an important process during embryogenesis and tissue regeneration, and pathologically EMT may play an important role in cancer progression and metastasis (69). In addition to several oncogenic signaling pathways that are involved in the EMT process, such as growth factors (e.g., TGF- β), Src, and Wnt, microenvironment factors like the extracellular matrix also play a role. As several of these factors regulate FAK, one major function of FAK during carcinogenesis and cancer metastasis may be regulating EMT.

The composition of the extracellular matrix proteins plays an important role in controlling EMT. Collagen I or collagen III can induce disassembly of the E-cadherin adhesion complex, reduce E-cadherin gene expression, reduce cellular aggregation, and can promote morphological changes associated with a mesenchymal phenotype (70, 71). As FAK is activated when cells are attached to the extracellular matrix, it is a candidate for controlling collagen-induced EMT. However, there must be additional signals for specificity as FAK is also activated when cells attach to extracellular matrix components that do not promote EMT. One interesting mechanism is through engagement of additional receptors. Integrin binding to collagen activates FAK and binding of a second collagen receptor, discoidin domain receptor 1 (DDR1), activates Pyk2. Both of these signaling events are required to promote expression of N-cadherin, a mesenchymal marker, and induce EMT in a pancreatic cancer cell line (71). In a number of other scenarios, extracellular matrix proteins can cooperate with soluble ligands, e.g., TGF- β , to promote EMT. EMT can be induced in primary hepatocytes by treatment with TGF- β , but is enhanced in the presence of a collagen extracellular matrix (72). TGF- β -induced EMT in two mammary epithelial cell lines, MCF10A and NMuMG, requires the $\alpha_{1}\beta_{5}$ integrin and pharmacological inhibition of FAK activity inhibits EMT (73). One possible role for FAK in TGF- β -mediated EMT is to facilitate the assembly of an integrin β_3 /TGF- β

receptor II complex in mammary tumors (74). FAK is proposed to participate in heparin binding-epidermal growth factor-induced EMT in ovarian cancer cells by coordinating crosstalk between the growth factor receptor and integrin signaling pathways (75). FAK signaling is also required for EMT induced by TGF- β in hepatocytes (76) and renal tubular epithelial cells (77), although the role of integrin-dependent cell adhesion in these model systems is unclear.

The mechanism of regulation of EMT by FAK has not been elucidated. FAK may play a role in regulating the assembly of the E-cadherin complex (78, 79). FAK may also play a role in regulating internalization of E-cadherin. This may be directly relevant in cancer, as perturbation of FAK alters the dynamics of surface E-cadherin in A431 cells growing as tumors in animal models (80). FAK could also regulate EMT by modulating the activity/expression of transcription factors critical for EMT. KLF8 is established as a downstream target of FAK and can induce EMT in breast cancer cells (81, 82). While this is an attractive mechanism, the role of KLF8 as a transcription factor downstream of FAK signaling in promoting EMT remains to be formally tested.

Cancer stem cells are defined as a subpopulation of cells within a tumor that have the ability to initiate tumorigenesis (also known as tumor-initiating cells) by undergoing selfrenewal and differentiation like other normal stem cells (83, 84). Interestingly, cancer cells that have undergone EMT share properties with cancer stem cells, including the expression of stem cell markers (85). As FAK may function in the control of EMT, it seems likely that FAK might also function in cancer stem cells. As mentioned above, deletion of FAK in the mammary gland epithelium impairs tumor formation in the MMTV-PyMT transgenic model. Isolation of mammary cancer stem cells, such as Lin⁻CD24⁺CD29⁺CD61⁺ cells or ALDH⁺ cells, reveals that FAK deletion reduces the mammary cancer stem cell population (27). FAK can promote mammary stem cell survival. IL8 signals through CXCR1, which results in FAK activation, and protects cancer stem cells from FASL/FAS-mediated cell death (86). In pancreatic cancer stem cells, CXCL12 signaling may induce the activation of FAK and PKB to promote cell growth and drug resistance (87). Ablation of FAK also leads to changes in the properties of mammary cancer stem cells, including a deficiency in tumorigenesis (27). While these recent studies support a role for FAK in controlling cancer stem cell functions, the mechanisms have yet to be determined.

FAK and cancer therapy

Several companies have developed small-molecule ATPcompetitive inhibitors that impair the kinase activity of FAK. TAE226 decreases tumor size and metastasis in an ovarian carcinoma animal model. It also slows the growth of established tumors by increasing apoptosis and decreasing cell proliferation and angiogenesis. TAE226 was most effective in combination with docetaxel (88). The major shortcoming of TAE226 is its cross-reactivity with the IGF-1 and insulin receptors, significantly complicating its use therapeutically.

Pfizer has developed a series of small-molecule ATPcompetitive inhibitors of FAK. PF-562,271 has an IC₅₀ of 1.5 nm on purified protein and in cell-based assays is fourfold more selective for FAK than its closest relative, Pyk2. This compound effectively inhibits tumor growth in xenograft models of prostate, breast, pancreatic, colon, glioblastoma, and lung cancers. Further, tumor regression was observed in most of these models (89). In a study investigating bone metastasis using MDA-MB-231 xenografts, PF-562,271 decreased the growth of tumor mass within the bone and after 2 weeks bone healing was observed at sites previously damaged by the tumor. Additionally, PF-562,271 improved structural parameters of bone such as thickness and cancellous bone volume in non-tumor-bearing rats (90). The effect of PF-562,271 in combination with sunitinib was examined in a hepatocellular carcinoma model. This drug combination reduced α-fetoprotein (a marker for hepatocellular carcinoma) expression fourfold and significantly decreased the growth of hepatoma cells in a subcutaneous model of tumorigenesis. Overall, the combination was significantly more effective than sunitinib alone (91). PF-562,271 has successfully completed phase 1 clinical trials and is currently undergoing phase 2 trials (92). The newest ATP-competitive inhibitor of FAK is from Poniard Pharmaceuticals. In a subcutaneous xenograft model, PND1186 prevents tumor growth by inducing apoptosis. Additionally, it inhibits ovarian carcinoma growth in vivo. Orthotopic breast cancer models using either 4T1 or MDA-MB-231 cells showed decreased growth and metastasis upon ad libitum administration of PND1186 (93).

The limitations of small-molecule ATP-competitive inhibitors include cross-reactivity with other kinases and the development of resistance through mutation. Further, FAK has both enzyme and scaffolding functions and these FAK drugs do not necessarily inhibit the latter. Thus, the development of additional drugs using different strategies to inhibit FAK function might be significant (Figure 2). There have been a number of studies describing the identification of compounds that inhibit FAK through different mechanisms. Using an *in silico* screen,



Figure 2 A cartoon representation of the FAK structure. Most inhibitors currently target the ATP binding pocket (red arrow). Other potential targets for FAK inhibitors and their possible effect on FAK function are shown.

a compound binding adjacent to Y397 and inhibiting phosphorylation was identified. This compound inhibits binding of Src and subsequently phosphorylation of the FAK activation loop, preventing full activation of FAK. This compound inhibits tumor growth in a pancreatic tumor xenograft model and has a synergistic effect with gemcitabine (94).

A combination of a phage display strategy and *in silico* screen led to the identification of a small molecule that could target the FAT domain (95, 96). This compound, chloropyramin hydrochloride [*N*-(4-chlorobenzyl)-*N*0,*N*0-dimethyl-*N*-pyridin-2-ylethane-1,2-diamine], binds to the FAT domain and interacts with S939 and H1025. This compound could effectively inhibit binding to paxillin as H1025 is a residue implicated in paxillin binding. While these latter compounds have not achieved the preclinical success of the small-molecule ATP-competitive inhibitors of FAK, these studies demonstrate the feasibility of alternative therapeutic strategies to target FAK.

Two important considerations in therapeutically targeting FAK in the clinic are the identification of patients most likely to benefit from FAK inhibition and appropriate biomarkers that might be used to evaluate the effectiveness of treatment. At present, with small-molecule FAK inhibitors in phase 1 trials and beginning phase 2 trials, a discussion of patients who might benefit from these compounds is speculative. Patients with elevated FAK expression could potentially benefit and tumors with elevated phosphorylation on Y397 and/or Y576/ Y577, indicative of FAK autophosphorylation and catalytic activation, might be effectively treated with small-molecule FAK inhibitors (see Table 1). Triple-negative breast cancer patients might benefit from treatment with FAK inhibitors as FAK overexpression is prognostic, and effective therapies for this disease have not been discovered. Importantly, studies evaluating FAK expression and prognosis have provided insight into patients who might be harmed by FAK therapeutics, as FAK expression is indicative of a good prognosis in some types of tumors (see Table 1). The discussion thus far has considered the tumor cells as the target for FAK inhibition; however, FAK also plays important roles in normal stromal cells in the tumor microenvironment and FAK inhibition in these cells might effectively influence the growth and spread of the cancer. For example, endothelial cell FAK is important for normal and tumor-induced angiogenesis (97), and preclinical animal models of cancer have demonstrated reduced tumor angiogenesis in response to smallmolecule FAK inhibitors (88, 89). Finally, the identification of biomarkers to evaluate the effectiveness of FAK inhibitors in patients is required. The direct measure of the effect of FAK inhibitors would monitor changes in FAK tyrosine phosphorylation induced by these therapeutics. Y397 is the autophosphorylation site and has been used to evaluate compound effectiveness in cells in culture in many studies in the literature. Other sites of tyrosine phosphorylation are indirect measures of inhibition of FAK catalytic activity, as autophosphorylation promotes phosphorylation at these other sites. In addition, tyrosine phosphorylation of potential downstream substrates, e.g., paxillin and p130Cas, could also be measured as an indirect readout, which is complicated by the fact that these proteins are also substrates for other tyrosine kinases. A further challenge is how to assess effects on tyrosine phosphorylation in patients, as a surrogate tissue mimicking drug effects on FAK in the tumor has not been identified.

Outlook

The role of protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathways in controlling cancer cell carcinogenesis and metastasis is well established and they serve as effective therapeutic targets. Compelling evidence supports a role for FAK in tumorigenesis and metastasis, and it is emerging as a potential therapeutic target. However, many of the molecular details of how this enzyme functions to promote initiation and disease progression have not been rigorously defined. Many molecules have been identified as components of FAK signaling pathways; however, as signaling can be context dependent, it would seem prudent to establish which pathways are active in cells during tumor formation and metastasis. This would provide important insight into molecular mechanism, and might also be critical for the design of combinatorial therapy. As described throughout, these types of studies are slowly emerging and some signaling events downstream of FAK have been confirmed in tumor and metastatic models, and correlative evidence was observed in human tumor samples. Additional studies defining FAK-regulated signaling pathways in these contexts are one important direction for future studies.

The properties of cancer cells can change during disease progression, increasing the likelihood of spread of the disease. As a result of EMT, epithelial cells acquire a mesenchymal phenotype, become more motile and invasive, and become resistant to anoikis. Obviously, these latter properties are important for metastasis. Recent studies have focused on the role of cancer stem cells in the establishment and progression of disease. Interestingly, cancer stem cells and cells that have undergone EMT share a number of properties. FAK has been implicated in EMT and in the maintenance of cancer stem cells; however, the mechanisms remain unknown. Elucidating these mechanisms is a second important focus for future studies.

As mentioned previously, small-molecule ATP-competitive inhibitors of FAK have been developed and proven successful at inhibiting tumors and metastasis in preclinical models. FAK may be a particularly effective therapeutic target as it functions in both the cancer cells and the normal endothelial cells in the tumor microenvironment. Thus, targeting FAK may affect tumor growth by direct action on the tumor cells and indirectly by attenuating angiogenesis. There are limitations to ATP-competitive inhibitors and the development of compounds that inhibit FAK function through other mechanisms might be very beneficial. As noted above, in several studies, FAK is most effective in combination with other therapeutics. Further studies to define effective combinations of therapeutics in targeting FAK in cancer would clearly be beneficial. These types of studies are a third important direction for future research.

Highlights

- FAK regulates multiple signaling pathways that are implicated in cancer progression, including the MAPK, PI3K, and Rho family pathways.
- Evidence for these pathways in controlling tumorigenesis/metastasis downstream of FAK is only beginning to emerge.
- p130Cas and NEDD9 are important scaffolding partners that functionally interact with FAK in at least one preclinical model of breast cancer.
- Clinical and experimental evidence support the importance of FAK/Src interactions in cancer.
- FAK and p53 are reciprocally regulated and their interplay may be significant in cancer.
- Small-molecule ATP-competitive inhibitors of FAK are effective in preclinical models.
- Novel strategies to inhibit FAK function are beginning to emerge.

References

- 1. Parsons JT. Focal adhesion kinase: the first ten years. J Cell Sci 2003; 116: 1409–16.
- Hall JE, Fu W, Schaller MD. Focal adhesion kinase: exploring Fak structure to gain insight into function. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 2011; 288: 185–225.
- Corsi JM, Houbron C, Billuart P, Brunet I, Bouvree K, Eichmann A, Girault JA, Enslen H. Autophosphorylation-independent and -dependent functions of focal adhesion kinase during development. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 34769–76.
- Nolan K, Lacoste J, Parsons JT. Regulated expression of focal adhesion kinase-related nonkinase, the autonomously expressed C-terminal domain of focal adhesion kinase. Mol Cell Biol 1999; 19: 6120–9.
- 5. Ding J, Huang S, Wu S, Zhao Y, Liang L, Yan M, Ge C, Yao J, Chen T, Wan D, Wang H, Gu J, Yao M, Li J, Tu H, He X. Gain of miR-151 on chromosome 8q24.3 facilitates tumour cell migration and spreading through downregulating RhoGDIA. Nat Cell Biol 2010; 12: 390–9.
- Golubovskaya VM, Kweh FA, Cance WG. Focal adhesion kinase and cancer. Histol Histopathol 2009; 24: 503–10.
- Sood AK, Coffin JE, Schneider GB, Fletcher MS, DeYoung BR, Gruman LM, Gershenson DM, Schaller MD, Hendrix MJ. Biological significance of focal adhesion kinase in ovarian cancer: role in migration and invasion. Am J Pathol 2004; 165: 1087–95.
- Gabarra-Niecko V, Schaller MD, Dunty JM. FAK regulates biological processes important for the pathogenesis of cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2003; 22: 359–74.
- 9. Zhao J, Guan JL. Signal transduction by focal adhesion kinase in cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2009; 28: 35–49.
- Marshall CJ. Specificity of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling: transient versus sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. Cell 1995; 80: 179–85.
- 11. Bos JL. ras oncogenes in human cancer: a review. Cancer Res 1989; 49: 4682–9.
- Boukerche H, Su ZZ, Emdad L, Sarkar D, Fisher PB. mda-9/ Syntenin regulates the metastatic phenotype in human melanoma cells by activating nuclear factor-κB. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 1812–22.

- Kaneda T, Sonoda Y, Ando K, Suzuki T, Sasaki Y, Oshio T, Tago M, Kasahara T. Mutation of Y925F in focal adhesion kinase (FAK) suppresses melanoma cell proliferation and metastasis. Cancer Lett 2008; 270: 354–61.
- Sawhney RS, Liu W, Brattain MG. A novel role of ERK5 in integrin-mediated cell adhesion and motility in cancer cells via Fak signaling. J Cell Physiol 2009; 219: 152–61.
- 15. Meng XN, Jin Y, Yu Y, Bai J, Liu GY, Zhu J, Zhao YZ, Wang Z, Chen F, Lee KY, Fu SB. Characterisation of fibronectin-mediated FAK signalling pathways in lung cancer cell migration and invasion. Br J Cancer 2009; 101: 327–34.
- 16. Hu B, Jarzynka MJ, Guo P, Imanishi Y, Schlaepfer DD, Cheng SY. Angiopoietin 2 induces glioma cell invasion by stimulating matrix metalloprotease 2 expression through the $\alpha\nu\beta1$ integrin and focal adhesion kinase signaling pathway. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 775–83.
- Tsutsumi K, Yamaura T, Nakajima M, Honda T, Kasaoka T. Silencing of focal adhesion kinase by tumor direct injection of small interfering RNA decreases in vivo tumor growth. Cancer Biol Ther 2009; 8: 1292–9.
- Mitra SK, Mikolon D, Molina JE, Hsia DA, Hanson DA, Chi A, Lim ST, Bernard-Trifilo JA, Ilic D, Stupack DG, Cheresh DA, Schlaepfer DD. Intrinsic FAK activity and Y925 phosphorylation facilitate an angiogenic switch in tumors. Oncogene 2006; 25: 5969–84.
- Tikhmyanova N, Little JL, Golemis EA. CAS proteins in normal and pathological cell growth control. Cell Mol Life Sci 2010; 67: 1025–48.
- 20. Scibelli A, d'Angelo D, Pelagalli A, Tafuri S, Avallone L, Della MR, Staiano N. Expression levels of the focal adhesion-associated proteins paxillin and p130CAS in canine and feline mammary tumors. Vet Res 2003; 34: 193–202.
- 21. Cabodi S, Tinnirello A, Di Stefano P, Bisaro B, Ambrosino E, Castellano I, Sapino A, Arisio R, Cavallo F, Forni G, Glukhova M, Silengo L, Altruda F, Turco E, Tarone G, Defilippi P. p130Cas as a new regulator of mammary epithelial cell proliferation, survival, and HER2-neu oncogene-dependent breast tumorigenesis. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 4672–80.
- 22. Fashena SJ, Einarson MB, O'Neill GM, Patriotis C, Golemis EA. Dissection of HEF1-dependent functions in motility and transcriptional regulation. J Cell Sci 2002; 115: 99–111.
- Simpson KJ, Selfors LM, Bui J, Reynolds A, Leake D, Khvorova A, Brugge JS. Identification of genes that regulate epithelial cell migration using an siRNA screening approach. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 1027–38.
- 24. Izumchenko E, Singh MK, Plotnikova OV, Tikhmyanova N, Little JL, Serebriiskii IG, Seo S, Kurokawa M, Egleston BL, Klein-Szanto A, Pugacheva EN, Hardy RR, Wolfson M, Connolly DC, Golemis EA. NEDD9 promotes oncogenic signaling in mammary tumor development. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 7198–206.
- 25. Kim M, Gans JD, Nogueira C, Wang A, Paik JH, Feng B, Brennan C, Hahn WC, Cordon-Cardo C, Wagner SN, Flotte TJ, Duncan LM, Granter SR, Chin L. Comparative oncogenomics identifies NEDD9 as a melanoma metastasis gene. Cell 2006; 125: 1269–81.
- 26. Lahlou H, Sanguin-Gendreau V, Zuo D, Cardiff RD, McLean GW, Frame MC, Muller WJ. Mammary epithelial-specific disruption of the focal adhesion kinase blocks mammary tumor progression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104: 20302–7.
- Luo M, Fan H, Nagy T, Wei H, Wang C, Liu S, Wicha MS, Guan JL. Mammary epithelial-specific ablation of the focal adhesion kinase suppresses mammary tumorigenesis by affecting mammary cancer stem/progenitor cells. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 466–74.

- Provenzano PP, Inman DR, Eliceiri KW, Beggs HE, Keely PJ. Mammary epithelial-specific disruption of focal adhesion kinase retards tumor formation and metastasis in a transgenic mouse model of human breast cancer. Am J Pathol 2008; 173: 1551–65.
- Pylayeva Y, Gillen KM, Gerald W, Beggs HE, Reichardt LF, Giancotti FG. Ras- and PI3K-dependent breast tumorigenesis in mice and humans requires focal adhesion kinase signaling. J Clin Invest 2009; 119: 252–66.
- 30. Cantley LC. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. Science 2002; 296: 1655–7.
- Luo J, Manning BD, Cantley LC. Targeting the PI3K-Akt pathway in human cancer: rationale and promise. Cancer Cell 2003; 4: 257–62.
- Wong KK, Engelman JA, Cantley LC. Targeting the PI3K signaling pathway in cancer. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2010; 20: 87–90.
- 33. Rottapel R, Turck CW, Casteran N, Liu X, Birnbaum D, Pawson T, Dubreuil P. Substrate specificities and identification of a putative binding site for PI3K in the carboxy tail of the murine Flt3 receptor tyrosine kinase. Oncogene 1994; 9: 1755–65.
- 34. Akar U, Ozpolat B, Mehta K, Lopez-Berestein G, Zhang D, Ueno NT, Hortobagyi GN, Arun B. Targeting p70S6K prevented lung metastasis in a breast cancer xenograft model. Mol Cancer Ther 2010; 9: 1180–7.
- Reiske HR, Kao SC, Cary LA, Guan JL, Lai JF, Chen HC. Requirement of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in focal adhesion kinase-promoted cell migration. J Biol Chem 1999; 274: 12361–6.
- 36. Kallergi G, Agelaki S, Markomanolaki H, Georgoulias V, Stournaras C. Activation of FAK/PI3K/Rac1 signaling controls actin reorganization and inhibits cell motility in human cancer cells. Cell Physiol Biochem 2007; 20: 977–86.
- Monterrubio M, Mellado M, Carrera AC, Rodriguez-Frade JM. PI3Kγ activation by CXCL12 regulates tumor cell adhesion and invasion. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009; 388: 199–204.
- 38. Liu G, Meng X, Jin Y, Bai J, Zhao Y, Cui X, Chen F, Fu S. Inhibitory role of focal adhesion kinase on anoikis in the lung cancer cell A549. Cell Biol Int 2008; 32: 663–70.
- 39. Kanner SB, Reynolds AB, Vines RR, Parsons JT. Monoclonal antibodies to individual tyrosine-phosphorylated protein substrates of oncogene-encoded tyrosine kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87: 3328–32.
- Cobb BS, Schaller MD, Leu TH, Parsons JT. Stable association of pp60src and pp59fyn with the focal adhesion-associated protein tyrosine kinase, pp125FAK. Mol Cell Biol 1994; 14: 147–55.
- Calalb MB, Polte TR, Hanks SK. Tyrosine phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase at sites in the catalytic domain regulates kinase activity: a role for Src family kinases. Mol Cell Biol 1995; 15: 954–63.
- 42. Michailidi C, Giaginis C, Stolakis V, Alexandrou P, Klijanienko J, Delladetsima I, Chatzizacharias N, Tsourouflis G, Theocharis S. Evaluation of FAK and Src expression in human benign and malignant thyroid lesions. Pathol Oncol Res 2010; 16: 497–507.
- 43. de Heer P, Koudijs MM, van de Velde CJ, Aalbers RI, Tollenaar RA, Putter H, Morreau J, van de WB, Kuppen PJ. Combined expression of the non-receptor protein tyrosine kinases FAK and Src in primary colorectal cancer is associated with tumor recurrence and metastasis formation. Eur J Surg Oncol 2008; 34: 1253–61.
- 44. Calalb MB, Zhang X, Polte TR, Hanks SK. Focal adhesion kinase tyrosine-861 is a major site of phosphorylation by Src. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1996; 228: 662–8.

- 45. Zou D, Yoon HS, Anjomshoaa A, Perez D, Fukuzawa R, Guilford P, Humar B. Increased levels of active c-Src distinguish invasive from in situ lobular lesions. Breast Cancer Res 2009; 11: R45.
- 46. Madan R, Smolkin MB, Cocker R, Fayyad R, Oktay MH. Focal adhesion proteins as markers of malignant transformation and prognostic indicators in breast carcinoma. Hum Pathol 2006; 37: 9–15.
- 47. Schmitz KJ, Grabellus F, Callies R, Otterbach F, Wohlschlaeger J, Levkau B, Kimmig R, Schmid KW, Baba HA. High expression of focal adhesion kinase (p125FAK) in node-negative breast cancer is related to overexpression of HER-2/neu and activated Akt kinase but does not predict outcome. Breast Cancer Res 2005; 7: R194–203.
- 48. Ma JG, Huang H, Chen SM, Chen Y, Xin XL, Lin LP, Ding J, Liu H, Meng LH. PH006, a novel and selective Src kinase inhibitor, suppresses human breast cancer growth and metastasis in vitro and in vivo. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 130: 85–96.
- 49. Green TP, Fennell M, Whittaker R, Curwen J, Jacobs V, Allen J, Logie A, Hargreaves J, Hickinson DM, Wilkinson RW, Elvin P, Boyer B, Carragher N, Ple PA, Bermingham A, Holdgate GA, Ward WH, Hennequin LF, Davies BR, Costello GF. Preclinical anticancer activity of the potent, oral Src inhibitor AZD0530. Mol Oncol 2009; 3: 248–61.
- 50. Jones RJ, Young O, Renshaw L, Jacobs V, Fennell M, Marshall A, Green TP, Elvin P, Womack C, Clack G, Dixon JM. Src inhibitors in early breast cancer: a methodology, feasibility and variability study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009; 114: 211–21.
- 51. Rajeshkumar NV, Tan AC, De Oliveira E, Womack C, Wombwell H, Morgan S, Warren MV, Walker J, Green TP, Jimeno A, Messersmith WA, Hidalgo M. Antitumor effects and biomarkers of activity of AZD0530, a Src inhibitor, in pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 4138–46.
- 52. Pham NA, Magalhaes JM, Do T, Schwock J, Dhani N, Cao PJ, Hill RP, Hedley DW. Activation of Src and Src-associated signaling pathways in relation to hypoxia in human cancer xenograft models. Int J Cancer 2009; 124: 280–6.
- 53. Laird AD, Li G, Moss KG, Blake RA, Broome MA, Cherrington JM, Mendel DB. Src family kinase activity is required for signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 and focal adhesion kinase phosphorylation and vascular endothelial growth factor signaling in vivo and for anchorage-dependent and -independent growth of human tumor cells. Mol Cancer Ther 2003; 2: 461–9.
- 54. Caccia D, Micciche F, Cassinelli G, Mondellini P, Casalini P, Bongarzone I. Dasatinib reduces FAK phosphorylation increasing the effects of RPI-1 inhibition in a RET/PTC1-expressing cell line. Mol Cancer 2010; 9: 278.
- 55. Moissoglu K, Gelman IH. v-Src rescues actin-based cytoskeletal architecture and cell motility and induces enhanced anchorage independence during oncogenic transformation of focal adhesion kinase-null fibroblasts. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 47946–59.
- 56. Hauck CR, Hsia DA, Puente XS, Cheresh DA, Schlaepfer DD. FRNK blocks v-Src-stimulated invasion and experimental metastases without effects on cell motility or growth. EMBO J 2002; 21: 6289–302.
- 57. Moissoglu K, Sachdev S, Gelman IH. Enhanced v-Src-induced oncogenic transformation in the absence of focal adhesion kinase is mediated by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005; 330: 673–84.
- 58. Yu HG, Nam JO, Miller NL, Tanjoni I, Walsh C, Shi L, Kim L, Chen XL, Tomar A, Lim ST, Schlaepfer DD. p190RhoGEF (Rgnef) promotes colon carcinoma tumor progression via interaction with focal adhesion kinase. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 360–70.

- 59. Zhai J, Lin H, Nie Z, Wu J, Canete-Soler R, Schlaepfer WW, Schlaepfer DD. Direct interaction of focal adhesion kinase with p190RhoGEF. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 24865–73.
- Oren M, Rotter V. Mutant p53 gain-of-function in cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2010; 2: a001107.
- Farmer G, Bargonetti J, Zhu H, Friedman P, Prywes R, Prives C. Wild-type p53 activates transcription in vitro. Nature 1992; 358: 83–6.
- 62. Livasy CA, Moore D, Cance WG, Lininger RA. Focal adhesion kinase overexpression in endometrial neoplasia. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2004; 12: 342–5.
- 63. Golubovskaya VM, Conway-Dorsey K, Edmiston SN, Tse CK, Lark AA, Livasy CA, Moore D, Millikan RC, Cance WG. FAK overexpression and p53 mutations are highly correlated in human breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2009; 125: 1735–8.
- 64. Golubovskaya V, Kaur A, Cance W. Cloning and characterization of the promoter region of human focal adhesion kinase gene: nuclear factor κB and p53 binding sites. Biochim Biophys Acta 2004; 1678: 111–25.
- 65. Golubovskaya VM, Finch R, Cance WG. Direct interaction of the N-terminal domain of focal adhesion kinase with the N-terminal transactivation domain of p53. J Biol Chem 2005; 280: 25008–21.
- 66. Golubovskaya VM, Finch R, Zheng M, Kurenova EV, Cance WG. The 7-amino-acid site in the proline-rich region of the N-terminal domain of p53 is involved in the interaction with FAK and is critical for p53 functioning. Biochem J 2008; 411: 151–60.
- 67. Lim ST, Chen XL, Lim Y, Hanson DA, Vo TT, Howerton K, Larocque N, Fisher SJ, Schlaepfer DD, Ilic D. Nuclear FAK promotes cell proliferation and survival through FERM-enhanced p53 degradation. Mol Cell 2008; 29: 9–22.
- 68. Ilic D, Almeida EA, Schlaepfer DD, Dazin P, Aizawa S, Damsky CH. Extracellular matrix survival signals transduced by focal adhesion kinase suppress p53-mediated apoptosis. J Cell Biol 1998; 143: 547–60.
- 69. Kalluri R, Weinberg RA. The basics of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Clin Invest 2009; 119: 1420–8.
- Imamichi Y, Menke A. Signaling pathways involved in collageninduced disruption of the E-cadherin complex during epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Cells Tissues Organs 2007; 185: 180–90.
- Shintani Y, Wheelock MJ, Johnson KR. Phosphoinositide-3 kinase-Rac1-c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase signaling mediates collagen I-induced cell scattering and up-regulation of N-cadherin expression in mouse mammary epithelial cells. Mol Biol Cell 2006; 17: 2963–75.
- 72. Godoy P, Hengstler JG, Ilkavets I, Meyer C, Bachmann A, Muller A, Tuschl G, Mueller SO, Dooley S. Extracellular matrix modulates sensitivity of hepatocytes to fibroblastoid dedifferentiation and transforming growth factor β-induced apoptosis. Hepatology 2009; 49: 2031–43.
- Bianchi A, Gervasi ME, Bakin AV. Role of β5-integrin in epithelial-mesenchymal transition in response to TGFβ. Cell Cycle 2010; 9: 1647–59.
- 74. Wendt MK, Schiemann WP. Therapeutic targeting of the focal adhesion complex prevents oncogenic TGF- β signaling and metastasis. Breast Cancer Res 2009; 11: R68.
- 75. Yagi H, Yotsumoto F, Miyamoto S. Heparin-binding epidermal growth factor-like growth factor promotes transcoelomic metastasis in ovarian cancer through epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Mol Cancer Ther 2008; 7: 3441–51.
- Cicchini C, Laudadio I, Citarella F, Corazzari M, Steindler C, Conigliaro A, Fantoni A, Amicone L, Tripodi M. TGFβ-induced

EMT requires focal adhesion kinase (FAK) signaling. Exp Cell Res 2008; 314: 143–52.

- Deng B, Yang X, Liu J, He F, Zhu Z, Zhang C. Focal adhesion kinase mediates TGF-β1-induced renal tubular epithelial-tomesenchymal transition in vitro. Mol Cell Biochem 2010; 340: 21–9.
- Irby RB, Yeatman TJ. Increased Src activity disrupts cadherin/ catenin-mediated homotypic adhesion in human colon cancer and transformed rodent cells. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 2669–74.
- Avizienyte E, Frame MC. Src and FAK signalling controls adhesion fate and the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2005; 17: 542–7.
- Canel M, Serrels A, Miller D, Timpson P, Serrels B, Frame MC, Brunton VG. Quantitative in vivo imaging of the effects of inhibiting integrin signaling via Src and FAK on cancer cell movement: effects on E-cadherin dynamics. Cancer Res 2010; 70: 9413–22.
- Wang X, Zheng M, Liu G, Xia W, McKeown-Longo PJ, Hung MC, Zhao J. Kruppel-like factor 8 induces epithelial to mesenchymal transition and epithelial cell invasion. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 7184–93.
- 82. Zhao J, Bian ZC, Yee K, Chen BP, Chien S, Guan JL. Identification of transcription factor KLF8 as a downstream target of focal adhesion kinase in its regulation of cyclin D1 and cell cycle progression. Mol Cell 2003; 11: 1503–15.
- Rosen JM, Jordan CT. The increasing complexity of the cancer stem cell paradigm. Science 2009; 324: 1670–3.
- Keysar SB, Jimeno A. More than markers: biological significance of cancer stem cell-defining molecules. Mol Cancer Ther 2010; 9: 2450–7.
- 85. Battula VL, Evans KW, Hollier BG, Shi Y, Marini FC, Ayyanan A, Wang RY, Brisken C, Guerra R, Andreeff M, Mani SA. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition-derived cells exhibit multilineage differentiation potential similar to mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells 2010; 28: 1435–45.
- 86. Ginestier C, Liu S, Diebel ME, Korkaya H, Luo M, Brown M, Wicinski J, Cabaud O, Charafe-Jauffret E, Birnbaum D, Guan JL, Dontu G, Wicha MS. CXCR1 blockade selectively targets human breast cancer stem cells in vitro and in xenografts. J Clin Invest 2010; 120: 485–97.
- Singh S, Srivastava SK, Bhardwaj A, Owen LB, Singh AP. CXCL12-CXCR4 signalling axis confers gemcitabine resistance to pancreatic cancer cells: a novel target for therapy. Br J Cancer 2010; 103: 1671–9.
- 88. Halder J, Lin YG, Merritt WM, Spannuth WA, Nick AM, Honda T, Kamat AA, Han LY, Kim TJ, Lu C, Tari AM, Bornmann W, Fernandez A, Lopez-Berestein G, Sood AK. Therapeutic efficacy of a novel focal adhesion kinase inhibitor TAE226 in ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 10976–83.
- 89. Roberts WG, Ung E, Whalen P, Cooper B, Hulford C, Autry C, Richter D, Emerson E, Lin J, Kath J, Coleman K, Yao L, Martinez-Alsina L, Lorenzen M, Berliner M, Luzzio M, Patel N, Schmitt E, LaGreca S, Jani J, Wessel M, Marr E, Griffor M, Vajdos F. Antitumor activity and pharmacology of a selective focal adhesion kinase inhibitor, PF-562,271. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 1935–44.
- 90. Bagi CM, Roberts GW, Andresen CJ. Dual focal adhesion kinase/ Pyk2 inhibitor has positive effects on bone tumors: implications for bone metastases. Cancer 2008; 112: 2313–21.
- 91. Bagi CM, Christensen J, Cohen DP, Roberts WG, Wilkie D, Swanson T, Tuthill T, Andresen CJ. Sunitinib and PF-562,271 (FAK/Pyk2 inhibitor) effectively block growth and recovery of human hepatocellular carcinoma in a rat xenograft model. Cancer Biol Ther 2009; 8: 856–65.

- Schultze A, Fiedler W. Clinical importance and potential use of small molecule inhibitors of Focal Adhesion Kinase. Anticancer Agents Med Chem 2011; 11: 593–9.
- 93. Walsh C, Tanjoni I, Uryu S, Tomar A, Nam JO, Luo H, Phillips A, Patel N, Kwok C, McMahon G, Stupack DG, Schlaepfer DD. Oral delivery of PND-1186 FAK inhibitor decreases tumor growth and spontaneous breast to lung metastasis in pre-clinical models. Cancer Biol Ther 2010; 9: 778–90.
- 94. Hochwald SN, Nyberg C, Zheng M, Zheng D, Wood C, Massoll NA, Magis A, Ostrov D, Cance WG, Golubovskaya VM. A novel small molecule inhibitor of FAK decreases growth of human pancreatic cancer. Cell Cycle 2009; 8: 2435–43.
- 95. Garces CA, Kurenova EV, Golubovskaya VM, Cance WG. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-3 and focal adhesion kinase bind and suppress apoptosis in breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 2006; 66: 1446–54.
- 96. Kurenova EV, Hunt DL, He D, Magis AT, Ostrov DA, Cance WG. Small molecule chloropyramine hydrochloride (C4) targets the binding site of focal adhesion kinase and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3 and suppresses breast cancer growth in vivo. J Med Chem 2009; 52: 4716–24.
- 97. Lechertier T, Hodivala-Dilke K. Focal adhesion kinase and tumour angiogenesis. J Pathol 2012; 226: 404–12.
- Tavernier-Tardy E, Cornillon J, Campos L, Flandrin P, Duval A, Nadal N, Guyotat D. Prognostic value of CXCR4 and FAK expression in acute myelogenous leukemia. Leuk Res 2009; 33: 764–8.
- 99. Recher C, Ysebaert L, Beyne-Rauzy O, Mansat-De Mas V, Ruidavets JB, Cariven P, Demur C, Payrastre B, Laurent G, Racaud-Sultan C. Expression of focal adhesion kinase in acute myeloid leukemia is associated with enhanced blast migration, increased cellularity, and poor prognosis. Cancer Res 2004; 64: 3191–7.
- 100. Yom CK, Noh DY, Kim WH, Kim HS. Clinical significance of high focal adhesion kinase gene copy number and overexpression in invasive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011; 128: 647–55.
- 101. Charpin C, Giusiano S, Secq V, Carpentier S, Andrac L, Lavaut MN, Allasia C, Bonnier P, Garcia S. Quantitative immunocytochemical profile to predict early outcome of disease in triple-negative breast carcinomas. Int J Oncol 2009; 34: 983–93.
- 102. Charpin C, Secq V, Giusiano S, Carpentier S, Andrac L, Lavaut MN, Allasia C, Bonnier P, Garcia S. A signature predictive of disease outcome in breast carcinomas, identified by quantitative immunocytochemical assays. Int J Cancer 2009; 124: 2124–34.
- 103. Garcia S, Dales JP, Charafe-Jauffret E, Carpentier-Meunier S, Andrac-Meyer L, Jacquemier J, Andonian C, Lavaut MN, Allasia C, Bonnier P, Charpin C. Overexpression of c-Met and of the transducers PI3K, FAK and JAK in breast carcinomas correlates with shorter survival and neoangiogenesis. Int J Oncol 2007; 31: 49–58.
- 104. Miyazaki T, Kato H, Kimura H, Inose T, Faried A, Sohda M, Nakajima M, Fukai Y, Masuda N, Manda R, Fukuchi M, Tsukada K, Kuwano H. Evaluation of tumor malignancy in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma using different characteristic factors. Anticancer Res 2005; 25: 4005–11.
- 105. Miyazaki T, Kato H, Nakajima M, Sohda M, Fukai Y, Masuda N, Manda R, Fukuchi M, Tsukada K, Kuwano H. FAK overexpression is correlated with tumour invasiveness and lymph node metastasis in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 2003; 89: 140–5.

- 106. Park JH, Lee BL, Yoon J, Kim J, Kim MA, Yang HK, Kim WH. Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) gene amplification and its clinical implications in gastric cancer. Hum Pathol 2010; 41: 1664–73.
- 107. Lai IR, Chu PY, Lin HS, Liou JY, Jan YJ, Lee JC, Shen TL. Phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase at Tyr397 in gastric carcinomas and its clinical significance. Am J Pathol 2010; 177: 1629–37.
- 108. Giaginis CT, Vgenopoulou S, Tsourouflis GS, Politi EN, Kouraklis GP, Theocharis SE. Expression and clinical significance of focal adhesion kinase in the two distinct histological types, intestinal and diffuse, of human gastric adenocarcinoma. Pathol Oncol Res 2009; 15: 173–81.
- 109. Ding L, Sun X, You Y, Liu N, Fu Z. Expression of focal adhesion kinase and phosphorylated focal adhesion kinase in human gliomas is associated with unfavorable overall survival. Transl Res 2010; 156: 45–52.
- 110. Yuan Z, Zheng Q, Fan J, Ai KX, Chen J, Huang XY. Expression and prognostic significance of focal adhesion kinase in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2010; 136: 1489–96.
- 111. Cai L, Han J, Zhuo X, Xiong Y, Dong J, Li X. Overexpression and significance of focal adhesion kinase in hepatocellular carcinoma and its relationship with HBV infection. Med Oncol 2009; 26: 409–14.
- 112. Fujii T, Koshikawa K, Nomoto S, Okochi O, Kaneko T, Inoue S, Yatabe Y, Takeda S, Nakao A. Focal adhesion kinase is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and can be served as an independent prognostic factor. J Hepatol 2004; 41: 104–11.
- 113. Itoh S, Maeda T, Shimada M, Aishima S, Shirabe K, Tanaka S, Maehara Y. Role of expression of focal adhesion kinase in progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 2812–7.
- 114. Rodrigo JP, Alvarez-Alija G, Menendez ST, Mancebo G, Allonca E, Garcia-Carracedo D, Fresno MF, Suarez C, Garcia-Pedrero JM. Cortactin and focal adhesion kinase as predictors of cancer risk in patients with laryngeal premalignancy. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2011; 4: 1333–41.
- 115. Wang C, Yang R, Yue D, Zhang Z. Expression of FAK and PTEN in bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma. Lung 2009; 187: 104–9.
- 116. Rodrigo JP, Dominguez F, Suarez V, Canel M, Secades P, Chiara MD. Focal adhesion kinase and E-cadherin as markers for nodal metastasis in laryngeal cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2007; 133: 145–50.
- 117. Jiang H, Liu L, Ye J, Liu H, Xing S, Wu Y. Focal adhesion kinase serves as a marker of cervical lymph node metastasis and is a potential therapeutic target in tongue cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2010; 136: 1295–302.
- 118. Theocharis SE, Klijanienko JT, Padoy E, Athanassiou S, Sastre-Garau XX. Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) immunocytochemical expression in breast ductal invasive carcinoma (DIC): correlation with clinicopathological parameters and tumor proliferative capacity. Med Sci Monit 2009; 15: BR221–6.
- 119. Theocharis SE, Kouraklis GP, Kakisis JD, Kanelli HG, Apostolakou FE, Karatzas GM, Koutselinis AS. Focal adhesion kinase expression is not a prognostic predictor in colon adenocarcinoma patients. Eur J Surg Oncol 2003; 29: 571–4.
- 120. Chatzizacharias NA, Giaginis C, Gatzidou E, Tsourouflis G, Sfiniadakis I, Alexandrou P, Theocharis SE. Expression and clinical significance of FAK and Src proteins in human endometrial adenocarcinoma. Pathol Oncol Res 2011; 17: 277–85.
- 121. Gabriel B, Hasenburg A, Waizenegger M, Orlowska-Volk M, Stickeler E, zur HA. Expression of focal adhesion kinase in

patients with endometrial cancer: a clinicopathologic study. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2009; 19: 1221–5.

- 122. Sun CK, Ng KT, Sun BS, Ho JW, Lee TK, Ng I, Poon RT, Lo CM, Liu CL, Man K, Fan ST. The significance of prolinerich tyrosine kinase2 (Pyk2) on hepatocellular carcinoma progression and recurrence. Br J Cancer 2007; 97: 50–7.
- 123. Beierle EA, Massoll NA, Hartwich J, Kurenova EV, Golubovskaya VM, Cance WG, McGrady P, London WB. Focal adhesion kinase expression in human neuroblastoma: immunohistochemical and real-time PCR analyses. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14: 3299–305.
- 124. Furuyama K, Doi R, Mori T, Toyoda E, Ito D, Kami K, Koizumi M, Kida A, Kawaguchi Y, Fujimoto K. Clinical significance of focal adhesion kinase in resectable pancreatic cancer. World J Surg 2006; 30: 219–26.
- 125. Ocak S, Chen H, Callison C, Gonzalez AL, Massion PP. Expression of focal adhesion kinase in small-cell lung carcinoma. Cancer 2012; 118: 1293–301.
- 126. Gabriel B, zur HA, Stickeler E, Dietz C, Gitsch G, Fischer DC, Bouda J, Tempfer C, Hasenburg A. Weak expression of focal adhesion kinase (pp125FAK) in patients with cervical cancer is associated with poor disease outcome. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12: 2476–83.
- 127. Kim G, Davidson B, Henning R, Wang J, Yu M, Annunziata C, Hetland T, Kohn EC. Adhesion molecule protein signature in ovarian cancer effusions is prognostic of patient outcome. Cancer 2011, in press.
- 128. Hayashi A, Aishima S, Inoue T, Nakata K, Morimatsu K, Nagai E, Oda Y, Tanaka M, Tsuneyoshi M. Decreased expression of focal adhesion kinase is associated with a poor prognosis in extrahepatic bile duct carcinoma. Hum Pathol 2010; 41: 859–66.
- 129. Ohta R, Yamashita Y, Taketomi A, Kitagawa D, Kuroda Y, Itoh S, Aishima S, Maehara Y. Reduced expression of focal



Dr. Wei Fu received his MD from the China Medical University and was a Research Assistant Professor at the Neuroendocrine Research Division of the Brain Research Institute from 1993 to 1999. Dr. Fu completed the research training program in Physiology and Cell Biology at China Medical University in 2003, and then was a Visiting Research Scholar at the Kanazawa Medical Uni-

versity in Japan from 2003 to 2004. In 2004 Dr. Fu joined the group of Dr. Jun Liu in the Department of Physiology and Pharmacology at West Virginia University as a Visiting Research Scholar. He joined Dr. Fred Minnear's laboratory in 2007 as a Post-doctoral Associate and Dr. Mike Schaller's lab in 2009 as a Research Associate. adhesion kinase in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is associated with poor tumor differentiation. Oncology 2006; 71: 417–22.

- 130. Watermann DO, Gabriel B, Jager M, Orlowska-Volk M, Hasenburg A, zur HA, Gitsch G, Stickeler E. Specific induction of pp125 focal adhesion kinase in human breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2005; 93: 694–8.
- 131. Lightfoot HM, Jr., Lark A, Livasy CA, Moore DT, Cowan D, Dressler L, Craven RJ, Cance WG. Upregulation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) expression in ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) is an early event in breast tumorigenesis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2004; 88: 109–16.
- 132. Kallergi G, Mavroudis D, Georgoulias V, Stournaras C. Phosphorylation of FAK, PI-3K, and impaired actin organization in CK-positive micrometastatic breast cancer cells. Mol Med 2007; 13: 79–88.
- 133. Lau GM, Lau GM, Yu GL, Gelman IH, Gutowski A, Hangauer D, Fang JW. Expression of Src and FAK in hepatocellular carcinoma and the effect of Src inhibitors on hepatocellular carcinoma in vitro. Dig Dis Sci 2009; 54: 1465–74.
- 134. Chen SY, Moroi Y, Urabe K, Takeuchi S, Kido M, Hayashida S, Uchi H, Uenotsuchi T, Tu YT, Furue M. Concordant overexpression of p-FAK and p-ERK1/2 in extramammary Paget's disease. Arch Dermatol Res 2008; 300: 195–201.
- 135. Posadas EM, Al Ahmadie H, Robinson VL, Jagadeeswaran R, Otto K, Kasza KE, Tretiakov M, Siddiqui J, Pienta KJ, Stadler WM, Rinker-Schaeffer C, Salgia R. FYN is overexpressed in human prostate cancer. BJU Int 2009; 103: 171–7.
- 136. Ocak S, Yamashita H, Udyavar AR, Miller AN, Gonzalez AL, Zou Y, Jiang A, Yi Y, Shyr Y, Estrada L, Quaranta V, Massion PP. DNA copy number aberrations in small-cell lung cancer reveal activation of the focal adhesion pathway. Oncogene 2010; 29: 6331–42.

Received August 26, 2011; accepted January 30, 2012



Jessica E. Hall graduated from West Virginia University in 2007 with dual Bachelor's degrees in Biology and Forensic and Investigative Science. She then worked at the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health before returning to graduate school. Jessica is currently pursuing her Doctorate in Biochemistry and is

studying in the structural and conformational changes in FAK upon activation.



Dr. Michael D. Schaller is Professor and Chair of Biochemistry in the School of Medicine at West Virginia University. He received his doctorate degree from McMaster University and performed his post-doctoral work with Dr. J. Thomas Parsons at the University of Virginia. In 1994, Dr. Schaller joined the faculty in the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology at the University

of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. He has been on the faculty at WVU since 2008. The main area of research interest in the Schaller laboratory is signal transduction events controlled by cell-extracellular matrix interactions, particularly those involving the Focal Adhesion Kinase.